

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY
TREASURER'S INVESTMENT POOL

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS WITH
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORTS

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY TREASURER'S INVESTMENT POOL
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025

Table of Contents	Page
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Statement of Net Position	3
Statement of Changes in Net Position	4
Notes to the Financial Statements	5
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	11
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance; Santa Barbara County Treasurer's Investment Pool; Treasurer's Oversight Committee	13

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Santa Barbara Treasurer's
Oversight Committee and
The Board of Supervisors
County of Santa Barbara, California

Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements

Opinions

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Treasurer's Investment Pool of the County of Santa Barbara (the County) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Treasurer's Investment Pool's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Treasurer's Investment Pool, as of June 30, 2025, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinions

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the County and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the County's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and

therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and *Government Auditing Standards*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control relating to the Treasurer's Investment Pool. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the County's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated September 2, 2025, on our consideration of the County's internal control over financial reporting relating to the Treasurer's Investment Pool and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance relating to the Treasurer's Investment Pool and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance relating to the Treasurer's Investment Pool. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control over financial reporting and compliance relating to the Treasurer's Investment Pool.

BROWN ARMSTRONG
ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

Brown Armstrong
Accountancy Corporation

Bakersfield, California
September 2, 2025

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY TREASURER'S INVESTMENT POOL
STATEMENT OF NET POSITION
JUNE 30, 2025

ASSETS

Cash	\$ 153,091,996
Investments:	
California Asset Management Program (CAMP)	60,000,000
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	50,000,000
Federally Insured Cash Account (FICA)	5,000,000
U.S. Treasuries	1,281,206,650
Government Agency Bonds	667,150,010
Government Agency Discount Notes	119,140,100
Government Agency Bonds - Callable	346,011,523
Total Investments	<u>2,528,508,283</u>
Interest Receivable	<u>17,537,876</u>
Total Assets	<u>2,699,138,155</u>
Net Position Held in Trust for Pool Participants	<u><u>\$ 2,699,138,155</u></u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET POSITION
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025

Additions

Contributions by Pool Participants	\$ 3,877,835,876
Investment Income:	
Investment Earnings	76,505,879
Net Increase in Fair Value of Investments	66,211,015
Administrative Expenses	<u>(2,491,812)</u>
Net Investment Income (Loss)	140,225,082
Total Additions	<u>4,018,060,958</u>

Deductions

Distributions to Pool Participants	<u>3,957,378,048</u>
Total Deductions	<u>3,957,378,048</u>
Net Increase in Net Position	60,682,910
Beginning Net Position Held in Trust for Pool Participants	2,638,455,245
Ending Net Position Held in Trust for Pool Participants	<u><u>\$ 2,699,138,155</u></u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

SANTA BARBARA COUNTY TREASURER'S INVESTMENT POOL
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2025

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Reporting Entity

The Santa Barbara County Treasurer's Investment Pool (the "Pool") is a part of the County of Santa Barbara (the "County") and is responsible for approximately \$2.699 billion in assets as of June 30, 2025. The County Board of Supervisors delegates the investment authority to the County Treasurer's Office in accordance with Section 53607 of the California Government Code. The County Treasurer is an elective office, which is responsible for tax collection, banking, investment and accountability of public funds.

The Pool is managed by the County Treasurer on behalf of the Pool participants, which include the County, local school districts and community colleges, and other districts and agencies within the County. Involuntary participants in the Pool, including the County, comprise 94% of the Pool's assets at June 30, 2025. The objectives of the Pool are to primarily safeguard investment principal by mitigating exposure to risk factors, secondarily maintain sufficient liquidity to meet cash flow needs, and lastly to attain a return on the funds. The Pool is not registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") as an investment company nor is it a SEC Rule 2a7-like pool. The Pool does not have any legally binding guarantees of share values. The Pool is not rated.

Pursuant to Sections 27130-27137 of the California Government Code, the County Board of Supervisors has established the Treasurer's Oversight Committee (the "TOC"), which monitors and reviews the Investment Policy. The TOC consists of representatives appointed from pool participant agencies as well as members of the public who have expertise in, or an academic background in, public finance. The TOC requires a financial audit to be conducted annually on a fiscal year basis, which includes tests of compliance with laws and regulations.

The financial statements include only activities of the Pool. These financial statements are not intended to present the activities of the County of Santa Barbara.

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The accompanying financial statements are prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Earnings on investments are recognized as revenue in the period in which they are earned, and administrative costs are recognized as expense when incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Pool participants' cash balances and withdrawals are based on cost while investments are reported at fair value.

Trades Payable

Trades payable represents purchase of investments by the Treasurer where payment has not been made as of the fiscal year end. The Pool had no trades payable at June 30, 2025.

Trades Receivable

Trades receivable represents pending sale of investments by the Treasurer that have not settled at fiscal yearend. The Pool had no trades receivable at June 30, 2025.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of certain assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

2. DEPOSITS

At June 30, 2025, the carrying amount of the Pool's deposits was \$153,091,996 and the corresponding bank balance was \$143,039,935. The difference of \$10,052,061 was principally due to deposits in transit.

Custodial Credit Risk

The custodial credit risk for deposits is the risk that, in the event of the failure of a depository financial institution, the Pool will not be able to recover deposits or will not be able to recover collateral securities that are in possession of an outside party. This risk is mitigated in that, of the County's total bank balance, \$250,000 is insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The remaining \$152,841,996 on deposit is collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's agent. Per Government Code Section 53652, the depository is required to maintain a market value of at least 110% of the pledged collateral.

3. INVESTMENTS

Pursuant to Section 53646 of the Government Code, the County Treasurer prepares an Investment Policy Statement annually, presents it to the TOC for review and to the Board of Supervisors for approval.

The Investment Policy Statement provides the basis for the management of a prudent, conservative investment program. Public funds are invested to provide the maximum security of principal with secondary emphasis on achieving the highest return, while meeting daily cash flow needs. All investments are made in accordance with the Government Code and, in general, the Treasurer's Investment Policy is more restrictive than state law. Types of securities in which the Treasurer may invest include U.S. Treasury and U.S. Government agency securities; state and/or local agency bonds, notes, warrants or certificates of indebtedness; bankers' acceptances; commercial paper; corporate notes; negotiable certificates of deposit; repurchase agreements; reverse repurchase agreements; securities lending; bank deposits; money market mutual funds; the State of California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF); Federally Insured Cash Accounts (FICA); and the investment pools managed by a Joint Powers Authority. As of June 30, 2025, all investments are in compliance with State law and with the Treasurer's Investment Policy.

Investments are stated at fair value. Fair value is established quarterly based on quoted market prices received from the securities custodian. Fair value of investments held fluctuates with interest rates. The fair value of participants' position in the Pool is the same as the value of the Pool shares. The value of participants' equity withdrawn is based on the book value of the participants' percentage participation at the date of such withdrawal.

The Pool participates in LAIF and the California Asset Management Program (CAMP). Investments in LAIF and CAMP are governed by state statutes and overseen by a five-member Local Investment Advisory Board and a seven-member Board of Trustees, respectively. The Pool participates in the Federally Insured Cash Account program (FICA) which is governed by state and federal statutes and overseen by a seven-member Board of Directors.

The California State Treasurer's Office operates the LAIF. LAIF is available for investment of funds administered by California local governments and special districts and is not registered with the SEC as an investment company. The enabling legislation for LAIF is Section 16429.1 et seq. of the California Government Code. The Local Investment Advisory Board (LIAB) provides oversight for LAIF.

CAMP is a California Joint Powers Authority established in 1989 to provide California public agencies with professional investment services. The CAMP Pool is a permitted investment for all local agencies under California Government Code Section 53601(p).

FICA is managed by StoneCastle Cash Management, LLC (StoneCastle) and is registered with the SEC as a Registered Investment Advisor. This program places the County's cash in deposit accounts at banks and savings institutions (Insured Depositories) in a manner that maintains full insurance of the funds by the FDIC. FICA is open to participants that are (a) both "accredited investors" under the Securities Act of 1933 and "qualified purchasers" under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as amended and/or (b) U.S. governmental units.

LAIF, CAMP, and FICA operate and report to participants on an amortized cost basis. For both LAIF and CAMP, the income, gains, and losses, net of administration fees, are allocated based upon the participant's average daily balance. CAMP and LAIF participants share proportionally in any realized gains or losses on investments. For FICA, interest is accrued daily on each Insured Depository and paid monthly. Deposits in LAIF and CAMP are not insured or otherwise guaranteed by the State of California, while the FICA deposit accounts are insured by the FDIC and are fully guaranteed by the U.S. Government. The fair value of the LAIF and CAMP investment pools are approximately equal to the value of the pool shares. The fair value of FICA is approximately equal to the value of all cash on deposit with the Insured Depositories.

Credit Risk and Concentration of Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer or other counterparty to an investment will not fulfill its obligations. Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of an investment in a single issuer. The Treasurer mitigates these risks by holding a diversified portfolio of high-quality investments.

The following is a summary of the concentration of credit risk distribution by investment type as a percentage of fair value at June 30, 2025:

<u>Treasurer's Pooled Investments</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>% of Portfolio</u>	<u>Max % of Portfolio Pool Policy</u>
CAMP	\$ 60,000,000	2.37%	Limited by CAMP
LAIF	50,000,000	1.98%	Limited by LAIF
FICA	5,000,000	.20%	Limited by FICA
US Treasury Bills and Notes	1,281,206,650	50.67%	100%
Government Agency Bonds	667,150,010	26.39%	100%
Government Agency Discount Notes	119,140,100	4.71%	100%
Government Agency Bonds - Callable	346,011,523	13.68%	50%
	<u>\$ 2,528,508,283</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	

The Treasurer's Investment Policy sets specific parameters by type of investment to be met at the time of purchase. Commercial paper obligations and negotiable certificates of deposit shall be rated by at least two of the three major rating services at a minimum of F1 by Fitch, P-1 by Moody's and A-1 by Standard & Poor's (S&P). Corporate notes, with a maturity greater than three years, shall be rated at a minimum of AA by at least two of the three major rating services. Corporate notes, with a maturity of three years or less, shall be rated at a minimum of AA- by at least two of the three major ratings services. Corporate Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (TLGP) notes shall be rated AAA by one of three major ratings services.

The following is a summary of the credit quality distribution by investment type as a percentage of fair value at June 30, 2025:

<u>Treasurer's Pooled Investments</u>	<u>Moody's</u>	<u>S&P</u>	<u>Fitch</u>	<u>% of Portfolio</u>
CAMP	Not Rated	AAAm	Not Rated	2.37%
LAIF	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	1.98%
FICA	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated	.20%
Government Agency Bond Notes	Aa1	AA+	AA+	13.26%
Government Agency Bond Notes	Aa1	AA+	AA+	31.52%
US Treasury Bills and Notes	Aa1	AA	AA+u	50.67%
Total Treasurer's Pooled Investments				<u>100.00%</u>

Instruments in any one issuer that represent 5% or more of the County's investments as of June 30, 2025, are as follows (excluding external investment pools and debt explicitly guaranteed by the U.S. government):

Issuer	Issuer Type	Fair Value Holdings	Percentage Holdings
Treasurer's Pooled Investments:			
Federal Home Loan Bank	Government Sponsored	\$ 797,063,790	29.72%
Federal Farm Credit Bank	Government Sponsored	189,574,160	7.07%
		<u>\$ 986,637,950</u>	<u>36.79%</u>

Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that the Pool will not be able to recover the value of investment securities that are in the possession of an outside party. All securities owned by the Pool are deposited in trust for safekeeping with a custodial bank different from the County's primary bank. Securities are not held in broker accounts.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. The Pool mitigates this risk by making longer-term investments only with funds that are not needed for current cash flow purposes and holding these securities to maturity. The maturity of investments purchased is governed by a demand for funds analysis of prior periods' revenues and expenditures and is also determined by current cash flow demands assessed on an ongoing basis. The Treasurer's Investment Policy also dictates that the final maturity date of any individual security shall not exceed five years and that long-term investments (greater than one year), in the aggregate, shall not exceed 75% of the portfolio. On June 30, 2025, the weighted average days to maturity for the Pool was 664 days.

A summary of interest rate, maturity ranges, and weighted average days to maturity for the Treasurer's investments is as follows:

Treasurer's Pooled Investments:	Principal	Fair Value	Interest Rate Range	Maturity Range	Weighted Average Days to Maturity
CAMP	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 60,000,000	4.26 - 4.95	7/25 - 6/26	48
LAIF	50,000,000	50,000,000	.38 - 6.41	7/25 - 8/50	248
FICA	5,000,000	5,000,000	4.26	1 Day	1 Day
US Treasury Bills*	49,222,801	49,728,800	Discount	8/25	45
US Treasury Notes	1,199,469,169	1,231,477,850	.64 - 4.91	7/25 - 8/29	934
Government Agency Bonds	662,989,949	667,150,010	.90 - 4.87	8/25 - 10/25	747
Government Agency Discount Notes*	116,997,612	119,140,100	4.16 - 4.32	7/24	61
Government Agency Bonds - Callable	352,202,603	346,011,523	.5 - 4.94	7/25 - 12/29	368
	<u>\$ 2,495,882,134</u>	<u>\$ 2,528,508,283</u>			

** US T-Bills and Government Agency Discount Notes are purchased at a discount. The difference between maturity value and principal is apportioned to the investment pool earnings.*

The weighted average days to maturity of the underlying securities held in the LAIF and CAMP pools presented above are 217 and 38, respectively. For purposes of the weighted average maturity calculation, the County assumes that all its investments will be held to maturity.

The fair value of investments generally changes with the fluctuations of interest rates. In a rising interest rate market, the fair value of investments could decline below original cost. Conversely, when interest rates decline, the fair value of investments increases. The Treasurer believes liquidity in the portfolio is sufficient to meet cash flow needs for the next six months and will preclude the Treasurer from having to sell investments below amortized cost. On June 30, 2025, \$29,318,850 or 1.21% of the Treasurer's Pooled Investments was held in U.S.

agency step-up notes. These securities grant the issuer the option to call the note on a certain specified date(s). On a certain date, or dates, the coupon rate of the note increases (steps up) by an amount specified at the inception of the note.

4. INTEREST APPORTIONMENT

Earnings realized on investments based on amortized cost are distributed to Pool participants and are calculated using the accrual basis of accounting. Section 27013 of the California Government Code authorizes the Treasurer's Office to deduct administrative fees related to deposits and investments. The net realized earnings on investments are apportioned to Pool participants quarterly based upon each participant's average daily cash balance. Unrealized gains and losses are also apportioned quarterly to participants based upon the participant's ending cash balance.

The Treasurer may purchase securities at a discount from face value to earn higher than nominal rates of return. Under Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools*, such discount, when realized, is considered gain rather than interest.

5. INTEREST RECEIVABLE

Receivables consist of accrued interest, amortized premiums and discounts, and purchased interest.

6. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The Pool categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. These principles recognize a three-tiered fair value hierarchy, as follows:

- Level 1: Investments reflect prices quoted in active markets;
- Level 2: Investments reflect prices that are based on a similar observable asset either directly or indirectly, which may include inputs in markets that are not considered to be active; and
- Level 3: Investments reflect prices based upon unobservable sources.

The following is a description of the valuation methods and assumptions used by the County to estimate the fair value of its investments. There have been no changes in the methods and assumptions used on June 30, 2025. The methods described may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. County management believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants. The use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different fair value measurement at the reporting date.

The County treasury pool's asset market prices are derived from closing bid prices as of the last business day of the month as supplied by IDSI Institutional Bond Quotes. Where prices are not available from generally recognized sources, the securities are priced using a yield-based matrix system to arrive at an estimated market value. Prices that fall between data points are interpolated. When available, quoted prices are used to determine fair value. When quoted prices in active markets are available, investments are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

For investments classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the County's custodians generally use a multi-dimensional relational model. Inputs to their pricing models are based on observable market inputs in active markets. The inputs to the pricing models are typically benchmark yields, reported trades, broker-dealer quotes, issuer spreads and benchmark securities, among others. Investments classified at Level 3 represent securities that are entirely owned by the County and have not traded publicly. The securities are priced using a yield-based matrix system to arrive at an estimated market value. Prices that fall between data points are interpolated.

The Pool has the following recurring fair value measurements as of June 30, 2025:

		Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Events (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Investments By Fair Value Level	June 30, 2025			
US Treasuries	\$ 1,281,206,650	\$ -	\$ 1,281,206,650	\$ -
Government Agency Bonds	667,150,010	-	667,150,010	-
Government Agency Discount Notes	119,140,100	-	119,140,100	-
Government Agency Bonds - Callable	346,011,523	-	346,011,523	-
Total Investment Measured at Fair Value	\$ 2,413,508,283	\$ -	\$ 2,413,508,283	\$ -
Investments Not Subject to Fair Value Hierarchy				
CAMP	60,000,000			
LAIF	50,000,000			
FICA	5,000,000			
Total Pooled and Directed Investments	<u>\$ 2,528,508,283</u>			

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER
FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS
BASED ON AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED
IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

To the Santa Barbara Treasurer's
Oversight Committee and
The Board of Supervisors
County of Santa Barbara, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Treasurer's Investment Pool of the County of Santa Barbara (the County), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2025, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Treasurer's Investment Pool's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 2, 2025.

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) relating to the Treasurer's Investment Pool as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control relating to the Treasurer's Investment Pool. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control relating to the Treasurer's Investment Pool.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Treasurer's Investment Pool's basic financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

Report on Compliance and Other Matters


As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Treasurer's Investment Pool's basic financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the County's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which

could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of This Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control or on compliance relating to the Treasurer's Investment Pool. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control and compliance relating to the Treasurer's Investment Pool. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

BROWN ARMSTRONG
ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Brown Armstrong Accountancy Corporation". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Bakersfield, California
September 2, 2025

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON STATE COMPLIANCE

To the Santa Barbara Treasurer's
Oversight Committee and
The Board of Supervisors
County of Santa Barbara, California

Report on Compliance with the California Government Code

Opinion

We have audited the County of Santa Barbara's (the County) compliance with the Treasury Oversight Committee (the Committee) provisions contained in Sections 27130-27137 of the California Government Code (Government Code) that could have a direct and material effect on the County for the year ended June 30, 2025. Compliance with the requirements referred to above is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the provisions contained in Sections 27130-27137 of the Government Code referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the County for the year ended June 30, 2025.

Basis for Opinion on Compliance

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Government Code. Our responsibilities under those standards and the Government Code are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the County and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on the County's compliance with provisions contained in Sections 27130-27137 of the Government Code. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance with those requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or agreements applicable to the County.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in

accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Government Code will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the County's compliance with the requirements of Sections 27130-27137 of the Government Code as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Government Code, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the County's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the County's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with Sections 27130-27137 of the Government Code, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of Sections 27130-27137 of the Government Code. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

BROWN ARMSTRONG
ACCOUNTANCY CORPORATION

*Brown Armstrong
Accountancy Corporation*

Bakersfield, California
September 2, 2025