## ATTACHMENT B

## RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF THE COUNTY OF SANTA )	
BARBARA ELECTING NOT TO BECOME THE )	Resolution No. 12
SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT)	
AGENCY OF THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA )	

**WHEREAS**, on November 27, 1990, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Barbara adopted the redevelopment plan for the Isla Vista Redevelopment Project Area; and

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Barbara ("Redevelopment Agency") is engaged in activities to execute and implement the Redevelopment Plan pursuant to the provisions of the California Community Redevelopment Law (Health and Safety Code § 33000, et seq.); and

WHEREAS, on June 29, 2011, as part of the 2011-12 State budget bill, the California Legislature enacted and the Governor signed into law companion bills ABX1 26 and ABX1 27, requiring that each redevelopment agency be dissolved under ABX1 26 unless the community that created it enters a "Alternative Voluntary Redevelopment Program" under ABX1 27; and

WHEREAS, an action challenging the constitutionality of ABX1 26 and ABX1 27 was brought on behalf of cities and redevelopment agencies in the case of California Redevelopment Association et al v. Matosantos (S194861) ("Matosantos case") and the California Supreme Court stayed portions of ABX1 26 and ABX1 27; and

WHEREAS, on December 29, 2011 the California Supreme Court rendered a decision in the Matosantos case whereby it upheld most of ABX1 26, including those provisions requiring the dissolution of all redevelopment agencies and struck down ABX1 27; and

WHEREAS, ABX1 26 creates successor agencies ("Successor Agency" or "Successor Agencies") to be responsible for winding down dissolved redevelopment agencies' activities and carrying out its enforceable obligations; and

WHEREAS, ABX1 26, under California Health and Safety Code Section 34173(d), provides that a city or county that authorized the creation of each redevelopment agency may elect not to serve as its Successor Agency; and

WHEREAS, ABX1 26, at California Health and Safety Code Section 34173(d), further provides that a city or county that elects not to serve as a Successor Agency must file a copy of a duly authorized resolution of its governing board to that effect with the county auditor-controller no later than one month prior to October 1, 2011; and

WHEREAS, the Court in the Matosantos case extended the deadline to pass a resolution electing not to serve as a Successor Agency to January 13, 2012; and

WHEREAS, under dissolution of the County of Santa Barbara Redevelopment Agency pursuant to ABX1 26, the Board of Supervisors wishes the County of Santa Barbara to not become the Successor Agency for the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Barbara.

## NOW, THEREFORE BE IT FOUND AND RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The above recitations are true and correct.
- 2. The Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Barbara elects not to become the Successor Agency for the Redevelopment Agency of the County of Santa Barbara pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 34173.
- 3. The Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Barbara finds, under CEQA Guideline Sections 15378(b)(4) and (5), that this Resolution is exempt from the requirements of the CEQA in that it is not a "project," but instead consists of the creation of governmental funding mechanisms or fiscal activities which do not involve any commitment to any specific project or program.

	by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa ay of 2012, by the following vote:
AYES:	
NOES:	
ABSTAIN:	
ABSENT:	
	COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
	By: DOREEN FARR, CHAIR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
ATTEST: CHANDRA L. WALLAR CLERK OF THE BOARD	
By:	

APPROVED AS TO LEGAL FORM:	APPROVED AS TO ACCOUNTING FORM:
DENNIS A. MARSHALL	ROBERT W. GEIS, CPA
AGENCY COUNSEL	AUDITOR CONTROLLER
By:	By: Deputy Auditor-Controller