

# Katherine Douglas General Public Comment

From:

Marian Baker < mariantbaker 13@gmail.com>

Sent:

Thursday, January 16, 2025 8:59 AM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Support of amendments to install carbon scrubbers

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Carpinteria is one of my favorite beach towns to visit- let's keep it that way. I am in support of the Board of Supervisors is amendments that will require all cannabis grows in the area to install mandatory carbon scrubbers, marking a major advancement in our community's approach to cannabis odor management.

Thank you for your support, Marian Baker Santa Barbara County resident

From:

Theresa Acerro (thacerro@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent:

Monday, January 20, 2025 5:47 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I have sailed my boat in this area and I remember when that was not possible because of the oil contamination caused by off shore drilling, even the smell was disgusting. Them smell lingered for years after the drilling stopped.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Theresa Acerro 3730Festival Ct Chula Vista, CA 91911 thacerro@yahoo.com (619) 425-5771

From: Erh-yen To (sea11wa@yahoo.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

**Sent:** Monday, January 20, 2025 5:47 PM

To: sbcob

**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Erh-yen To 3936 Stanwick Ave Sacramento, CA 95835 sea11wa@yahoo.com (206) 412-1117

From: Saran K. (sarank@mac.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

**Sent:** Monday, January 20, 2025 3:42 PM

To: sbcob

Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Saran K. 1710 Bagley Los Angeles, CA 90035 sarank@mac.com (310) 558-0000

From: Tia Triplett (tia@anlf.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2025 8:32 AM

To: sbcob

Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Tia Triplett 3959 Berryman Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90066 tia@anlf.com (310) 552-0035

From: Theresa Bucher (buchert@equinetradingco.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2025 9:01 AM

To: sbcob

**Subject:** Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

We need less, not more, fossil fuels. Climate change is real and increasingly costly to all.

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Theresa Bucher 5405 Topeka Dr Tarzana, CA 91356 buchert@equinetradingco.com (818) 970-3856

From: Robert Kurz (rkurz@hotmail.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2025 7:21 AM

To: sbcob

Subject: Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Robert Kurz 23256 Arelo Court Laguna Niguel, CA 92677 rkurz@hotmail.com (949) 495-7272

From:

Casey Macon (ill\_nana118@icloud.com) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent:

Saturday, January 25, 2025 10:14 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Deny Exxon trucking project - Upcoming Board of Supervisors Meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I urge you to deny this dangerous project as recommended by the Santa Barbara Planning Commission.

In addition to the significant and unavoidable risks of spills from trucking the oil, the purported benefit of producing oil from the offshore platforms and processing it on the Gaviota coast would bring with it unacceptable risks of offshore oil spills, air pollution and toxic fire and smoke risks that were not analyzed in the EIR, which focused narrowly on trucking impacts.

The recent oil spill off of Orange County underlines the severity of these risks. In addition, ExxonMobil's facilities were the largest sources of air pollution in the county and contained dangerous and toxic materials in an area that has burned by wildfire twice in the 6 years since the facilities have been shut down. We were fortunate that oil and dangerous gases were not present at the site during the recent Alisal fire, which burned onto ExxonMobil's property.

It is not just that the trucking routes are along sections of road with above average accident rates, there have been specific and recent instances of oil tankers on this route spilling oil into rivers and starting fires. In fact, on October 11 -- the same day as the Alisal fire -- an oil tanker crash near Orcutt caused a fire in Eucalyptus trees.

Thank you for denying this dangerous and unacceptable oil trucking project.

Sincerely,

Casey Macon 4335 Norwood ave Sacramento , CA 95838 ill\_nana118@icloud.com (916) 228-0185

From:

Robert Lesser <br/>
bobbyless@aol.com>

Sent:

Sunday, January 26, 2025 1:45 AM

To:

Villalobos, David

Cc:

Michael Cooney; Laura Bridley; jparke@icloud.com; vmartinez.sbpc@gmail.com;

rnsnsn@comcast.net; Concerned Carpinterians; SB Coalition for Responsible Cannabis;

sbcob; Bob Nelson; Wade Cowper; Roy Lee

Subject:

Cannabis Ordinance Reforms urgently needed - re: Jan. 29th hearing at PC

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

## Dear Planning Commissioners,

We are so relieved (also exhausted) about expected reforms to the hugely unpopular Cannabis Ordinance. The key issue for eliminating odor - of course this has to be done via carbon scrubbers - will be vigilant enforcement to ensure compliance.

There needs to be continuous monitoring at grower greenhouses' open roof vents and also at the property line. We need Zero odor detection beyond the property line! (Definitely not Geosyntec's laughable recommendation of 7 D/T. What was P&D thinking!)

Please make certain that cannabis operators sign <u>annual affidavits</u> of commitment to effectively use and maintain their Multi-Technology Carbon Filtration systems. Nor should there be any extension beyond the 3 months for compliance by cannabis growers: they have known the problem for seven years!

We also need Notification to residents, schools, businesses within 1000 feet of growers when complaints are filed. And it's long overdue to stop any more Cannabis licenses or renewals. SB County long ago maxed out on cannabis grows.

To boot, it's actually a losing business this year, costing tax paying-residents about \$4 million to cover costs of County staff. Really, truly, we're done.

Weed Growers: there's always Cuyama.

Warm regards, Robert Lesser Carpinteria