

de la Guerra, Sheila

Public Comment-Group 2

#2

From: Anna Carrillo <annacarp@cox.net>
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2020 4:01 PM
To: sbcob; Hart, Gregg; Williams, Das; Hartmann, Joan; Lavagnino, Steve; Adam, Peter
Subject: Comments to be READ ALOUD INTO THE RECORD FOR ITEM DEALING WITH P&D REQUEST



Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I support the odor issue being dealt with separately from the rest of the cannabis Land Use Amendments. We in Carpinteria have been dealing with this for the last 3 years and can not wait for this to be resolved only by winter of 2021 - that would make this problem last for 4 years.

We need this odor problem to be dealt with as soon as possible because our health and quality of life are suffering. In Carpinteria, it is not fair that 24 operators are causing this nuisance for approximately 20,000 residents.

It is incumbent on your board to require that in order to operate a cannabis cultivation site and a processing site, there needs to be carbon filters installed in both and by a certain date to continue to operate while their land use permit is being applied for. The vapor phase system that many use does not work, is spewing more stuff into the air and is causing health issues for some. Odor must not leave the property. Opening vents in greenhouses is akin to growing outdoors and the residents in Carpinteria need to be protected, not the cannabis growers.

Your board also needs to deal properly with expansion of nonconforming sites. At last week's hearing re: Herbal Angels I don't think you understood properly that their expansion was never investigated because they then applied for their land use permit and were then excused. That must not happen again. There must be some punishment for flouting rules for non-conforming.

de la Guerra, Sheila


From: Villalobos, David
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2020 8:02 AM
To: sbcob
Cc: Klemann, Daniel; Lehr, Kathryn; Jackson, Melanie
Subject: FW: Letter re: West Coast Farms Prject
Attachments: West coast Cannabis Letter.docx

From: Jan Davidson <winemath@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 23, 2020 5:03 PM
To: Villalobos, David <dvillalo@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>
Subject: Letter re: West Coast Farms Prject

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

For tomorrow's meeting

--
Cheers!



Jan Davidson
805-736-3354
Jan@LaughLinesCo.com
Laugh Lines
85 West Highway 246
Buellton, CA 93427

March 22, 2020

Re: Santa Barbara West Coast Farms Project.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please deny the Santa Barbara West Coast Farms Project. This is another large grow along our beautiful Corridor into the Santa Rita Hills Wine Appellation.

It is my understanding that this is an investor group and not residents of our County. Please represent your county residents, not opportunists with no personal history here in our County.

Our beautiful rural lifestyle will be forever polluted by irresponsibly allowing the proliferation of industrial cannabis production operations like Busy Bee to line the scenic rural corridor along Hwy 246 called out in the Santa Ynez Valley Plan, and in close proximity to NINETEEN ADDITIONAL huge cannabis cultivation projects threatening to engulf our pristine neighborhoods. Please consider the **Cumulative Impacts of Project Clusters**.

There will be glaring visual and olfactory impacts that will permanently destroy the unique character of our community. How will odors be contained?

Carpenteria residents have filed a Class Action Lawsuit because of the lack of proper Odor controls. Is this what we need?

I strongly urge you to responsibly limit the amount of cannabis allowed per parcel in Santa Barbara County, and not allow hundreds of acres to be concentrated among properties in such a small area. Why are we not in line with other California counties on this issue? For perspective, Sonoma County has capped cannabis cultivation projects at one acre. The laxity of Santa Barbara County cannabis acreage limits is obscene. The impact cannabis crops are having on neighboring Vineyards and other crops that have been here for decades should be strictly controlled.

We already produce more Cannabis than California can consume. Where is all this Pot going to go?

Are you letting Santa Barbara County grow for the black market?

Respectfully,



Jan Davidson
Santa Rita Hills Landowner
30 Year Resident