

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: jeff kruthers <jeff.kruthers@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 10:13 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: GavPAC v California Coastal Commission

Dear Clerk of the Board,

Thank you for hearing and recording my concerns as a county resident since 1963; a resident of the Gaviota Coast since 1974.

I am deeply concerned that the far distant hirelings of the California Coastal Commission management staff in San Francisco could convince my elected Board of Supervisors that so-called ESHA, in the event of a wildfire, is more important than the house I've lived in for 20 years. Adopting the staff's recommendations has the potential to put my home at risk and prevent me from renewing my fire insurance policy. My family's existence could be put at risk with their "suggested modifications."

Furthermore, it is simply outrageous that the public trails desired by some would not be put to the same regulations and environmental review as any other form of development along the Gaviota Coast. People, their dogs, their motor-bikes, etc. can impose a negative impact to the environment just as any other influence.

Rather than submit to a boiler-plate, one-size-fits-all proclamation, our county supervisors ought to have the ability to determine whether trails managed for educational, scientific or even recreational access would be preferable to unmanaged trails without supervision into areas with little, or heretofore absent of, human activity.

Please stand up for the determinations of your constituents as expressed in the locally produced GavPAC plan.

Thank you,

Jeff

Jeff Kruthers
jeff.kruthers@gmail.com
805-567-1008

