

LATE  
DIST

Group 1 #3

de la Guerra, Sheila

**From:** Lackie, David  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 6, 2019 11:25 AM  
**To:** Board Letters  
**Cc:** Harris, Julie  
**Subject:** FW: Hoop Ordinance 3-12-2019  
**Attachments:** HOOPS 3-12-2019.docx

Forwarding a public comment letter for the Hoops Ordinance Amendment project on the March 12th Board agenda.

Thanks

David Lackie  
Supervising Planner - Long Range Planning  
County of Santa Barbara  
123 E. Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101  
805.568.2023  
[dlackie@countyofsb.org](mailto:dlackie@countyofsb.org)

For more information about Long Range Planning go to:  
<http://longrange.sbcountyplanning.org/>

---

**From:** Mark Preston <preston.mark7@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 06, 2019 11:06 AM  
**To:** Hart, Gregg <gHart@countyofsb.org>; Williams, Das <DWilliams@countyofsb.org>; Hartmann, Joan <jHartmann@countyofsb.org>; Lavagnino, Steve <steve.lavagnino@countyofsb.org>; Adam, Peter <peter.adam@countyofsb.org>  
**Cc:** Nancy Emerson <fnemerson@comcast.net>; Lackie, David <DLackie@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>; Bell, Allen <abell@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>  
**Subject:** Hoop Ordinance 3-12-2019

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Attached is a one page WORD DOC with my comments on the Hoop Ordinance issue scheduled for March 12, 2019. It is a plea to deal with the used/degraded plastic on hoops.

I have CCd Monique Limon and Hannah-Beth Jackson

--  
Mark  
Preston

## HOOP Plastic

Thousands of pounds of plastic hoop coverage are being put up every month. Product life is 4-5 years. The question of where the degraded plastic goes has been ignored.

Inexplicably the EIR position on this difficult issue was to do nothing.

### **FROM EIR:**

*Mitigation to reduce this impact to a less significant level was considered; however, no feasible measures were identified. The major barrier to agricultural plastics recycling is the lack of a consistent recycling market for the plastics.*

*Under existing conditions when a recycling market is available, it offers an incentive to farmers who are already incentivized to recycle plastic when there is a market available because the farmers stand to earn money from their plastic waste versus instead of spending money to have it hauled and deposited in a landfill.*

*Thus, additional ordinance requirements to recycle agricultural plastics would have no effect on actual practice.*

The question is, what happens to Hoop Plastic when no longer usable? There is absolutely no guidance, or even comment. The answer is uncomfortable, but simple. If there is no recycling market, it must go to landfill. It is totally unacceptable to bury it, or let it degrade on site.

The State of California has curtailed the distribution of plastic straws and utensils. The City of Santa Barbara has banned them outright. The usage is down, and at least, we know where and how the straws are disposed of.

Staff and the people in this room (except for possibly Supervisor Adam) don't have a clue about where these hundreds of thousands of pounds of used material is going. Either that, or they are reticent to say.

### **I propose the following:**

**Plastic sheeting that has degraded and reached its useful end of life (EOL) must be disposed of in an approved manner. EOL is defined as sheeting that is torn, brittle, discolored or beyond further use.**

**It cannot be left on hoop frames, or on property in a degraded condition. Disposal is the responsibility of the landowner, and specified in lease contracts, if applicable.**

This is not an unreasonable request, and it has been ignored in the several meetings that I have attended with Planning Commission and staff. If this is not dealt with I will take my concerns to Sacramento. I will set aside 4 or 5 days to go door to door at every elected official and State Board that is applicable.

CC Monique Limon, Hannah-Beth Jackson. Additionally, **EVERY** legislator that supported AB-1884 (banning of plastic straws)

Mark Preston, Buellton CA

**de la Guerra, Sheila**

---

**From:** Liz R <lizrogan@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 8, 2019 11:04 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Reject Planning Commission Proposed Changes

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Supervisors:

Please reject the proposed changes made by the Planning Commission to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoops either should not be regulated at all if they are lower in height than 20 feet, or the ordinance amendment should be adopted without the Planning Commission recommended changes.

Hoops offer a number of benefits that make specialty crops profitable and ensure long term agricultural viability, including:

- Reducing water use
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops and even increasing the number of potential crops per year
- Protecting crops from inclement weather
- Decreasing pesticide use by providing a barrier to insects.

I urge you to resist the pressure to place visual concerns over agricultural productivity. There is nothing wrong with the appearance of hoops – they are as necessary as hay barns and packing sheds. The existing ordinance includes a wide array of mitigation measures. Enough is enough. Agriculture must be encouraged and supported or we will lose the opportunity to preserve our precious agricultural land.

Most of us have to make a living – and that includes farmers. Extended land use permitting, Development Plans, and the like blow a farmer's budget right out the window. Their margin of profit is just too slim to endure the expense, let alone the delay, involved in the County process.

Please reject the Planning Commission changes and adopt the ordinance amendment as originally proposed – or just elect not to regulate hoops under 20 feet in height entirely.

Liz Rogan  
Cannabis Consultant & Educator  
805.708.3509  
[lizrogan@gmail.com](mailto:lizrogan@gmail.com)  
[lizrogan.com](http://lizrogan.com)

**de la Guerra, Sheila**

---

**From:** Collin Dvorak <collin@sanmarcosconsulting.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 8, 2019 11:07 AM  
**To:** Villalobos, David; sbcob; Williams, Das; Lavagnino, Steve; Adam, Peter; Hart, Gregg; Hartmann, Joan  
**Subject:** Petition to Protect Santa Barbara Farmers  
**Attachments:** protect-local-santa-barbara-farmers.pdf

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Esteemed Supervisors,

Attached please find a Petition signed by 277 local residents asking the Board to exempt from permitting all hoops under 20 feet that comply with the development standards set forth by the Planning Commission. We respectfully ask the Board to remove the additional restrictions on hoops in the Design Overlay.

Thank you for your time.

Collin Dvorak  
CEO, San Marcos Consulting  
805.341.7599

This e-mail message contains confidential, privileged information intended solely for the addressee. Please do not read, copy, or disseminate it unless you are the addressee. If you have received it in error, please call us at +1 805 341 7599 and ask to speak with the message sender. Also, we would appreciate your forwarding the message back to us and deleting it from your system. Thank you.



This petition has collected  
277 signatures  
using the online tools at [ipetitions.com](http://ipetitions.com)

Printed on 2019-03-08

# PROTECT LOCAL SANTA BARBARA FARMERS

About this petition

February 20, 2019

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support

123 East Anapamu Street

Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment

County Board of Supervisors Hearing on March 12, 2019

Dear Supervisors:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A 4,000 square foot limit (1/10th of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres. Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland in the Santa Ynez

Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.

The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.

Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are under 20 feet in height and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

## Signatures

1. Name: Nate Ryan on 2019-02-21 02:24:12  
Comments:

---
2. Name: LOCAL FARMERS on 2019-02-21 05:08:20  
Comments:

---
3. Name: alex robles on 2019-02-21 12:38:24  
Comments:

---
4. Name: Meghan Cook on 2019-02-21 23:17:52  
Comments:

---
5. Name: Kevin Sanders on 2019-02-22 08:12:21  
Comments: This medicine saved my life. I've seen it's effects on cancer patients both human and animal. Also the extra income for the county city and so on.

---
6. Name: Judy Savoy on 2019-02-22 12:54:21  
Comments:

---
7. Name: Elijah Spina on 2019-02-23 19:00:30  
Comments: Our local farmers are dutiful stewards of these beautiful lands, drive our bountiful economy and help advance the positive societal and medical impacts of cannabis. They deserve to be supported with responsible policies!

---
8. Name: Alex Hanson on 2019-02-23 19:21:33  
Comments:

---
9. Name: KEITH Kawano on 2019-02-23 20:20:00  
Comments: Please pass this just like other agriculture farmer's, we are in same game .

---
10. Name: James Castillo on 2019-02-24 00:42:01  
Comments:

---
11. Name: Tony on 2019-02-24 01:26:22  
Comments:

---
12. Name: Annette Russell on 2019-02-24 02:45:42  
Comments: Cannabis is a plant/herb/medicinal & was used for thousands of years until Nixon came along.

---
13. Name: Zee\_highleafextracts on 2019-02-24 17:44:09



Comments:

- 
14. Name: Noah Sayres on 2019-02-24 19:32:24  
Comments:
- 
15. Name: chase on 2019-02-24 20:05:34  
Comments:
- 
16. Name: Pierce Glover on 2019-02-24 20:06:29  
Comments: Yeah!
- 
17. Name: Barbara on 2019-02-25 04:54:41  
Comments: I strongly support legal and ethical cannabis farmers on the central coast. This crop represents a way for our local farmers to stay in agriculture and brings tax dollars to support our community's schools, police/fire services, infrastructure, and more. Supporting the ethical and legal farmers is the best way to beat back the black market and bad actors.
- 
18. Name: Tyler jackson on 2019-02-25 23:30:55  
Comments:
- 
19. Name: Tiffany on 2019-02-26 14:05:46  
Comments: These are necessary.
- 
20. Name: Brian DeBolt on 2019-02-27 05:09:18  
Comments:
- 
21. Name: Ryan Brigman on 2019-02-27 05:11:35  
Comments:
- 
22. Name: Anna boyd on 2019-02-27 05:39:38  
Comments: Let these farmers farm !! We need to reap the benefits of this healing plant !!
- 
23. Name: Victor Trujillo on 2019-02-27 05:41:06  
Comments: The best way to describe the ECS is as a balancing system (or homeostatic regulator): it sends neurotransmitters (messenger + communication molecules) to all your bodily parts to check that everything is working smoothly and confirm if anything needs to be rebalanced. When it finds a problem, it regulates it by sending further instructions to receptors that adjust how you feel and think.

So, what does it keep tabs on?

fertility, pregnancy, and reproductive systems

appetite, hunger, and digestive systems

sleep,

motor control,

pain and pleasure,

immune function,

effects of other cannabinoids,

temperature,

Because the ECS underpins most of our body's systems – like the immune, gastrointestinal, central and peripheral nervous, reproductive, digestive, and more – it remains a crucial opportunity for many researchers to uncover more answers about the medicinal benefits of cannabis, but woefully understudied. As cannabis moves towards the mainstream, more research to understand receptors and our ECS are sure to come.

- 
24. Name: Brian Adams on 2019-02-27 05:45:28  
Comments: Let farmers farm!
- 
25. Name: Levi Patterson on 2019-02-27 05:59:38  
Comments:
- 
26. Name: Virginia Bender on 2019-02-27 06:12:47  
Comments:
- 
27. Name: Marcus Thuna on 2019-02-27 06:24:31  
Comments:
- 
28. Name: Stephanie Wilson Hubbard on 2019-02-27 06:44:19  
Comments:
- 
29. Name: Cedric Greenwood on 2019-02-27 07:58:31  
Comments:
- 
30. Name: Robert Haugan on 2019-02-27 07:59:46  
Comments:
- 
31. Name: Mark Ashamalla on 2019-02-27 08:55:49  
Comments:
- 
32. Name: Peter Dugre on 2019-02-27 13:23:13  
Comments: Farmers grow plants. Cannabis is a plant. It's also already the most regulated

crop in the world. Continued regulatory pressure on these farmers risks sinking agriculture and opening the door to far less appealing uses of private lands. Stop treating cannabis plants and the farmers of them like a blight and some societal pariah.

- 
33. Name: Jose Arrieta on 2019-02-27 14:29:31  
Comments:
- 
34. Name: Nathaniel Ethridge on 2019-02-27 15:49:37  
Comments:
- 
35. Name: Chelsea Fabie on 2019-02-27 16:02:18  
Comments:
- 
36. Name: Jose Moreno on 2019-02-27 16:13:49  
Comments: It's a dumbass trump law
- 
37. Name: Eric Morrison on 2019-02-27 16:17:37  
Comments:
- 
38. Name: Rodney Medina on 2019-02-27 16:19:57  
Comments:
- 
39. Name: Shawnte Zamora on 2019-02-27 16:27:16  
Comments: I send my love and support!!
- 
40. Name: Sarah Schapel-Anderson on 2019-02-27 16:46:00  
Comments:
- 
41. Name: Jose Medina on 2019-02-27 17:01:55  
Comments: Thanks for the support
- 
42. Name: Adrienne Veillette on 2019-02-27 17:21:15  
Comments:
- 
43. Name: Coreen Padilla on 2019-02-27 18:08:20  
Comments:
- 
44. Name: Richard Smith on 2019-02-27 18:21:50  
Comments: I oppose restrictions associated with limiting hoop houses on county land. They are an integral part of protecting crops and revenue streams for local farmers.
- 
45. Name: Jody on 2019-02-27 18:22:17

46. Name: Mike on 2019-02-27 23:06:27  
Comments: Please don't kick all this valuable tax revenue out the door. WE NEED IT!!!  
Use it wisely and the entire county will benefit.

---

47. Name: Don Giles on 2019-02-28 01:06:24  
Comments:

---

48. Name: Brian Cogan on 2019-02-28 01:56:01  
Comments:

---

49. Name: Freddie Lancon on 2019-02-28 02:40:30  
Comments:

---

50. Name: Sandy Colombe on 2019-02-28 02:56:29  
Comments:

---

51. Name: Amy Marie Orozco on 2019-02-28 03:37:23  
Comments:

---

52. Name: James Buchanan on 2019-02-28 07:32:34  
Comments: I hope

---

53. Name: Amber Smith on 2019-02-28 13:35:52  
Comments:

---

54. Name: Anne on 2019-02-28 14:04:20  
Comments:

---

55. Name: Stacey wooten on 2019-03-01 02:44:56  
Comments:

---

56. Name: KELSEY O'REILLY on 2019-03-01 02:55:36  
Comments: Hoop houses. Are a tool used in all forms of agriculture to help protect our vital crops. We are a compliant regulated agricultural crop that relies on our freedom to produce crops for our livelihood. Taking away hoophouses would damage all farmers United together to grow.

---

57. Name: Buck drew on 2019-03-01 03:05:22  
Comments:

---

58. Name: Andy Hoffman on 2019-03-01 03:07:03  
Comments:
- 
59. Name: Nick Croson on 2019-03-01 03:08:03  
Comments:
- 
60. Name: Chantel Green on 2019-03-01 03:08:22  
Comments:
- 
61. Name: Anthony croson on 2019-03-01 03:10:18  
Comments:
- 
62. Name: Michael Fitzgibbons on 2019-03-01 03:11:48  
Comments:
- 
63. Name: Wil Ridge on 2019-03-01 03:20:47  
Comments:
- 
64. Name: Lion Eye Farm on 2019-03-01 03:22:48  
Comments:
- 
65. Name: Stacey Galbraith on 2019-03-01 03:23:48  
Comments:
- 
66. Name: Ross Budrakey on 2019-03-01 03:43:54  
Comments: God bless our farmers
- 
67. Name: Christopher Andrew Bowyer on 2019-03-01 03:54:04  
Comments:
- 
68. Name: Xiphos Corporation on 2019-03-01 03:58:33  
Comments:
- 
69. Name: James Spitzer on 2019-03-01 04:02:44  
Comments: These restrictions are not necessary nor are they a good balance with the needs of small farmers. Please do not impose these business destroying regulations. Thank you.
- 
70. Name: Mike Davis on 2019-03-01 04:06:49  
Comments:
- 
71. Name: Norberto magallon on 2019-03-01 05:29:37

Comments:

- 
72. Name: Darian on 2019-03-01 05:34:23  
Comments: Why would we ban something that helps us in a state of consistent drought.
- 
73. Name: Cory Phipps on 2019-03-01 05:38:17  
Comments:
- 
74. Name: Griffin on 2019-03-01 06:01:12  
Comments:
- 
75. Name: Eddie Sanders on 2019-03-01 06:12:36  
Comments:
- 
76. Name: Nicolas Demarest on 2019-03-01 06:14:46  
Comments:
- 
77. Name: Emily on 2019-03-01 06:21:35  
Comments:
- 
78. Name: james bond on 2019-03-01 06:22:05  
Comments:
- 
79. Name: Shelby on 2019-03-01 07:16:12  
Comments:
- 
80. Name: Cherish Evans on 2019-03-01 07:23:00  
Comments:
- 
81. Name: Andrew Harris on 2019-03-01 07:38:54  
Comments:
- 
82. Name: Lukas wallner on 2019-03-01 08:19:48  
Comments:
- 
83. Name: Kelsie Crane on 2019-03-01 13:39:38  
Comments: Please let the farmers keep their hoop houses. I have farmed in the Santa Barbara county for a few years on and off and it is pertinent that some crops get this extra protection. There is no reason not to allow this?! Thank you
- 
84. Name: Joshua Braun on 2019-03-01 13:57:29  
Comments: Santa Barbara's bullshit is old and tired. Keep up with the regulations and

see how you like it when some other county is raking in tax dollars after you chase all the legit growers out and you're stuck dealing with (and paying for) enforcement efforts against guerrilla growers.

---

85. Name: Chris Riley on 2019-03-01 15:05:24  
Comments: Protect local ag practice

---

86. Name: Zak Shaner on 2019-03-01 15:12:40  
Comments:

---

87. Name: jack crummer on 2019-03-01 16:23:27  
Comments:

---

88. Name: Mariah Moon on 2019-03-01 16:30:50  
Comments: Support our farmers by allowing this practice to not be limited.

---

89. Name: Maya Shoemaker on 2019-03-01 16:35:50  
Comments:

---

90. Name: Jerome crane on 2019-03-01 16:49:41  
Comments:

---

91. Name: Angel Johnson on 2019-03-01 16:54:20  
Comments:

---

92. Name: Coy Jones on 2019-03-01 17:17:51  
Comments:

---

93. Name: Erika Lane on 2019-03-01 17:18:16  
Comments: Sb farmland!

---

94. Name: Breez Smith on 2019-03-01 17:46:32  
Comments: Keep the support showing up for the right focus.

---

95. Name: Josephine Tiu on 2019-03-01 17:54:00  
Comments:

---

96. Name: Sefton Graham on 2019-03-01 18:03:12  
Comments:

---

97. Name: Joseph Z Reese on 2019-03-01 18:33:33  
Comments:

- 
98. Name: Angel Vazquez on 2019-03-01 19:05:04  
Comments:
- 
99. Name: Jasmine Anderson on 2019-03-01 19:09:23  
Comments:
- 
100. Name: Bruce Watkins on 2019-03-01 21:02:21  
Comments:
- 
101. Name: Johnny McCune on 2019-03-02 07:18:15  
Comments:
- 
102. Name: Javier Alvarez on 2019-03-02 16:38:22  
Comments:
- 
103. Name: Jason Navarro on 2019-03-02 16:50:26  
Comments:
- 
104. Name: Alexandria Garrett on 2019-03-02 20:14:59  
Comments:
- 
105. Name: Joseph ganong on 2019-03-02 21:54:25  
Comments: Protecting cannabis for those who can't stand up and fight them self's.
- 
106. Name: Cristina Romero on 2019-03-02 23:16:39  
Comments:
- 
107. Name: Bryan basinger on 2019-03-02 23:20:44  
Comments:
- 
108. Name: Kayl on 2019-03-03 00:25:24  
Comments: Keep it local
- 
109. Name: Sarah Wildwood on 2019-03-03 00:51:41  
Comments:
- 
110. Name: Ken Sorenson on 2019-03-03 01:13:33  
Comments:
- 
111. Name: Joseph Brown on 2019-03-03 01:22:32  
Comments:



- 
112. Name: Carlos Pollo on 2019-03-03 01:23:32  
Comments:
- 
113. Name: Marina Ross on 2019-03-03 01:36:37  
Comments:
- 
114. Name: Daniel Barrera on 2019-03-03 01:37:28  
Comments:
- 
115. Name: Todd Mitchell on 2019-03-03 02:37:52  
Comments: Hoops should continue to be exempt from permits as they fit size limitations already in place. Supporting SB County's specialty agricultural crops including berries and Cannabis should be of importance.
- Respectfully,
- Todd Mitchell
- 
116. Name: Stephen Scopatz on 2019-03-03 02:49:38  
Comments: I do not understand why the county would tell farmers how to farm.
- 
117. Name: Joshua Packard on 2019-03-03 05:21:37  
Comments:
- 
118. Name: Cristina Villanueva on 2019-03-03 06:11:53  
Comments:
- 
119. Name: jake zamorano on 2019-03-03 06:31:39  
Comments:
- 
120. Name: Kevin Myjak on 2019-03-03 07:46:00  
Comments:
- 
121. Name: Tina Frontado on 2019-03-03 16:45:03  
Comments:
- 
122. Name: David Esdaile on 2019-03-03 18:10:03  
Comments:
- 
123. Name: Caroline Esdaile on 2019-03-03 18:21:06  
Comments:
-

124. Name: Hannah on 2019-03-03 18:29:13  
Comments:

---

125. Name: Cyndy Halverson on 2019-03-03 18:34:25  
Comments: Size limits should not be imposed on these inoffensive structures.

---

126. Name: Hayley Hrehor on 2019-03-03 19:03:16  
Comments:

---

127. Name: Denise Long on 2019-03-03 19:12:13  
Comments:

---

128. Name: Matthew Lief on 2019-03-03 19:13:32  
Comments:

---

129. Name: Bruce Robertson on 2019-03-03 19:33:55  
Comments:

---

130. Name: Judi brisse on 2019-03-03 19:38:08  
Comments:

---

131. Name: Beth Long on 2019-03-03 20:53:49  
Comments: A covered canopy is a key piece of farming equipment for all agricultural whether it be Cannabis, Strawberries, Blueberries, Raspberries, Blackberries or flowers. It protects from off spray from wind drifts from neighboring farms, frost, powdery mildew, water evaporation, birds, butterflies that lay eggs , etc. The protection of the crop from these elements means a huge reduction in pesticides, herbicides, water usage, nutrients and product loss. The off spray in this County is a huge challenge for farmers. A covered canopy is the only piece of equipment to protect against a neighboring farm that is not OMRI certified.

---

132. Name: Stewart Fries Work on 2019-03-03 21:00:05  
Comments:

---

133. Name: Kim Snyder on 2019-03-03 21:40:51  
Comments:

---

134. Name: Cal Coast Compliance on 2019-03-03 23:01:15  
Comments:

---

135. Name: Erueby Nava on 2019-03-03 23:29:37  
Comments:

---

136. Name: Eileen Gillen on 2019-03-04 00:03:52  
Comments:

---

137. Name: Tess Kowalski on 2019-03-04 01:51:33  
Comments: protect our farmers!!

---

138. Name: Eric on 2019-03-04 01:51:57  
Comments: Don't take the hoops! The 805 is booming in a wonderful fashion

---

139. Name: Robert Fedor on 2019-03-04 01:55:21  
Comments: Santa Barbara County please honor your word to legal Cannabis farmers

---

140. Name: Byron Butler III on 2019-03-04 02:40:01  
Comments: Please rethink this ordinance as it will put a lot of farmers in a hard spot, after already jumping through many hoops(pun intended) and spending hundreds of thousands of dollars on local requirements. Thank you.

---

141. Name: James on 2019-03-04 04:01:59  
Comments:

---

142. Name: Byron Butler on 2019-03-04 04:40:07  
Comments:

---

143. Name: Casey on 2019-03-04 05:35:40  
Comments:

---

144. Name: Harvey Green on 2019-03-04 12:46:26  
Comments:

---

145. Name: Gigi on 2019-03-04 13:36:33  
Comments:

---

146. Name: Jacob Greenspan on 2019-03-04 16:27:37  
Comments:

---

147. Name: Ralphie on 2019-03-04 16:29:42  
Comments:

---

148. Name: w van valin on 2019-03-04 16:34:08  
Comments:

---

149. Name: George Schnackenberg on 2019-03-04 16:34:13

Comments:

---

150. Name: Max henderson on 2019-03-04 16:36:50  
Comments: N/A

---

151. Name: eve nicole on 2019-03-04 16:41:00  
Comments:

---

152. Name: Arie Tremblay on 2019-03-04 16:47:40  
Comments:

---

153. Name: Taylor Kessler on 2019-03-04 16:47:48  
Comments:

---

154. Name: Ryan Leonard on 2019-03-04 16:50:52  
Comments:

---

155. Name: Ana Ocampo on 2019-03-04 16:51:13  
Comments: We all need to make a living. These people are trying to make a decent living. Nothing Illegal Hoops are up temporary, not hurting anyone.

---

156. Name: Joe Duran on 2019-03-04 16:51:38  
Comments: Hardship for all

---

157. Name: Brenin on 2019-03-04 16:56:36  
Comments:

---

158. Name: Edward Loper on 2019-03-04 17:01:33  
Comments:

---

159. Name: Heidi Vandenoever on 2019-03-04 17:07:13  
Comments:

---

160. Name: Gilberto herrera jr on 2019-03-04 17:17:52  
Comments:

---

161. Name: Daniel Garcez on 2019-03-04 17:22:39  
Comments:

---

162. Name: Andrew Rodgers on 2019-03-04 17:33:16  
Comments:

---

163. Name: Abriana Diaz on 2019-03-04 17:40:50  
Comments:

---

164. Name: Camden Wirick on 2019-03-04 17:57:03  
Comments:

---

165. Name: Edgar Romero on 2019-03-04 18:17:42  
Comments:

---

166. Name: Eryn Gray on 2019-03-04 18:26:38  
Comments:

---

167. Name: Rosie Rafferty on 2019-03-04 18:45:11  
Comments:

---

168. Name: Elreda Linda on 2019-03-04 18:48:50  
Comments:

---

169. Name: Chris Harford on 2019-03-04 18:57:57  
Comments:

---

170. Name: Waldo on 2019-03-04 19:02:59  
Comments:

---

171. Name: Glenn Sisk on 2019-03-04 19:04:33  
Comments:

---

172. Name: Yolanda Hernandez on 2019-03-04 19:24:41  
Comments:

---

173. Name: Victoria Damian on 2019-03-04 19:32:33  
Comments:

---

174. Name: Joe Robillard on 2019-03-04 19:37:07  
Comments:

---

175. Name: Nicola Gilpin on 2019-03-04 19:39:46  
Comments:

---

176. Name: James Anshutz on 2019-03-04 21:53:28  
Comments:

---

177. Name: Max anther on 2019-03-04 22:19:44  
Comments:

---

178. Name: Bruce Thompson on 2019-03-04 23:00:17  
Comments: A covered canopy is a key piece of farming equipment for all agricultural whether it be Cannabis, Strawberries, Blueberries, Raspberries, Blackberries or flowers. It protects from off spray from wind drifts from neighboring farms, frost, powdery mildew, water evaporation, birds, butterflies that lay eggs , etc. The protection of the crop from these elements means a huge reduction in pesticides, herbicides, water usage, nutrients and product loss. The off spray in this County is a huge challenge for farmers. A covered canopy is the only piece of equipment to protect against a neighboring farm that is not OMRI certified.

---

179. Name: Terry Unzueta on 2019-03-04 23:01:24  
Comments:

---

180. Name: Eliana Britton on 2019-03-05 01:29:43  
Comments:

---

181. Name: Carl T Chenoweth on 2019-03-05 02:10:36  
Comments:

---

182. Name: Juan ocampo on 2019-03-05 02:14:46  
Comments:

---

183. Name: Alexis Diaz on 2019-03-05 02:15:32  
Comments:

---

184. Name: Alexis Rose on 2019-03-05 02:16:45  
Comments:

---

185. Name: Blanca Rodríguez on 2019-03-05 02:34:35  
Comments:

---

186. Name: Zachary Diaz on 2019-03-05 02:41:44  
Comments:

---

187. Name: Blanca Rodriguez on 2019-03-05 02:42:47  
Comments:

---

188. Name: Ryan Pehle on 2019-03-05 03:35:46  
Comments:

---

189. Name: Mercia on 2019-03-05 10:36:55  
Comments:

---

190. Name: Suzanne Baisa on 2019-03-05 10:44:55  
Comments:

---

191. Name: Alex Train on 2019-03-05 10:56:52  
Comments:

---

192. Name: Maria Sorenson on 2019-03-05 11:11:58  
Comments:

---

193. Name: Gayle Lizarraga on 2019-03-05 12:12:24  
Comments:

---

194. Name: Kimberly Conway on 2019-03-05 12:35:29  
Comments:

---

195. Name: Mark Miller on 2019-03-05 13:17:02  
Comments:

---

196. Name: Lisa Chavez on 2019-03-05 13:55:15  
Comments: Please allow farmers to use hoops.

---

197. Name: Nathanael Patton on 2019-03-05 14:27:38  
Comments: One of many crazy examples of California's overregulation, and they wonder why people are leaving to other states... protect the farmers and support them, darn it, we depend on them for food!

---

198. Name: Tamara Martinez on 2019-03-05 14:37:15  
Comments: Thus is ridiculous. Stop regulating us!

---

199. Name: Karen Albrecht on 2019-03-05 14:40:37  
Comments:

---

200. Name: Brian Carey on 2019-03-05 15:06:36  
Comments:

---

201. Name: matt briels on 2019-03-05 15:27:22  
Comments:

---

202. Name: Jennifer Cruz on 2019-03-05 15:35:51

Comments:

- 
203. Name: Cole on 2019-03-05 15:55:07  
Comments:
- 
204. Name: Andrew on 2019-03-05 16:05:52  
Comments:
- 
205. Name: Taryn Brush on 2019-03-05 16:39:37  
Comments:
- 
206. Name: Joe Boland on 2019-03-05 16:46:07  
Comments: Ok
- 
207. Name: christopher thomas on 2019-03-05 17:23:25  
Comments: Why would my own state do this, unless they do not want to have some good California grown produce
- 
208. Name: Kyla on 2019-03-05 17:25:21  
Comments:
- 
209. Name: Carrie Givens on 2019-03-05 17:55:12  
Comments:
- 
210. Name: Matt simas on 2019-03-05 18:25:35  
Comments: We need hoops allowed
- 
211. Name: Brittani R Kinney on 2019-03-05 18:58:12  
Comments:
- 
212. Name: Sara Swearingen on 2019-03-05 19:07:56  
Comments:
- 
213. Name: Jenna West on 2019-03-05 19:52:39  
Comments:
- 
214. Name: Samantha on 2019-03-05 20:01:50  
Comments:
- 
215. Name: Ladell Farsakian on 2019-03-05 20:31:49  
Comments: I support all benefits for using Hoop Structures for all farmers.
-



216. Name: Victoria McWhirk on 2019-03-05 20:49:21  
Comments:
- 
217. Name: Charles Ewer on 2019-03-05 20:52:13  
Comments: Find and work together on solutions...YOU CAN'T EAT MONEY
- 
218. Name: Todd Martin on 2019-03-05 21:11:47  
Comments:
- 
219. Name: Rose on 2019-03-05 22:50:37  
Comments: Thank you!
- 
220. Name: Dominique Delgado on 2019-03-06 01:27:52  
Comments:
- 
221. Name: Darrin thompson on 2019-03-06 01:40:48  
Comments:
- 
222. Name: Lisa Lloyd on 2019-03-06 03:22:02  
Comments:
- 
223. Name: Christopher Lloyd on 2019-03-06 03:25:19  
Comments:
- 
224. Name: Cheri Mason on 2019-03-06 03:28:10  
Comments: Why can't people let things be? Everyone that works taking care of crops so you can feed you pie hole and your family! Work Hard!!! I don't know why they use them but if it helps the crops and let the workers have shade from the hot sun!!! I'm all for it
- 
225. Name: Manuel on 2019-03-06 04:58:40  
Comments: Go hoops
- 
226. Name: Jaime Cesareo on 2019-03-06 05:05:36  
Comments: That is a ridiculous regulation. What's the point of limiting that even??
- 
227. Name: Kameron Marsh on 2019-03-06 05:08:36  
Comments: Stop trying to screw over small-time commercial agriculture
- 
228. Name: Aaron Schulman on 2019-03-06 05:28:44  
Comments: This is a no brainer. If Santa Barbara wants to be number one in cannabis tax collection it needs to understand Hoop houses have been used for all other crops and this is no different. Could have been broccoli, cauliflower, or any number of smelly and manure needing crops.

- 
229. Name: Brenda Espino on 2019-03-06 05:28:49  
Comments:
- 
230. Name: Luis Barboza on 2019-03-06 05:38:33  
Comments:
- 
231. Name: Joe A Garcia on 2019-03-06 16:20:56  
Comments: The Lompoc Valley Cannabis Association supports Santa Barbara County cannabis farmers.
- 
232. Name: Trevor Santos on 2019-03-06 17:15:18  
Comments:
- 
233. Name: Maria ceja on 2019-03-06 18:14:55  
Comments:
- 
234. Name: Goerge on 2019-03-06 21:17:44  
Comments: Shading is soo important to grow export crops a grade
- 
235. Name: Gilberto Raya on 2019-03-06 22:12:07  
Comments:
- 
236. Name: Olive Cadwell on 2019-03-06 23:54:49  
Comments: We grow organic produce at Tutti Frutti Farms and depend on hoop houses from frost protection and from spray drift from nearby conventional farms and vineyards! We have been using them for many years and wouldn't be able to keep up what we are doing now without them.
- 
237. Name: Lon Beard on 2019-03-07 02:50:08  
Comments: Hoops have been used in this county for years for agriculture and their should not be any discrimination for Cannabis under hoops.
- 
238. Name: Raudel Flores on 2019-03-07 04:15:00  
Comments:
- 
239. Name: Rosa Raya on 2019-03-07 05:36:48  
Comments: Thank you for listening...
- 
240. Name: Christina on 2019-03-07 05:41:15  
Comments:
-

241. Name: Victor Sepulveda on 2019-03-07 05:54:48  
Comments: Simple economic factor is; if your farmers are not producing enough crops rate will rise and you will then be paying larger amounts for simple fruits per pound, but NOW because canabiz is on the boom, you think you can squeeze everyone?? Thats what the mafia does when they see an opportunity to make capital gains over peoples lively hoods to extract money from them in fear of losing what they have is obviously wrong. This is the SB leaders tripping over dollars to pick up pennies. The Canabiz will give you all the gains you so desperately need. If you harm the crop with these regulations they will leave. Also these hoops are used seasonally not uear round, so your making a bigger deal over this subject.

---

242. Name: Alejandra Raya on 2019-03-07 06:16:57  
Comments:

---

243. Name: Justin El-Diwany on 2019-03-07 16:31:19  
Comments: Hoophouses are vital to what I do. As someone who has gone through the land use permitting face I couldn't possibly wait long enough to do the development plan especially since i need to be able to plant this spring. Das williams specifically stated he would vote against any rules that make it more difficult to come into compliance. Will this is one of them.

---

244. Name: Justin El-Diwany on 2019-03-07 16:32:39  
Comments:

---

245. Name: Jeff Wellman on 2019-03-07 16:50:07  
Comments:

---

246. Name: Laurel Fisher Perez on 2019-03-07 18:12:28  
Comments:

---

247. Name: Jason Kallen on 2019-03-07 21:00:04  
Comments: If you ban them for cannabis then you have to ban them across the country for all AG

---

248. Name: Alejandro Rodriguez on 2019-03-07 21:47:34  
Comments:

---

249. Name: Berenis Santos on 2019-03-07 21:51:51  
Comments:

---

250. Name: Martha ramirez on 2019-03-07 21:56:54  
Comments:

---

251. Name: Paul on 2019-03-07 22:00:00

Comments:

---

252. Name: Lane Donlon on 2019-03-07 22:10:46  
Comments:

---

253. Name: Jose Padilla on 2019-03-07 22:11:03  
Comments:

---

254. Name: Nick Stromberg on 2019-03-07 22:28:48  
Comments:

---

255. Name: Diana O'Connor on 2019-03-07 22:30:22  
Comments:

---

256. Name: Brittany buck on 2019-03-07 23:01:44  
Comments: People should be able to have the peace of mind that what theyre smoking is protected from harmful substances/insects/animals outside of buildings. People are happy with the way the workers have been doing their farming; taking resources with little reason is completely uncalled for and shameful, as the buildings and space are being used sufficiently. For people who need cannabis for medical purpose, they dont need to be smoking the natural/unnatural things going on outside. The weed market is already a hard one to succeed in, why impose with uncalled for regulations?

---

257. Name: Ricardo Hernandez on 2019-03-07 23:17:01  
Comments: Legalize

---

258. Name: amber on 2019-03-07 23:34:06  
Comments:

---

259. Name: Ian robertson on 2019-03-07 23:46:14  
Comments:

---

260. Name: John Hamala on 2019-03-08 00:28:04  
Comments:

---

261. Name: Nicole on 2019-03-08 00:39:45  
Comments:

---

262. Name: Kaponon L Curry on 2019-03-08 00:43:42  
Comments:

---

263. Name: Marci on 2019-03-08 01:04:50  
Comments: California has enough regulations on almost everything and we can see the

aftermath of those regulations. They don't help. There is such a thing as over regulating and I hope the farms win in this case. California needs to take a step back with it's over regulating.

---

264. Name: Jay Thompson on 2019-03-08 01:08:06  
Comments:

---

265. Name: Cesar Joaquin Trujillo on 2019-03-08 01:51:37  
Comments:

---

266. Name: John Livergood on 2019-03-08 01:52:56  
Comments:

---

267. Name: Caitlin maguire on 2019-03-08 02:30:11  
Comments:

---

268. Name: Melina Houle on 2019-03-08 02:53:51  
Comments: Support your local community! 805 farmers for life

---

269. Name: Josue Reyes on 2019-03-08 05:04:16  
Comments: Please don't take away hoop houses as they are very essential in saving water , helps by covering the crop from pesticides and debree .

---

270. Name: Jacob Pare on 2019-03-08 05:21:07  
Comments: This is absurd, hoop houses help so many different types of crops. Your not going to stop cannabis growers from growing by hindering the way that all farmers grow their crops. Hoop houses are essential to farming, and farming and agriculture is essential to not only California, but the rest of the United States. Save the hoop houses.

---

271. Name: Maxwell on 2019-03-08 06:54:33  
Comments:

---

272. Name: Thomas Moran on 2019-03-08 07:55:06  
Comments:

---

273. Name: Timothy Norris on 2019-03-08 10:41:48  
Comments: The cut-flower industry has a long And lustrous past ,now its up to cannabis to provide the people of Santa Barbara an opportunity to pay taxes ,open new business in retail , agriculture, science, medicine, etc.. jobs jobs jobs ONE hoop house = 3 jobs for Santa Barbara co. After all the fine work of the Santa Barbara cannabis community and countless hoops they no doubt had to jump through to responsibly create a legal market your gonna ban the hoop? We take two steps forward you take .....

---

274. Name: Joey White on 2019-03-08 14:42:48

Comments:

---

275. Name: Rachel DeCamp on 2019-03-08 16:56:46  
Comments: I strongly stand with our farmers and protecting our environment. The ability the hoops offer to hold in needed moisture and eliminate wasting water is a critical need in the Southern California area. Hoops also help in eliminating the use of harmful pesticides that go directly into ground water and streams. Using these hoops is also a ways farmers can protect there crops from wind, harmful bacteria that can cause mold devistating crops and insect problems. Please consider the regulations of using hoops is to make sure all farmers can use them and feel supported. They are absolutely critical to the farmers success for productivity. I understand the need to eliminate plastic. Please provide a measure that supports proper recycling of the plastic when taking down hoops. Hoops are critical for our farming needs!

---

276. Name: Brett Stephen on 2019-03-08 17:09:52  
Comments:

---

277. Name: Dana Olsen on 2019-03-08 17:20:28  
Comments: Requiring a land use permit for hoop houses adds large expense to our already costly organic veg growing operation.

---

**de la Guerra, Sheila**

---

**From:** Lion Eye Farms <lioneeyefarms@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 8, 2019 11:41 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Hoop Ordinance

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Supervisors:

We are a licensed, legal nonconforming cannabis cultivator. Agriculture is this County's primary industry and I would like to see as much land in the County remaining in agriculture as possible. I particularly support the preservation and promotion of farming in the Santa Ynez Valley because that is one of the area's most vulnerable to replacement of agriculture with ranchettes and other urban and suburban development.

I am writing regarding to request that you OPPOSE the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment.

Hoops and shade structures are important tools that maximize the crop cycles, and the profitability, of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County. One of the primary reasons for farming not being handed down from generation to generation in this County is the high value of land and the low profitability of most forms of agriculture. Too many in the younger generation just don't want to come back to the farm, work long hours, and make very little profit.

Hoops are the answer for many young, new farmers. They offer numerous benefits, all of which increase profitability, including:

- Reducing water use
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops to allow for more yield
- Protecting crops from inclement weather
- Increasing the variety of crops that can be grown in the County
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

I oppose the Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay (Design Overlay), requiring most potential farmers to seek new land use permits not currently required – more permitting, requiring a Development Plan, triggering CEQA – are just too costly and cause too much delay in planting, to be practical farmers.

As others have pointed out, vineyards were opposed on the grounds that they weren't as pretty as open range, when vineyards began to be widely planted in Santa Barbara County. Now we have people who have never farmed in their lives demanding that they not have to look at hoops – just as earlier residents complained about vineyards and their “mono culture. By increasing the obstacles to hoops, the County will drastically reduce the feasibility of many types of high yield crops, thereby threatening the long-term viability of agriculture in this County. These requirements eventually will all but drive out specialty crops that are so vital to the County's economy and that keep our open lands undeveloped. Were it not for the introduction of vineyards into Santa Ynez Valley and beyond, the parcelization and creation of ranchettes likely would have continued in the Valley. With the decline of oil production, which once subsidized thousands of acres of grazing land, who is going to be able to afford to raise cattle in this land of uncertain rainfall and forage?

As the Commission has modified it, the ordinance will place an impossible burden on the shoulders of farmers who have introduced new specialty crops to the County, have invested in land and long-term leases to grow

those crops, have complied with the extensive regulations already imposed on agriculture, have employed workers, and have paid their taxes. Who can make a living off 4,000 square feet of hoops? Only someone who has other sources of income, not the serious farmer. Given the profound impacts these proposed ordinance provisions will have on the County's agricultural industry, I believe that the Commission should treat hoops uniformly and reject the separate requirements related to the Design Overlay for non-electrified hoop and shade structures **under 20 feet in height.**

The Planning Commission's recommendation includes numerous mitigation measures to protect the County, such as restrictions on siting hoops on slopes, setbacks from creeks, and prohibitions on lighting. NO additional requirements should be placed on these temporary farming accessories.

Lion Eye Farms  
Santa Barbara County



**de la Guerra, Sheila**

---

**From:** Stacey Wooten <stacey@calcoastcompliance.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 8, 2019 11:13 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Hoop Ordinance

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Supervisors:

I represent cannabis farmers throughout the County. Agriculture is this County's primary industry and I would like to see as much land in the County remaining in agriculture as possible. I particularly support the preservation and promotion of farming in the Santa Ynez Valley because that is one of the area's most vulnerable to replacement of agriculture with ranchettes and other urban and suburban development.

I am writing regarding to request that you OPPOSE the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment.

Hoops and shade structures are important tools that maximize the crop cycles, and the profitability, of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County. One of the primary reasons for farming not being handed down from generation to generation in this County is the high value of land and the low profitability of most forms of agriculture. Too many in the younger generation just don't want to come back to the farm, work long hours, and make very little profit.

Hoops are the answer for many young, new farmers. They offer numerous benefits, all of which increase profitability, including:

- Reducing water use
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops to allow for more yield
- Protecting crops from inclement weather
- Increasing the variety of crops that can be grown in the County
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

I oppose the Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay (Design Overlay), requiring most potential farmers to seek new land use permits not currently required – more permitting, requiring a Development Plan, triggering CEQA – are just too costly and cause too much delay in planting, to be practical farmers.

As others have pointed out, vineyards were opposed on the grounds that they weren't as pretty as open range, when vineyards began to be widely planted in Santa Barbara County. Now we have people who have never farmed in their lives demanding that they not have to look at hoops – just as earlier residents complained about vineyards and their "mono culture. By increasing the obstacles to hoops, the County will drastically reduce the feasibility of many types of high yield crops, thereby threatening the long-term viability of agriculture in this County. These requirements eventually will all but drive out specialty crops that are so vital to the County's economy and that keep our open lands undeveloped. Were it not for the introduction of vineyards into Santa Ynez Valley and beyond, the parcelization and creation of ranchettes likely would have continued in the Valley. With the decline of oil production, which once subsidized thousands of acres of grazing land, who is going to be able to afford to raise cattle in this land of uncertain rainfall and forage?

As the Commission has modified it, the ordinance will place an impossible burden on the shoulders of farmers who have introduced new specialty crops to the County, have invested in land and long-term leases to grow

those crops, have complied with the extensive regulations already imposed on agriculture, have employed workers, and have paid their taxes. Who can make a living off 4,000 square feet of hoops? Only someone who has other sources of income, not the serious farmer. Given the profound impacts these proposed ordinance provisions will have on the County's agricultural industry, I believe that the Commission should treat hoops uniformly and reject the separate requirements related to the Design Overlay for non-electrified hoop and shade structures **under 20 feet in height.**

The Planning Commission's recommendation includes numerous mitigation measures to protect the County, such as restrictions on siting hoops on slopes, setbacks from creeks, and prohibitions on lighting. NO additional requirements should be placed on these temporary farming accessories.

Stacey Wooten

[stacey@calcoastcompliance.com](mailto:stacey@calcoastcompliance.com)

[www.calcoastcompliance.com](http://www.calcoastcompliance.com)

O: (805) 691-9095

**de la Guerra, Sheila**

---

**From:** Collin Dvorak <collin@sanmarcosconsulting.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 8, 2019 11:50 AM  
**To:** Villalobos, David; sbcob; Williams, Das; Lavagnino, Steve; Adam, Peter; Hart, Gregg; Hartmann, Joan  
**Subject:** Proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Supervisors,

I'm writing regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment.

My name is Collin Dvorak and I work in Santa Barbara County as a consultant and am the CEO of San Marcos Consulting. I offer agricultural business management plans for cannabis farmers in our county and have seen all of these farmers' agricultural challenges first hand. I can testify that my clients are local environmentally friendly farmers who have generations of agricultural knowledge under their belts and understand how best to look after our land and effectively produce the high-quality products that our county is known for.

Hoop equipment has been integral in saving farms.

The farms I work with pride themselves on pesticide-free organic growing practices, which, with the strict levels of testing applied to these farm's produce, is virtually impossible to achieve without hoops because of neighboring overspray. The liability of farmers who spray their farms is a concern to all of our county. Farmers protect each other with hoops.

Without hoops, high yield crops are not feasible. Farmers need to have access to cost-effective and environmentally friendly technology that can mitigate wild weather, keep out pests and manage crop schedules. With climate changes' sporadic weather conditions, restricting hoops in any way will severely devalue our county's agricultural integrity and land value.

I ask you to oppose this proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

All the best,--

Collin Dvorak  
CEO, San Marcos Consulting  
805.341.7599

This e-mail message contains confidential, privileged information intended solely for the addressee. Please do not read, copy, or disseminate it unless you are the addressee. If you have received it in error, please call us at +1 805 341 7599 and ask to speak with the message sender. Also, we would appreciate your forwarding the message back to us and deleting it from your system. Thank you

**de la Guerra, Sheila**

---

**From:** Jack Ucciferri <jackucciferri@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, March 8, 2019 12:21 PM  
**To:** Villalobos, David; sbcob; Williams, Das; Lavagnino, Steve; Adam, Peter; Hart, Gregg; Hartmann, Joan  
**Subject:** Re: Petition to Protect Santa Barbara Farmers  
**Attachments:** Hoop Structure Ordinance ltr.pdf

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Esteemed Supervisors,

Please find the petition and signatures actually attached this time.

Jack Ucciferri

On Fri, 8 Mar 2019 at 11:59, Jack Ucciferri <jackucciferri@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Esteemed Supervisors,

Attached please find a Petition signed by 600 local residents asking the Board to exempt from permitting all hoops under 20 feet that comply with the development standards set forth by the Planning Commission. We respectfully ask the Board to remove the additional restrictions on hoops in the Design Overlay.

Thank you for your time and attention to this issue.

Jack Ucciferri

BS

February 20, 2019

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Board of Supervisors Hearing on March 12, 2019

Dear Supervisors:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres.

Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.

The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.

Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Lmy Steele 4895 La Ramada dr. Santa Barbara CA 93111 Atto D

Name Address Signature

Garrett Burns 6636 Pasado Rd. Jim B

Name Address Signature

Carolina Sanchez 6581 Del Playa Pr. Cambria

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature  
Colt Brown 123 Stonebridge Rd [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Oliver Knill 6694 Sabado Tarde [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Tristan Westmark 6644 Sabado Tarde [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Zaira Adalan 6567 Del Norte [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Madeleine Allen 6567 Del Norte [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Alec Valdez 6667 El Colegio [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Janil Cazares 5095 Rhoads Ave [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Maria Cazares 5095 Rhoads Ave [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Lois Cazares 5095 Rhoads Ave [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Cristina Cazares 5095 Rhoads Ave [Signature]

Name Address Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Silvia Cazares

5095 Rhoads Ave




Name

Address

Signature

Ben Foster

633 E Canillo Blvd




Name

Address

Signature

Karol Osorio

819 E Montecito St.



Name

Address

Signature

Stefanie Johnston 750 Storke



Name

Address

Signature

Jordan Sayabath

6750 El Colegio Rd




Name

Address

Signature

Danae A Ramirez

4853 DP  
~~4853 DP~~




Name

Address

Signature

Joe Hernandez IV



Name

Address

Signature

Chloe Sclius

Santa Rosa Hall  
1217




Name

Address

Signature

Stacy Perez

828 Embarcadero  
Del Mar




Name

Address

Signature

Denna Sisay

6750 El Colegio  
Rd





Name


Address


Signature





Name	Address	Signature
Christian Kinkley	265 Hardy Rd TN	


Name	Address	Signature
Antonio Wenglikowski	1440 Decksider Ct Oxnard	


Name	Address	Signature
Alejandro Caamaru	6625 Del Playa	


Name	Address	Signature
Tyler Logan	" W "	


Name	Address	Signature
Joe Cohen	" W "	

Name	Address	Signature
Wyatt Goodmees	" W "	


Name	Address	Signature
Kevin Song	" W "	


Name	Address	Signature
Hannah Lee	6597 Trigo Rd Apt 10	


Name	Address	Signature
Faith Myles	750 Storke Road	


Name	Address	Signature
Matteo Restrepo	6815 pasacio	


Name	Address	Signature
------	---------	-----------


Name Address Signature  
Brian Jordan P.O. Box 2537 SBCA 93120 


Name Address Signature  
Omari Fontes 6625 Junco rd 


Name Address Signature  
Cristina Diaz 130 S State St 


Name Address Signature  
Abel Ramos 6750 El Colegio 


Name Address Signature  
Eneko Jimenez 6815 Trigo rd 

Name Address Signature  
Jacob Guerrero 6770 Del Campo Dr 

Name Address Signature  
Manny Villarreal 6770 D.P. 

Name Address Signature  
Ariane Lussier 6730 Sabado 

Name Address Signature  
Terrence Timmons 6598 seville Rd. 

Name Address Signature  
Phillip Daily 6597 seville Rd 

Name Address Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Isabella Strauss 4563 Kingold




Name

Address

Signature

Ricardo Johnson 9067a




Name

Address

Signature

MARZ 1419 Brett VI




Name

Address

Signature

Thomas Clumly 6643 Salato




Name

Address

Signature

Sara Tribble 6597 Del Playa



Name

Address

Signature

Jack Majors 183 Cmi B. via



Name

Address

Signature

Peter Fisher



Name

Address

Signature

Yvonne Kazaki 322 Embarcadero Del Norte



Name

Address

Signature

Miriam Izumi 6888 Tigo



Name

Address

Signature

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature  
Landon Hume 6631 Sueno Road Y. Hume

Name Address Signature  
~~Demetri~~

Name Address Signature  
Steven Kly 3492 <sup>uphill</sup> <sup>ap 3</sup> <sup>ptun 445R</sup> Steven Kly

Name Address Signature  
Luca Schwarz 961 Embroc Luca Schwarz

Name Address Signature  
Alexis Lucas 901 Embroc Alexis Lucas

Name Address Signature  
Loren Troubridge <sup>Ap 3</sup> 6545 Picasso Rd Loren Troubridge

Name Address Signature  
Nikki de Roos 6732 Del Plana Nikki de Roos

Name Address Signature  
Shea Pone 6519 Sabado Tard Shea Pone

Name Address Signature  
Angelica Murta <sup>6519 sabado tarde</sup> <sup>APT 2</sup> Angelica Murta

Name Address Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Silas Barton

Address

6781 SENECA

Signature

Name

Crystal Newson

Address

6543 El Colegio

Signature

Name

Wanna Ham 5641 Tahama Street

Address

Signature

Name

Samud

Address

I.B.

Signature

Name

~~Michael I.B.~~

Address

Signature

Name

John Weiss 6616 Sando

Address

Signature

Name

Nik Lyles

Address

Signature

Name

Dq'nea Hubbard 7629 Calle real

Address

Signature

Name

Shelani Sanchez

Address

41 Shake Forest Dr

Signature

Name

Address

#6457  
Ventura CA  
93003

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Naomi Albertson 6747 Del Playa Fall Creek

Name

Address

Signature

Korinne Pfeifer 6747 Del Playa UNIT B K...

Name

Address

Signature

Edith M. Barnes 6749 Trigo Creek

Name

Address

Signature

Tina Ford 6749 Trigo Road

Name

Address

Signature

Semore Putsera 6648 Trigo

Name

Address

Signature

Andrea Molnar 6595 Sabado

Name

Address

Signature

CHRISTIAN HARVEY 811 Cornico Road

Name

Address

Signature

Ter McManamy 6537 Trigo

Name

Address

Signature

Ali Husaini 6545 Calle R1

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Javario Savannah

1201 State St.

Name

Address

Signature

Brian Ochoa

~~6755~~ 1755 Turnpike Rd

Name

Address

Signature

DAVID RUEGZA

47 OCEAN NEW AVE

Name

Address

Signature

Kristen Martin 9960 Abcoj

Name

Address

Signature

Chloe CACOYANNIS

6585 El Colegio

Name

Address

Signature

Andrea Rivera

050 Starke Rd.

Name

Address

Signature

Destiny NORBONVANH 6533 Trigo

Name

Address

Signature

Spencer Abreu 2608 Casque Ln

Name

Address

Signature

Bayden Madsen

605 Starke Rd.

Name

Address

Signature


Chase Bodman


6745 Del Prado

Name


Address


Signature


Name: BLAZE FENN      Address: 1233 SOUTH FLORIDA RD      Signature: 


Name: Jaxon Canada      Address: 1233 South Fair Rd      Signature: 


Name: Daniel Ludwick      Address: ~~at~~ ~~1233~~      Signature: JK101


Name: Trenton Francis      Address: 6525 del Playa      Signature: 


Name: Sara Gibbons      Address: 6567 Del Playa Dr      Signature: 

Name: Andrea Metzgar      Address: 6567 Del Playa Dr      Signature: 

Name: Devin Serra      Address: 6653 Del Playa Dr <sup>thi</sup>      Signature: 

Name: BELANA TORRES      Address: 6653 del PLAYA      Signature: 

Name: Karina Romero      Address: 745 Glenn Annie Rd      Signature: 

Name: Miguel Rubio      Address: 2005 milpas st      Signature: 

Name:      Address:      Signature:



Name Address Signature  
William 66413 S. 50th

Name Address Signature  
[Signature] W

Name Address Signature  
Sophia Gerbenzh 6597 Del Playa Pk

Name Address Signature  
Andrew Hiron 6598 Del Playa

Name Address Signature  
Adar Long 6565 Sabado Tunde K.

Name Address Signature  
[Signature] W

Name Address Signature  
Patricia Prakash 4025 Ralston Common Patricia Prakash

Name Address Signature  
Colombia Jaramez 1907 Willow Springs

Name Address Signature  
Jared Lam 890 Camino Pescadero Gobe Sta, CA

Name Address Signature  
Annu Phan 850 Camino Pescadero

Name Address Signature

Name Address Organization  
*Jayla Jean W.* *[Signature]*

Name Address Organization  
*Kim J-H* 7629 Calle Real *[Signature]*

Name Address Organization  
*[Signature]* 6672 Arroyo Rd *[Signature]*

Name Address Organization  
*[Signature]* 6618 Sabado Road Rd *Are Hamilton Counseling*

Name Address Organization  
*Kenya Bernal* 2445 Picasso Rd *[Signature]*

Name Address Organization  
*Andrey Leyva* 2445 Picasso Rd *[Signature]*

Name Address Organization  
*[Signature]* 6621 Arroyo *[Signature]*

Name Address Organization  
*[Signature]* 6819 Sabado Tande Road *APT 2*

Name Address Organization  
*[Signature]* 6750 El Colegio Rd *UCSB*

Name Address Organization  
*[Signature]* 5750 El Colegio Rd *UCSB*

Name Address Organization

Name Address Signature  
Garrett Keras 6652 Geno [Signature] -#420

Name Address Signature  
Emet Zoltz 6681 DP [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Bailey Pittard 6781 Sueno [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
[Signature] 67813m [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Joepatrick 514 Nantucket Dr [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Jonah Datan 6515 Parviall [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
LOGAN MILLORE 6515 PARVIALC [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
DERANEY FORESTAR 6515 PARVIALC [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
James Moynihan 6533 DP [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Victoria Penate 6602 DP [Signature]

Name Address Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Olivia Mazzolino

6747 DP

Name

Address

Signature

BORAN NAM

6747 DP

Name

Address

Signature

Freddie Conoran

6742 PP  
Goleta 93112

Name

Address

Signature

Donavin White

6713 Sabado Tarde

Name

Address

Signature

Ridhima Desai

Rd. Goleta 93117  
6639 Sabado Tarde Rd.

Name

Address

Signature

Stefan Jamis

222 Greenhall Ln

Name

Address

Signature

Keisey Scott

6595 Sabado Tarde Rd

Name

Address

Signature

Rhiana Ferguson

6595 Sabado Tarde Rd

Name

Address

Signature

David Doan

10413 Pinata Dr.

Name


Address

Signature


---

Name	Address	Organization
Noah Billingsley	6815 Pasadena	UCSB

---

Name	Address	Organization
Miguel Sanchez	6533 El Cerezo	UCSB 

---

Name	Address	Organization
Angelina Miller	960 Camino	 UCSB

---

Name	Address	Organization
Angelina Corona Navar	4576 Sabado Terrace	UCSB


---

Name	Address	Organization
Alicia Kencich	811 E Camino Pescadero	Alicia Kencich

---

Name	Address	Organization
Amanda Pantaja	6368 Bel Playa	Food and Water Watch

---

Name	Address	Organization
Addy Gutierrez	UCSB Cordoba Rd	UCSB 

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

16 ? C

February 8, 2019

Santa Barbara County Planning Commission  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Planning Commission Hearing on March 12, 2019  
Agenda Item No. 1

Dear Commissioners:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres. Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.

The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.


Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).


Thank you for your attention to this matter.


Sincerely,


<u>Laura Glauzel</u>	<u>6765 Sabado Tande Rd</u>	<u>Lena</u>
Name	Address Goleta, CA	Organization


<u>Ashley Ezell</u>	<u>6606 Pasado Rd</u>	<u>Ashley Ngds</u>
Name	Address goleta ca	Organization


Name	Address	Organization
Argon Tuckon	6510 Picasso	

Name	Address	Organization
Zain Qureshi	6703 Sueno	

Name	Address	Organization
Jack Edwards	Lacumbre 2101 Silvia Bembauer CA, 93107	

Name	Address	Organization
Raul Romero	ISLA VISTA	

Name	Address	Organization
Thomasin Berkhardt	Isla Vista	

Name	Address	Organization
	6858 Filadelfio	Isla Vista

Name	Address	Organization
Kathleen Melan	6745 Sabado Tangle Unit B	Isla Vista

Name	Address	Organization
Brian Young	6776 Del Playa	Isla Vista

Name	Address	Organization
<del>Ben</del> Ben Serthamer	322 Pebble Beach Dr.	Isla Vista

Name	Address	Organization
Karla Farnes	6643 Abreyer Rd	IV

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------



Regina Salazar	6643 Abrego	IV
----------------	-------------	----

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

Kiana Razi	*6674 Ingo Rd	IV
------------	---------------	----

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

Crystal Alvarez	6690 Abrego	IV
-----------------	-------------	----

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

Santos Jimenez	6690 Abrego	IV
----------------	-------------	----

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

February 20, 2019

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Board of Supervisors Hearing on March 12, 2019

Dear Supervisors:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres.

Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.

The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.

Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Bozic	524 Summerwood Ln.	J Bozic
Name	Address	Signature

Melissa Manzo	913 W. Cherry Ave.	Melissa Manzo
Name	Address	Signature

Lissa Landry	309 E. North A	Lissa Landry
Name	Address	Signature

Erin Moira West 1406 W. Airport Lompoc CA 93401

Name: Adam Feller Address: 93427 Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Name: Susan Raybourn Address: 93436 Signature: S Raybourn

Name: Reginald Pauling Address: 307 Estrada Ln 54, CA 93460 Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Name: Jordan Garnett Address: 3899 Talena Rd. Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Name: Alexandra Rutherford Address: 200 North St Signature: [Handwritten Signature]


Name: Steven Puthert Address: 200 N X St Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Name: Gary Roberts Address: 3468 Via Arnez Signature: [Handwritten Signature]



Name: Josh Goetz Address: 1217 North C St. Lompoc CA 93401 Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Name: Angela Solero Address: 908 W. Lognat Ch Signature: [Handwritten Signature]


Name: Jennae Hernandez Address: 1341 LLOYD PL Lompoc CA Signature: [Handwritten Signature]

Ignacio Mendez 700 North East #1 


Name Address Signature

 11354 Street 


Name Address Signature

Alex Hernandez 302 N. L. St. Apt E 


Name Address Signature

ALEXA CURRY 804<sup>th</sup> COLLEGE 

Name Address Signature

PETE KUNKEL 416 W NORTH 


Name Address Signature

ELISE KUNKEL 416 W NORTH 


Name Address Signature

CHRISTOPHER BRAWARD 601 COLEMAN DR. 


Name Address Signature

John Villalobos 310 North L St 


Name Address Signature

Gabrielle Gallegos 204 W. North ave. 

Name Address Signature

ARIEL CHIT 1208 W. West Street 

Name Address Signature

Eich Schmidt 404 South H St. Apt A 

February 20, 2019

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Board of Supervisors Hearing on March 12, 2019

Dear Supervisors:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres.

Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.

The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.

Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Rosalie CARPENTER      1707 CALLE LINDA      Rosalie Carpenter

Name

Address

Signature

Whitlyn Barber      City Park      601 W Central Ave  
Lompoc, CA

Name

Address

Signature

RIAN Ellwood      PO Box 701 Lompoc 93438      R. Ellwood

Name

Address

Signature

Miguel Acme 11100 E 4th St

Name

Address

Signature

Diego Blanco 813 West oak

Name

Address

Signature

ARILDO MENDOZA 420 N. N. MA

Name

Address

Signature

Janice Moore 1104 E Lemon Ave J Moore

Name

Address

Signature

Deannadra Palmer 205 SU Deannadra Palmer

Name

Address

Signature

Angela Guerrero 658 North 4th Angela Guerrero

Name

Address

Signature

Diana Sileski 428 S. A Lopez Diana Sileski

Name

Address

Signature

Darren Merrill 873 Vienda Darren Merrill

Name

Address

Signature

Ken Kida 2255 Anselotum Ken Kida

Name

Address

Signature

Guillermo Cortez 1024 North Third St Guillermo Cortez

Name

Address

Signature

William Stradan P.O. 252 Santa Ynez CA William Stradan



*Anna Wilds*  
Name: Anna Wilds Address: 789 Refugio, S.Y. 93460 Signature: *Anna Wilds*

Name: Joey Duarte Address: 1456 Aarhus Dr. Signature: *Joey Duarte*

Name: ALEX NAVARRO Address: 200 50 GS+ Apt. C Signature: *Alex Navarro*

Name: Jennifer Garcia Address: 290 valley station Bwellton 93407 Signature: *Jennifer Garcia*

Name: JESSICA Wellington Address: 371 Valley Park Rd. 93427 Signature: *Jessica Wellington*

Name: Marissa Garcia Address: 4321 Lynnhurst Circle SU 93455 Signature: *Marissa Garcia*

Name: Joel Suarez Address: PO Box 1229 Solvang Signature: *Joel Suarez*

Name: Alex Uribe Address: 1550 Laurel Ave Signature: *Alex Uribe*

Name: David Zepeda Address: 4208 Casey Ave Signature: *David Zepeda*

Name: Kristine <sup>Hamburg</sup> Address: 489 Quail Run Rd. Signature: *Kristine Hamburg*

Name: MARIKOS ALEXANDROU Address: 5060 HAPWOOD RD Signature: *Marikos Alexandrou*

Name Address Signature  
MAGGIE MCKEONE 3320 PINE ST  
SANTA YMEZ CA Maggie Mck

Name Address Signature  
MARK GOMICK 2995 Redondo Ct

Name Address Signature  
Wes Butler 3320 Pine St Wes Butler

Name Address Signature  
FELIPE INFANTE 6592 SABADO #3  
ISLA ULTIMA Felipe Infante

Name Address Signature  
Kristen Walker 718 A MISSION CYN RD,  
SB, CA 93105 Kristen Walker

Name Address Signature  
Brittany Johnson 638 N Third  
Lompoc CA 93436 Brittany Johnson

Name Address Signature  
Jennifer Gibbs 950 Alamo Pintado Rd  
Solvang CA Jennifer Gibbs

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature

February 20, 2019

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Board of Supervisors Hearing on March 12, 2019

Dear Supervisors:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres.

Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.


The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.


Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.


Sincerely,

Neal Benchimal 888 PARPAL SB 93117 

Name Address Signature

Chloe Dubulsson 7851 Camino del Sur #220 

Name Address Signature

Aditya Khandaker DUS3 DP 

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature  
Hannah P. hpittman@ucsb.edu Hannah P.

Name Address Signature  
Jackie Brooks 6689 El Colegio Rd #83 Jackie Brooks

Name Address Signature  
Christian Arnelas 6680 Sabado Tardes Rd Christian Arnelas

Name Address Signature  
Lifeng Shi 660 Sycamore Ter. Lifeng Shi

Name Address Signature  
Muhammed Armine Santa Barbara Pkwy 3101 Muhammed Armine

Name Address Signature  
Zana Berenati 6547 Pasadall Rd SB Zana Berenati

Name Address Signature  
DANA SINGHAI 6643 PASADO RD DANA SINGHAI

Name Address Signature  
Tiffany Chen 552 Ocean Rd Tiffany Chen

Name Address Signature  
Lisa Lin 552 Ocean Rd Lisa Lin

Name Address Signature  
Kyle Pedeschi 4565 Oak Glen Dr. Kyle Pedeschi

Name Address Signature

February 20, 2019

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Board of Supervisors Hearing on March 12, 2019

Dear Supervisors:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres.

Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.

The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.

Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Madison Johnson 6585 el colegio rd  
Name Address Signature

Jocelyn Ayala 6585 el colegio Rd Jocelyn Ayala  
Name Address Signature

Allison Aguirre 6607 de la Playa Dr. Allison Aguirre  
Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature  
JOHN STANTON 6671 EL COLEGIO RD  
SANTA BARBARA CA John J. Stanton

Name Address Signature  
Carolyn Duong 7000 Santa Anita Village  
Gaviota House Santa Barbara CA

Name Address Signature  
Marissa Kilmuel 6700 La Roda Ave Marissa

Name Address Signature  
Alexa Caldwell 210 Ancona Ave alexa Caldwell

Name Address Signature  
Liam Radecke 6876 Sabado Trl Liam

Name Address Signature  
Catherine Miller 840 Camino del Sur #102 Catherine

Name Address Signature  
Jhorana Canul Jhorana@ucsb.edu Jhorana

Name Address Signature  
David Ruiz 1208 W. Humber Ave David Ruiz

Name Address Signature  
Patrick Palomji 6544 Del Playa Patrick

Name Address Signature  
Javier Arana 5114 Walnut Pl Javier

Name Address Signature



Name Address Signature  
Kiley Hattman 6588 Trigo Rd [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Bailey Jackson 998 Camino Lindo [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Camille Cleaveland 16654 Arroyo Grande Rd [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Marcus Finley 619 Fairview Ave [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Dawn Comar 581 Sweet Rain Pl. [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Jean-Luc Arguere 14024 APT #26 [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Alan Hernandez 514 West [Signature]  
Oxford St  
Van Nuys, CA

Name Address Signature  
Elmer Mera 1207 [Signature]  
Sola St

Name Address Signature  
Bailee Bennett 775 Camino Del Sur [Signature]  
Golconda, CA, 93117

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature

23

February 8, 2019

Santa Barbara County Planning Commission  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Planning Commission Hearing on March 12, 2019  
Agenda Item No. 1

Dear Commissioners:

We are writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive berries and other crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. (Attachment D to Staff Memorandum (Jan. 22, 2019), Resolution of the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission, Exhibit 1 at § 35.42.140(C)(1)(a)(5)–(6).) A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial berry grower or other farmer would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres. Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of**

**agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous farmers in the Valley.

The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for farmers with more than 0.5 acres in hoops<sup>1</sup>, is not an option for farmers who have very narrow margins. Obtaining a Development Plan is also not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in additional delay that could take several years.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries in Santa Barbara County. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and severely reduce some of the County's top commodities.<sup>2</sup> For some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot, or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops on more than 0.5 acres per lot, would destroy our business entirely.

Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the other proposed development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Alternatively, the Planning Commission should exempt all hoop and shade structures within the Design Overlay if they incorporate the following additional development standards:

- a) Setbacks. Hoop structures and shade structures shall be set-back at least 25 feet from any public road or highway.**
- b) Screening. Hoop structures and shade structures shall be screened with landscaping, to the extent feasible.**

Sincerely,

---

<sup>1</sup> Santa Barbara County Code § 35.82.080(D)(2) ["After receipt of an application for a Development Plan, the Department shall review the application in compliance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act."]; see Attachment D to Staff Memorandum (Jan. 22, 2019), Resolution of the Santa Barbara County Planning Commission, Exhibit 1 at § 35.42.140(C)(1)(b)(2), (c)(2)).

<sup>2</sup> Strawberries were the County's top commodity in 2017, with a total value of \$457,009,208. (Santa Barbara County Agricultural Production Report 2017 at p. 4, available at <https://countyofsb.org/uploadedFiles/agcomm/Content/Other/crops/2017.pdf>.) Raspberries were the County's ninth highest commodity, with an estimated value of \$50,662,240. (*Id.*)

*[Handwritten signature]*

Andrew Galles 226 Teri Sue Lane Buellton CA 94727 FMS

Name Address Organization

*[Handwritten signature]*

Austin Webb 1016 Gardenias St Lompoc CA 93436 FMB

Name Address Organization

*[Handwritten signature]*

Ashleigh Alvarado 90 2nd St #202 Buellton Logmein Inc.

Name Address Organization

*[Handwritten signature]*

Victoria Harvey-wells 3578 Modoc Rd #4 SWEET ATHLETIC CLUB

Name Address Organization

*[Handwritten signature]*

MICHAEL REDMOND 3578 MODOC RD. #4 DBC FRAMING

Name Address Organization

~~*[Handwritten signature]*~~

Thomas Dunschose 1016 Gardenia LPC FIB MTD

Name Address Organization

~~*[Handwritten signature]*~~

Marcelino Garcia 349 First St Solvang, CA

Name Address Organization

*[Handwritten signature]*

Jana Lopez 1616 Maple St Solvang

Name Address Organization

*[Handwritten signature]*

Antonio Villanuel 203 APRIL AVE


Name Address Organization

*[Handwritten signature]*


Mario Hernandez 441 N. K Lompoc.

Name Address Organization


---

Name	Address	Organization
	Santa Maria 326 S St <sup>court</sup> <sub>road</sub>	Saul Diaz

---

Name	Address	Organization
 Kristian Lowes	3063 Buckhorn Lane	Fig Brewery


---

Name	Address	Organization
 Miguel Bustamante	1100 Jasmine	Fig Brewery


---

Name	Address	Organization
Ygnacio Robles	1327 Tiana	Fig Brew.

---

Name	Address	Organization
 SHINAE BRUMFIELD	1491 N REFUGIO rd	

---

Name	Address	Organization
	2560 West <sub>SCHOOL LN.</sub>	

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

---

Name	Address	Organization
Raul Eduardo Robles Lopez	Paul Lopez, 342	Central Lewiston NUG

---

Name	Address	Organization
Elizabeth Field	3630 Tivola St S.Y.	

---

Name	Address	Organization
Kyle Haro	4550 Kapalua Dr.	

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

Name Vishal RAMANE

Address 6545 Tingo Road ✓

Signature 


Name OSCAR Segovia

Address ~~7742 Hawthorn St~~  
6667 El Colegio RD

Signature 


Name ERIC Shen

Address San Joaquin 1216 JV

Signature 

Name Katrina Sterton

Address 6739 El Colegio 214

Signature 

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature



84



February 20, 2019

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Board of Supervisors Hearing on March 12, 2019

Dear Supervisors:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres.



Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.

The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.

Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Perry Carlson                      6121 State Hwy 70 Pinedale                      Perry Carlson  
Name                                      Address                                      Signature

John M. Feldhaus                      416 W. North Ave                      John M. Feldhaus  
Name                                      Address                                      Signature

Richard Moore                      126 N. J. St Apt A                      [Signature]  
Name                                      Address                                      Signature

Name Address Signature  
Ramiro Dionicio 117 N L ST #4 [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
[Signature] 215 n C st [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
BRADLEY WARRING 215 N C ST [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
LARRY VICKERY 210 E WALNUT [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
ECHEVARRIA, SABRINA 335 ST. ANDREWS NAE1 [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Justin Asbury 215 n C st [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Colleen Sandall 800 Wollongford [Signature]


Name Address Signature  
Julia Schae P. O. Box 1263 Buellton Julia Schae


Name Address Signature  
Elaene Mary 657 Hillside Dr. Solvang Elaene


Name Address Signature  
[Signature] Armida Manzo 913 W. Cherry Ave.


Name Address Signature  
[Signature]


Feb-24


Name Address Signature  
Jaime Galindo 516 North N. Street 


Name Address Signature  
Chris Haupt 504 N M apta 



Name Address Signature  
Jordan Green 428 NE Street 

Name Address Signature  
Shaun Moore 332 Rarenscroft Dr <sup>Bikes</sup>   
Goleta CA



Name Address Signature  
Mella Moore 232 Rarenscroft Dr   
Goleta CA

Name Address Signature  
Tyler Shooter 1008 N. A. Street 

Name Address Signature  
Sam BARNORTH 610 E. Pine #35, Lompoc 

Name Address Signature  
 623 Central Ave 

Name Address Signature  
 PO Box 295   
Brooks A. Gil

Name Address Signature  
 PO Box 98 

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature  
Jaime Torres Po Box 531 Santa Barbara

Name Address Signature  
Pat Campbell #665 VICINO AVE Apt 115 address cot.

Name Address Signature  
Lee McElvey 516 N. Bonita

Name Address Signature  
Vincent Cabrera 4005 Draco Dr

Name Address Signature  
Samantha Schuff 808 LST

Name Address Signature  
Victor Gloria III 1/2 N. M ST

Name Address Signature  
Michelle Brown 925 W Chestnut Ave 28 M Brown

Name Address Signature  
Eric Lopez 327 South

Name Address Signature  
Samantha McNeil 327 S 1st street

Name Address Signature  
Nancy Lameli 1000 N. A<sup>st</sup>

Name Address Signature  
Alejandro Ayon 1000 N. A<sup>st</sup>

Michael Stephens 112 N K #B

Name


Address



Signature

Diane Mitchell

917 N. 2 St




Name

Address

Signature

TYLER TOWNSEND

500 S. E apt c




Name

Address

Signature

Everardo Sanchez 1309 W cypress #D7




Name

Address

Signature

Mat Ryker

1617 W North Ave




Name

Address

Signature

Robert Ginther

816 NORTH Ost




Name

Address

Signature

Casey Malloy

1725 Berkeley Dr.



Name

Address

Signature

Rogelio P. Quizar 1116 P.O. Box 93434




Name

Address

Signature

Vincent Garcia

519 W. cypress




Name

Address

Signature

LUIS OUSTO




Name

Address

Signature

TONY JIHOA



---

Name	Address	Organization
Megan Phronzy	851 Camino Pescadero #14	

---

Name	Address	Organization
Hong Huang	6672 Abrego Apt 204	

---

Name	Address	Organization
Sam Guerra	4940 Nantilust St	

---

Name	Address	Organization
Ethan Garrett	13979 Bear Picket	

---

Name	Address	Organization
Michaela Le	916 N. Redondo Ave.	

---

Name	Address	Organization
isabella jimenez	6764 Suero	

---

Name	Address	Organization
Lvy Arbolado	6739 El Colegio Rd Apt #231	

---

Name	Address	Organization
Joshua Mejia	1150 Williams Pt	

---

Name	Address	Organization
Jacqueline Molinos	6655 Del Playa	

---

Name	Address	Organization

---

Name	Address	Organization

Name Address Signature  
Alexandra Chia 6565 Jabado Tande Allie

Name Address Signature  
Jack Lin 8519 Cervantes

Name Address Signature  
Begun Orden San Clemente

Name Address Signature  
Eli Edwards 6782 Del P Kings or

Name Address Signature  
Macy Robles 1075 Camino Pescadero

Name Address Signature  
Jesse Rodriguez 515 W. ...

Name Address Signature  
Marcus Jimenez

Name Address Signature  
Thee Yodre 6687 Tring Rd. CA 94028

Name Address Signature  
Olivia Berriz same

Name Address Signature  
Annie Greenlake

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature  
Allah-hu-Al-hakbar (000) - 911

Name Address Signature  
SAM SMITH 6677 DP

Name Address Signature  
Joy Schar 890 Camino Rescaldo

Name Address Signature  
Bryan Pan 6519 Cervantes Rd

Name Address Signature  
William 6615 Sabado

Name Address Signature  
Josh Lopez 6519 DP

Name Address Signature  
Haley Ouan 6511 Sabado


Name Address Signature  
Vikko Lloyd 19144 Erarst.


Name Address Signature  
Melody Levensi 6518 Del Playa Unit A


Name Address Signature  
Finn Fordan 6641 Del Playa


Name Address Signature





Name Address Signature  
Mitchell West 6505 Del Playa Dr. 


Name Address Signature  
Bribe Straul 6641 DP 


Name Address Signature  
Fernando Torre 6614 Sabino 


Name Address Signature  
Luis Torres ↓ 


Name Address Signature  
Ann Norman 6581 DP 

Name Address Signature  
Destiny Padilla 6750 Alcala Road 

Name Address Signature  
Emily Aguilar 4503 Ingrid 

Name Address Signature  
Stephanie Foster 6556 Del Playa 

Name Address Signature  
Reyton Kelling 6625 Sueno 

Name Address Signature  
Hannah Hulbert UC Santa Barbara 

Name Address Signature

February 20, 2019

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Board of Supervisors Hearing on March 12, 2019

Dear Supervisors:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres.

Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.


The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.


Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Christian Kraver                      140 Victoria Avenue Galeh CA                      

Name    Address    Signature

Zach Perrine                      3940 Via Lucero Apt 14                      

Name    Address    Signature  
*Santa Barbara, CA 93101*

Name    Address    Signature

Name Address Signature  
Omar Ortiz 4230 Pozzo Circle [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Lupo Cazares 5095 Rhoads Ave [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Kyle Martin 7360 Padova dr. [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
CESAR Jimenez 178 AEROCAMINO [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Zach Hedges 6649 Del Playa Dr [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Carinne Cardiff 6562 Del Playa Dr [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Ethan Giordano 6649 Del Playa [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Jasper Lee Samaha 6557 La Playa [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Austin Farni 6529 DP [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Fabian Sebastian 6525 DP [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Chavez James Wenzel 6610 Sebado [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Elizabeth IS 1853 Menue [Signature]  
David Melendez 6610 Sebado [Signature]

Logan Wood 6569 Del Playa Dr [Signature]  
 Name Address Organization

Olivia Pla 6653 Del Playa Dr [Signature]  
 Name Address Organization

Pablo Aguilar 76529 Del Playa Drive [Signature]  
 Name Address Organization

Rory Tank 6532 Sabado Tardes Rd.  
 Name Address Organization

Samantha Williams 6579 Del Playa Costera  
 Name Address Organization 93117

Albert Magana 6748 Del Playa  
 Name Address Organization

Mi Chaela Cram 6511 Sabado Tardes  
 Name Address Organization

Cervardo Velasco 6511 Sabado Tardes  
 Name Address Organization

Angela Micheletti 6695 Del Playa Dr [Signature]  
 Name Address Organization

Alyssa Lindsey 6518 Del Playa [Signature]  
 Name Address Organization

Audrey Hum 420 E Amelageta [Signature]  
 Name Address Organization

Name Address Signature  
Shavonne Whiffen 6515 Del Prado [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Kathy Knapp 10720 Sibado Rd [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Stefany Lopez 775 Camino del Sur [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Juan Quiroz 895 Camino Del Sur [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Mason White 5915 Camino Del Sur [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Giorgio Traia 6626 Arasso Rd. [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Grant Walker 6561 Del Prado [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Danya Ghanim 7225 Embarras Rd [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
[Blank]


Name Address Signature  
[Blank]

Name Address Signature  
[Blank]


Gabe Owner


Sig Chi

Name	Address	Organization
Zander Bobek	6670 Trigo #3	N/A

Name	Address	Organization
Yumei Anzures	6641 Berkshire Terrace	

Name	Address	Organization
Zitlali Martinez	6670 Trigo #2	Zitlali

Name	Address	Organization
Valerie	RA 1010 W. 15th St. #145H	

Name	Address	Organization
Alex	6625 DP	

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

February 20, 2019

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Board of Supervisors Hearing on March 12, 2019

Dear Supervisors:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres.



Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.


The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.

Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.


Sincerely,

David Coyle 5562 Calle Real #345 

Name

Address


Signature

KEVIN GUESS 589 Calle Mast. 1 

Name

Address


Signature


Haley Kolosieck 5525 Somerset Drive 


Name


Address

Signature

Name	Address	Signature
Erin Solloway	6608 sueño	

Name	Address	Signature
Rosali Sanchez	—	

Name	Address	Signature
tara singleton	—	

Name	Address	Signature
Jamie Cabrera	—	

Name	Address	Signature

Name	Address	Signature

Name	Address	Signature

Name	Address	Signature

Name	Address	Signature

Name	Address	Signature

Name	Address	Signature

Name Address Signature  
Miren Givhler 895 camnodd sur Miren

Name Address Signature  
Raunaul J. 116 Seerynes way Raunaul

Name Address Signature  
Hannah Huisling 6625 Del'Pays Hannah

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature

Name Address Signature

February 20, 2019

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Board of Supervisors Hearing on March 12, 2019

Dear Supervisors:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres.

Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.


The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.


Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are under 20 feet in height and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.


Sincerely,

Melissa Lujan 3420 viacortez lompec 93436 

Name Address Signature

Brianne Kinnison 3956 <sup>Lompoc</sup> mesa Circle Dr 

Name Address Signature

BEATRIZ SOBRIANO 321 VALLEY DAIRY RD   
BOELLTON.


Name Address Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Joe W. Burich 130 Summit Ln. S B CA 93108



Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

Name

Address

Signature

January 24, 2019

Santa Barbara County Planning Commission  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Planning Commission Hearing on January 30, 2019  
Agenda Item No. 1

Dear Commissioners:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres.

Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.


The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.


Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.


Sincerely,

 1340 W Hwy 246 Buellton CA 93427

Name Chris Duncan Address Organization

 1340 W Hwy 246 Buellton 93427

Name KYLE KASSAR Address Organization

 468 PARK ST. Buellton, 93427



Name	Address	Organization
Shelley Ball	330 W. Highway 246	Buellton CA 93427
Lynda Eide	1755 West Hwy 246	Buellton Ca 93427
Sergio Robles	2905 Foothill Rd	Santa Barbara Ca 93105

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

Joel Vidal 6548 Cordoba Rd  
Name Address Organization

Thomas Keener 6598 del Rey  
Name Address Organization

Maverick Mare 6558 Del Prado  
Name Address Organization

Name Address Organization

Name Address Organization

Name Address Organization

Name Address Organization

Name Address Organization

Name Address Organization

Name Address Organization

Name Address Organization

February 8, 2019

Santa Barbara County Planning Commission  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Planning Commission Hearing on March 12, 2019  
Agenda Item No. 1

Dear Commissioners:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres. Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.



The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.

Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

		
Miriam Delgado 5026 Orchard St Santa Maria CA 93454		
Name	Address	Organization
	4045 DARTMOUTH LN -	
STOBHAN E. DOWDALL	SANTA MARIA - CA - 93455	(EATS!)
Name	Address	Organization

JK

Julia Crookston 330 W High Wy 246 Buellton

Name Address Organization

Romar Tongol 1361 Calzada Ave Santa Ynez

Name Address Organization

Jeff Olson 181 Industrial way, Buellton Industrial Eats

Name Address Organization

Priscilla Trancoso 402 farmland Dr.

Name Address Organization

Christopher Thompson 3651 Cedar St.

Name Address Organization

Nicole Bimbela 411 W. De la Guerra

Name Address Organization

Angela Helam 423 E College Ave Lompoc

Name Address Organization

Heather Harvey 503 1/2 SOUTH I ST Lompoc, CA 93436

Name Address Organization

Jonathan Kramer 423 East College Ave<sup>APT B</sup> Lompoc, CA 93436

Name Address Organization

927 Cleander St Lompoc CA, 93436

Name Address Organization


521 Ranth Place

Name Address Organization


---

Name	Address	Organization
JANET ASSAL	PO Box 596 BUELLTON	INDUSTRIAL EPA 75


---

Name	Address	Organization
	1420 Turk St PH2H	SFCA 94115

---

Name	Address	Organization
	1370 Market St	SA CA 94162

---

Name	Address	Organization
 Jose Silva	518 North G.A.P.A	Lompoc, CA 93436

---

Name	Address	Organization
Mikael Sigowin	P.O. Box 420	Los Olivos, CA 93441


---

Name	Address	Organization
Robert Blokdyk	925 W. Hwy 246	Buellton 93427


---

Name	Address	Organization
Lauren	925 W Highway 246	Buellton 93427


---

Name	Address	Organization
Tina Perez	3993 constellation Rd Lompoc 93436	

---

Name	Address	Organization
Lissa Dhandy	Lompoc, Ca 93436 309 E. North Av	Valley Compost, Inc 

---

Name	Address	Organization
John	Carother 3 1493 Calle Segunda	

---

Name	Address	Organization
------	---------	--------------

Name Address Signature  
Seairah Johnson 581 Central Ave Buellton CA Seairah Johnson

Name Address Signature  
Jennie Knight 2900 Rucker Rd Jennie Knight

Name Address Signature  
Jere Ibarra 200 North X street Jere Ibarra

Name Address Signature  
Hani Rafah 4158 Vanguard Dr Hani Rafah

Name Address Signature  
Joe Gonzalez 200 North "X" street Joe Gonzalez

Name Address Signature  
Justin Guerra 117 North H street Justin Guerra

Name Address Signature  
Alex Rutherford 1314 West N st. Alex Rutherford

Name Address Signature  
Catrina Braussard 1438 Barton st. Catrina Braussard

Name Address Signature  
Malak Aljawhary 4158 Vanguard Dr Malak Aljawhary

Name Address Signature  
CHANN WERGOLD 3900 foothill Rd Chann Wergold

Name Address Signature

February 20, 2019

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Board of Supervisors Hearing on March 12, 2019

Dear Supervisors:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres.



Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.

The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.

Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

MacKenzie Paddy                      6636 PASSADOREAD                      MacKenzie Paddy

Name    Address    Signature

Camilla Arizabal                      PO BOX 9012 5                      Camilla

Name    Address    Signature

Sidney Lind                      PO Box 611                      SL

Name    Address    Signature


Name

Address

Signature

Nat Cruz

6621 Sueno Rd




Name

Address

Signature

Devonta Stacey

299 Prospect Mi



Name

Address

Signature

Brian Chelf

6839 trigo




Name

Address

Signature

Louren Anderson 6839 trigo




Name

Address

Signature

Cameron Jordan 6597 trigo rd

APT 10




Name

Address

Signature

Miguel Aldaba 6711 El Coloso




Name

Address

Signature

Janette McWerney


153 San  
milana




Name

Address

Signature



810 Camino Pescadero




Name

Address

Signature

Dani Araujo

750 Stork Rd




Name

Address

Signature

Kevin

islavista



Name

Address

Signature

Name Address Signature  
Kyk Perrp Isla Vista Derek

Name Address Signature  
Kaya Fahlbradt Isla Vista [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Jonathan Guo 9V [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Carmelle Bramboa W [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Alicia Taylor 06755 Stabstrand [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
DynaLee 6576 Santa Sabado Trade Rd Newport Hill [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Mendee 6576 Las Lunas Rancho [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Matt Conklin 555 Starke Rd. [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Ellen Older 811 Camino Serrano [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
[Name] 6583 [Address] [Signature]

Name Address Signature

February 20, 2019

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Board of Supervisors Hearing on March 12, 2019

Dear Supervisors:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres.

Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.

The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.

Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Kourney L. Mendez 6605 Main St. Kourney L. Mendez

Name

Address

Signature

Jasper Johnson

451 WAITE ST.

JASPER JOHNSON

Name

Address

Signature

Nicole McCrank PO Box 384

Nicole McCrank

Name

Address

Signature

Name Address Signature  
Brian Touey 9676 Harvest Road Los Alamos Brian Touey

Name Address Signature  
Maribel Aloniz P.O. Box 5147 Santa Maria [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Luz Juarez 1923 Via Carro Santa Maria CA [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Heberto Juarez 1923 Via Carro Santa Maria CA H. Juarez

Name Address Signature  
Brittany Dwyer PO Box 906 Los Alamos [Signature]

Name Address Signature  
Justin Holdaway PO Box 906 Los Alamos [Signature]

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

---

Name Address Signature

C

17

February 8, 2019

Santa Barbara County Planning Commission  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Planning Commission Hearing on March 12, 2019  
Agenda Item No. 1

Dear Commissioners:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.



There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres. Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.


The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.


By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.

Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Emily Bennett      011 Summerwood Ln      

Name	Address	Organization
<u>Thomas Kigen</u>	451 Firestone way	
Name	Address	Organization

Biana Aquirre 1344 Mangard Way Perqui

Name Address Organization

Robert Sutton 625 North B St. #F  
Lompoc CA 93436

Name Address Organization

Angel Mermis 332 Ravenscroft Dr Soleta CA 93117  
Angel Mermis

Name Address Organization

David MacFarlane 423 W. Ocean Ave Leaf Dispensary  
Lompoc, CA 93436

Name Address Organization

Shannon Tuohy 700 N. D St. Lompoc Health  
Care Center  
Shannon Tuohy

Name Address Organization

HARUA Amin 1515 Plum Ave Lompoc, CA 93436

Name Address Organization

Shaw Sanders 2006 Wama Ct S.A.

Name Address Organization

PRISCILLA SALAZAR 825 Clemensway Priscilla Salazar

Name Address Organization

Toni Adams 505 S. Dahlia Toni Adams

Name Address Organization

Don Adams 505 S. Dahlia Ct Lompoc Don Adams

Name Address Organization

Amy Bookhuyzen 917 No. X St. Amy Bookhuyzen  
Lompoc CA 93436

Name Address Organization

Jessica Rookhuizen 917 North X St  
Name Address Organization

Michelle [unclear] IHE College  
Name Address Organization

Heike Turdiana 2315 Lake Marie Dr. Santa Maria, CA  
Name Address Organization Private Citizen

Augustus Tynchiano 702 N M Pl, Lompoc, Ca. Private Citizen  
Name Address Organization

February 8, 2019

Santa Barbara County Planning Commission  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Planning Commission Hearing on March 12, 2019  
Agenda Item No. 1

Dear Commissioners:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.



Susan Moore 132 A Harbor Way SB CA 93102

Name Address Organization

Marlene Bennett 180 Holly Ave apt 17 93013 CA  
NOAH BEAUSER

Name Address Organization

M. Blain 1274 SAN MIGUEL AVE. SB CA 93109

Name Address Organization

Antonio Danielle 1101 State St Vine-vera

Name Address Organization

Tal Mor 78, Forest Park 7 Vine-vera

Name Address Organization

Samantha Benson 817 Dela Vina St

Name Address Organization

Ja D 321 N. Del Rio Davis CA

Name Address Organization

Tommy Zumbasi 521 West Montecito Street

Name Address Organization

Carly Busch 545 El Bosque RD, SB

Name Address Organization

Luke Dannenbaum 870 Camino Del Sur

Name Address Organization

Tanya Torres 310 W. Ortega

Name Address Organization

<u>Louis Ranken</u>	<u>SI Davis Hollister</u>	<u>Louis Ranken</u>
Name	Address	Organization
<u>[Signature]</u>	<u>SI Davis Hollister</u>	<u>Kon Jones</u>
Name	Address	Organization
<u>Daisy Berry</u>	<u>6530 Seville Rd</u>	<u>Daisy Berry</u>
Name	Address	Organization
<u>Meredith Giordano</u>	<u>6597 del Playa</u>	<u>Meredith Giordano</u>
Name	Address	Organization

17 C

February 8, 2019

Santa Barbara County Planning Commission  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Planning Commission Hearing on March 12, 2019  
Agenda Item No. 1

Dear Commissioners:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.



There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres. Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.

The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.

Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

<u>Beverly Snyder</u>	<u>1463 Aarkwood Dr</u>	<u>Solvang CA 93462</u>
Name	Address	Organization <u>Beverly Snyder</u>
<u>Jared Allen</u>	<u>3910 Mesa Cir Drive</u>	<u>Lompoc, CA, 93436</u>
Name	Address	Organization

Morgan Wags 552 University Rd. UWags

Name Address Organization

Antonio Vazquez 2430 Delavina [Signature]

Name Address Organization

Andy Turner 504 San Andres SB CA [Signature]

Name Address Organization

ERIC BATES 1925 ALANO PINTADO RD [Signature]

Name Address Organization

Bailey Miller 7536 Evergreen [Signature]

Name Address Organization

Vanda [Signature] 27 W Anapamu #442 [Signature]

Name Address Organization

Ana Perez 5300 Shoreline A. [Signature]

Name Address Organization

Isaac Daniel Shoff 305 E Anapamu ST [Signature]

Name Address Organization

Victor Angel 536 Pine Frost [Signature]

Name Address Organization

Keith Devlin 130 Hercules Ave Lompoc Ca 93436 Keith Devlin

Name Address Organization

Cecilia Burbora P.O. Box 923 S.Y. CA 93466 [Signature]

Name Address Organization

[Signature]

Name Address Organization

*Jesus Guerrero* *Sandra Ynez*  
*PO Box 1077* *[Signature]*

---

Name Address Organization

*Adriana Reyes* *1100 W. Cypress Lompoc 93436* *[Signature]*

---

Name Address Organization

*Austin Wells* *320 Ladera, Lompoc* *Austin Wells*

---

Name Address Organization

*Elizabeth Ratliff* *420 Riverwood Drive* *[Signature]*

---

Name Address Organization

February 8, 2019

Santa Barbara County Planning Commission  
c/o Planning and Development, Hearing Support  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

RE: Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment  
County Planning Commission Hearing on March 12, 2019  
Agenda Item No. 1

Dear Commissioners:

We are collectively writing to you regarding the proposed Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment. Hoop and shade structures are an important tool for the cultivation of specialty crops in Santa Barbara County, particularly berries and more recently, cannabis.

Hoop houses offer numerous benefits to the environment, the community, and to farmers by:

- Reducing water use;
- Extending the growing season for frost-sensitive crops;
- Protecting crops from inclement weather;
- Protecting crops from toxic overspray from neighboring farms;
- Reducing the presence of mold; and
- Decreasing the use of pesticides by providing a barrier to insects.

We are extremely concerned about the Planning Commission's proposed changes to the Hoop Structure Ordinance Amendment, namely reincorporating the 4,000 square foot size limitation on all hoop and shade structures located within the Santa Ynez Valley Community Plan Design Control Overlay. A **4,000 square foot limit** (1/10<sup>th</sup> of an acre) is essentially a prohibition, as no commercial farmer, cannabis cultivator or otherwise, would ever reap any benefit from such a small cultivated area.

There are approximately 290 agriculturally zoned parcels located within the Design Control Overlay in the Santa Ynez Valley, comprising approximately 8,311 acres. Effectively prohibiting the use of hoop and shade structures on **over 8,000 acres of agriculturally zoned prime farmland** in the Santa Ynez Valley is unprecedented, and if implemented, will result in undue hardship on numerous cultivators in the Valley.

The proposed permit path for landowners within the Design Overlay, a Development Plan and compliance with California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), is not an option for cannabis farmers who have already spent hundreds of thousands of dollars applying for local County land use permits and complying with numerous state regulations. Obtaining a Development Plan is not appropriate for a temporary farm accessory, and will result in an additional yearlong delay. CEQA has already been completed via the County's PEIR. Requiring additional compliance amounts to double jeopardy.

By placing visual benefits and impacts over and above the vitality and feasibility of agricultural businesses, the Commission is placing a heavy burden on the shoulders of those of us who have chosen to grow berries and cannabis, invest in Santa Barbara County (or ancillary cannabis businesses), comply with the numerous regulations, and pay our taxes. There is no question that this amendment would severely restrict the freedom of growers and the burgeoning cannabis industry, and, for some of us, limiting hoop houses to 4,000 square feet per lot or requiring a Final Development Plan before installing hoops, would destroy our business entirely.

Given the profound impacts this regulation will have on the County's agricultural industry, we believe that the Commission should reject the onerous restrictions on hoops within the Design Overlay, and instead exempt from permitting all hoops and shade structures in the County that are **under 20 feet in height** and comply with the development standards (no lighting, no permanent footings, compliance with setbacks, etc.).

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Ilath Hubbard 209 price ranch rd. los alamos ca  
Name Signature Address Organization 93440

Sticky Acres, LLC



---

Name Address Organization

Nassandra Rosserode 1408 W Girava Ave 93436

Name Address Organization

Marlana Holleman 1408 W Girava Ave 93436

Name Address Organization

NADWE MAXEY 1220 W NECTARINE

Name Address Organization

David Agustin 922 West Chestnut

Name Address Organization

Enrique De Leon #04 West Hwy 246 93427

Name Address Organization

Jacquelyn V Tevis 523 5th St Conway 93413

Name Address Organization

RYAN TRIPP 13 Shadow Mt Dr. Bowdoin 93427

Name Address Organization

---


Name Address Organization

---


Name Address Organization

---


Name Address Organization

Name  Address Organization  
ERIC Strauchman 1735 Gillespie Santa Barbara


---

Name  Address Organization  
Colin Clyde 27 W Anapamu St #195 Santa Barbara 93101


---

Name  Address Organization  
Greg Mitchell 1812 Langdon Ven CA 93001


---

Name  Address Organization  
Bryan Givens 1133 N Fairview 93117


---

Name  Address Organization  
River Horn 5030 Hoffister Ave 93111


---

Name  Address Organization  
Jennifer ORTEZ 30 Broadway Pl 93105


---

Name  Address Organization  
Omar Abdul 5645 Santa Rd Kern


---

Name  Address Organization  
Spencer Vincent 1201 Chino St SB, CA 93101


---

Name  Address Organization  
Tucker Horan 302 E Halsey St Unit D Santa Barbara, CA


---


Name  Address Organization  
Krystal Riley 1201 CHINO STREET SANTA BARBARA CA, 93101


---


Name  Address Organization  
Mackenzie Watt 308 Zink Avenue Santa Barbara 93111





Name  Address Organization 93101  
LAUREN WATSON 1032 Castillo ST, SANTA BARBARA, CA


Name  Address Organization  
MARK MAKELA 1210 Cacique St #8


Name  Address Organization  
NAOMI TAKAYA 1025 HOLLY CARR, CA. 93013


Name  Address Organization  
M. AVERITT 948 Miramonte Dr. 2 93109

Name  Address Organization  
Pearl Lee 802 W. Redegose St 93101


Name  Address Organization  
Elaine Puskos 497 Mountain Drive 93103

Name  Address Organization  
ALEX GIOVANNINI 5011 ALVARADO ST CARP CALIFORNIA 93013

Name  Address Organization  
Ketzia Logan 1133 Ellwood Beach Dr. Goleta, CA 93117

Name  Address Organization  
NADAV SKLAR 1310 E. HALCY St SANTA BARBARA CA 93103

Name Address Organization  
Juan Abellera 6825 Conway Rd

Name Address Organization  
 Luminessi 20 Bath St. Santa Barbara, CA

Name ~~Kelly Patterson~~ Address Organization  
Kelly Patterson 1824 Robbins St. 93101

Name ~~Sam Smith~~ Address Organization  
Sam Smith 123 Natoma Ave  
Apt B

Name ~~Mike Geber~~ Address Organization  
Mike Geber 2604 postA Del Sol CA 93105 #3.

Name ~~Percy Wolfe~~ Address Organization  
Percy Wolfe 1032 Del Sol Ave #B 93109

Name ~~D. Longo~~ Address Organization  
Dane Longo 4119 San Martin Way 93110

Name ~~Jordan Waxman~~ Address Organization  
Jordan Waxman 230 W. D St #4 93101

Name ~~Jaqueline Moreno~~ Address Organization  
Jaqueline Moreno 1936 Laguna St. 93101

Name ~~Kamilla Bello~~ Address Organization  
Kamilla Bello 1040 Cliff Drive 93109

Name ~~Tianna Lee Rodriguez~~ Address Organization  
Tianna Lee Rodriguez 710 San Roque Road 93105

Name ~~Eddie Valdez~~ Address Organization  
Eddie Valdez 2912 Las Positas 93105

Name ~~Susan Melican~~ Address Organization  
Susan Melican 1810 Las Canoas Pl. 93105  
Susan Melican

Name *M. Villa* Address Organization  
*Maritza Villa 315 E. Ortega St*

Name *[Signature]* Address Organization  
*Selena Schmidt 1117 Las Olas Ave SB, CA 93109*

Name *[Signature]* Address Organization  
*Nancy White 344 Palomares Ave Ventura CA 93003*

Name *Sarah Spellenberg* Address *6800 Rincon Rd,* Organization  
*Carpinteria, CA 93013*

Name *[Signature]* Address Organization  
*Shannon Keif 214 W de la Guerra Cruisem*

Name *[Signature]* Address Organization  
*Cezanna Semradner 2515 Moddoff Rd.*

Name *MICHAEL COLE* Address *262 SARAIZKANS* Organization

Name *Marie Herzog* Address *[Signature]* Organization  
*1008 W. Valencia St 503 93101*

Name *Jess Vegas* Address *6115 Coloma dr. Goletha CA, 93101* Organization

Name *Jules Bayley* Address *6115 Coloma dr Goletha CA, 93101* Organization

Name *Joseph Pleze* Address *6115 Coloma dr # 5781 Hickory St Carpinteria CA 93015* Organization

Name ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ Address Organization  
Kumar Lloyd 980 Cieneguitas Rd SB, 93110

Name ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ Address Organization  
Diane ~~XXXX~~ 1203 Laguna Self-Employed

Name ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ Address Organization  
Elizabeth Frank 2944 Hidden Valley Ln

Name ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ Address Organization  
Eric Rodriguez 512 Bath St #324

Name ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ Address Organization  
Gregory McClellan 6639 Abrego St #108 93117

Name ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~ Address Organization  
Marhya Kelly 238 Santa Barbara Shores #3117

Name Address Organization

Name Address Organization

Name Address Organization

Name Address Organization

Name Address Organization