Daly, Julia Rutherford

From: Marguerite Borchers <margieborchers@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 27, 2016 11:59 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: PCEC Orcutt Hill Oil Project - Deny Appeal

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills. I agree with all four points below:

1. *PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
2. *PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
3. *None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
4. *The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Most Sincerely,

Margie Borchers

Santa Barbara

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: MALINDA CHOUINARD <Malinda.Chouinard@patagonia.com>
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 3:51 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: PCEC Orcutt Hill Oil Project - Deny Appeal

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

The Board of Patagonia Inc. in Ventura, urges you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. *PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
2. *PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
3. *None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
4. *The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely,

Yvon Chouinard

President of the Board and founder of Patagonia Inc.

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: JENNIFER KIZZIAH <JENKIZZIAH@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 5:06 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: PCEC Orcutt Hill Oil Project - Deny Appeal

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. *PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
2. *PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
3. *None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
4. *The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely,
Jennifer Kizziah

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Inspiratia Admin <admin@inspiratia.org>
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 5:41 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Please deny PCEC's appeal!

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. *PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
2. *PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
3. *None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
4. *The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely,

Deneen Elizabeth
224 W Alamar Ave
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Admin Heartwell <admin@lamaraheartwell.com>
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 5:43 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Please deny PCEC's appeal

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. *PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
2. *PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
3. *None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
4. *The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely,

Lamara Heartwell

1530 Mission Canyon Rd
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Angela Moll <angela@angelamoll.com>
Sent: Friday, October 28, 2016 6:18 PM
To: sbcob; Wolf, Janet
Subject: Please deny PCEC's oil project

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.
2. PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.
3. None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.
4. The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.

Sincerely,

Angela Moll
5637 W Camino Cielo
Santa Barbara, CA93105

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: jeneric543@yahoo.com
Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2016 11:10 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: No more new drilling

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. *PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
2. *PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
3. *None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
4. *The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely,

Jennifer Kantorowski
5612 Menemsha Lane
Orcutt, CA 93455

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Cornerstone Landscapes <gregory@landscapeSB.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 29, 2016 12:50 PM
To: sbcob; Farr, Doreen
Subject: PLEASE deny PCEC's appeal

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I am a local business owner and resident in Santa Barbara County.

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.
2. PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.
3. None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.
4. The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.

Sincerely,

Gregory Hyman
CORNERSTONE LANDSCAPES
~Design and Construction~
Lic# 981692

cell: (805) 705-8023
fax: (805) 964-7640
PO Box 3924
Santa Barbara, CA 93130

www.landscapeSB.com

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Phil Fine <philipfine0@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2016 3:27 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: PCEC Orcutt Hill Oil Project - Deny Appeal

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. *PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
2. *PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
3. *None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
4. *The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely, phil fine

419 calle álamo , SB 93105

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Rebecca Ollice <rebollice@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2016 3:47 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: PCEC Orcutt Hill Oil Project - Deny Appeal

Dear Santa Barbara County,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. *PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
2. *PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
3. *None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
4. *The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely,

Rebecca Ollice
Sent from Mailbird

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Judit Muller <juditmuller@cox.net>
Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2016 3:49 PM
To: Wolf, Janet; sbcob
Subject: support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills

Dear Honorable Supervisors,
I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. *PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
2. *PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
3. *None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
4. *The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely,
judi muller
3063 lucinda ln
sb ca 93105

.....

Judi Muller

judit m. designs
exquisite handmade jewelry
www.juditmdesigns.com
juditmuller@cox.net

"Like" on FaceBook

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Michal <michalcathy@cox.net>
Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2016 6:36 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: PCEC Oil Project Appeal - Deny!

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills. PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue. None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.

The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, CANNOT outweighing its significant impacts. Please deny the appeal.

Sincerely,
Michal Lynch
889 San Antonio Creek Rd.
Santa Barbara, CA 93111
805-895-4885

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: drewes321 <drewes321@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2016 7:12 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: DENY PCEC's appeal!

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. *PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
2. *PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
3. *None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
4. *The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely,

Mitch Drewes
243 Aldebaran Ave

Lompoc, CA 93436

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Katherine Cookson <kcookson@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2016 9:37 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny PCEC's appeal

Dear Honorable Supervisors,
I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. *PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
2. *PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
3. *None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
4. *The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely,

Katherine Cookson
po box 1605
Summerland, Ca93067

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Andres <gebweb@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2016 9:35 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: PCEC Orcutt Hill Oil Project - Deny Appeal

Dear Honorable Supervisors,
I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. *PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
2. *PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
3. *None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
4. *The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Thank you for accepting my form letter, it represents my position.

Sincerely,
Andres Gebhart

4303 La Quinta St
Carpinteria, CA 93013

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: RON FAAS <faas@verizon.net>
Sent: Sunday, October 30, 2016 9:41 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: PCEC Orcutt Hill Oil Project - Deny Appeal

Dear County Supervisors:

For the reasons stated in the comprehensive analysis submitted on October 28, 2016 by the Environmental Defense Center on behalf of the Sierra Club Los Padres Chapter and Santa Barbara County Action Network, I urge the Board of Supervisors to support the Planning Commission decision, and deny Pacific Coast Energy Company's appeal of the Commission's denial of the Orcutt Hill Resource Enhancement Plan.

I also urge Board approval of the Seep Can Only Project, and with respect to the Seep Can Only Project, urge the BOS to require public notice when permits for future seep cans are issued.

Thank you.

Ron Faas
1650 E. Clark Ave. #248
Santa Maria, CA 93455

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Beverly Holley <holleyb@me.com>
Sent: Monday, October 31, 2016 8:32 AM
To: SupervisorCarbajal
Cc: sbcob; EDC@environmentaldefensecenter.org
Subject: DENY PCEC's appeal!

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. *PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
2. *PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
3. *None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
4. *The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely,

Beverly Holley
419 Calle Alamo

Santa Barbara, CA 93105

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Jefferson Litten <jlitten@cecmail.org>
Sent: Monday, October 31, 2016 10:45 AM
To: sbcob
Cc: Sigrid Wright
Subject: Community Environmental Council Comment Letter on the PCEC Project
Attachments: CEC_Letter_regarding_PCEC_Appeal_PC_Decision_.pdf

Good Morning,
Attached please find the Community Environmental Council's Comment Letter on the PCEC Project which will be considered at tomorrow's BOS meeting.

Please direct any questions to me.

Thanks,

Jefferson Litten
Director of Energy and Climate Programs
Community Environmental Council
805-963-0583 x105
www.SBCEnergyChoice.org
www.cecsolarize.org
www.cecsb.org

Community Environmental Council

26 West Anapamu St., 2nd Floor, Santa Barbara, CA 93101
tel: 805.963.0583 fax: 805.962.9080 • www.cecsb.org

October 28, 2016

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors
123 E. Anapamu Street, Fourth Floor
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
E-MAIL: sbcob@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Honorable Supervisors:

The Community Environmental Council (CEC) has a 46 year-history of developing solutions to our region's environmental challenges and engaging in sound planning and advocacy to protect the region and the planet's natural resources. As such, CEC urges the Board to uphold County Staff's recommendation to deny the Pacific Coast Energy Company's (PCEC) appeal of the Planning Commission's June 29th denial.

In reviewing this project, CEC agrees with the Planning Commission's evaluation of the project presented in the Board Letter which accompanied the October 11th hearing:

> *The findings for denial conclude that uncertain benefits of the project do not outweigh the significant environmental impacts the project will cause, that the site is not able to accommodate additional oil and gas production and that the development is not in conformance with the applicable provisions of the County's Land Use Development Code and Comprehensive Plan. The Commission's findings are supported by evidence in the record and adequately substantiated by facts. (CEC emphasis).*

CEC agrees with the Planning Commission's findings that the environmental impacts of the project exceed any potential economic benefits that the project may offer. With regards to proposed alternatives, the October 11th Board Letter notes that under the Careaga Exclusion Alternative, "seeps would likely continue to occur," though the frequency of seeps may decrease. Given the noted uncertainty around the reduction of impacts under this alternative, CEC does not support the Careaga Exclusion Alternative. CEC does, however, support the Seep Can Only Alternative and supports certifying the supplemental EIR for this alternative (Case No. EIR-00000-00001).

Beyond the noted biological and habitat impacts, CEC is concerned about the energy and greenhouse gas (GHG) intensity of the proposed PCEC project. Enhanced oil-extraction techniques such as cyclic steaming are among the most GHG-intensive extraction technologies currently in use.

In light of global atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations recently exceeding 400 ppm and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) proclaiming that "Scientific Evidence for warming of our planet is unequivocal," CEC advocates for a rapid transition away from carbon intensive energy sources and extraction techniques towards clean renewable energy sources and sustainable transportation systems.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Sigrid Wright
Executive Director / CEO

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Oceanna Visions <oceannavisions@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 31, 2016 12:41 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: PCEC Appeal to Drill

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. ***PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.***
2. ***PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.***
3. ***None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.***
4. ***The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.***

Sincerely,

Donna Kiddie
1030 Driftwood lane Ventura CA 93001

Oceanna Visions
www.oceannavisions.com
805-331-1331
www.hunapearls.com

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Seraphima Sierra Butler <seraphimasierra@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, October 31, 2016 1:28 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Please deny PCEC appeal!

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

As a Goleta who had to live through the Refugio spill and all the air pollution, beach pollution, and literal heartbreak that accompanied it, I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – **last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.**

PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! **If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.**

None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.
The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, **do not come close** to outweighing its significant impacts.

Please protect us from this project.

Sincerely,

Sierra Butler,
Goleta resident

Sent from my iPhone

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Jeanne Reeves <jeanreeves2003@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, October 31, 2016 1:41 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: PCEC Orcutt Hill Oil Project - Deny Appeal

Dear Honorable Supervisors,

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.

1. *PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.*
2. *PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.*
3. *None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.*
4. *The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.*

Sincerely,

Jeanne Reeves

Orcutt, 93455

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Melissa <farmer.kelly@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 31, 2016 1:53 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: PCEC Orcutt Hill Oil Project - Deny Appeal

Hello County Supervisors,
There is already cause for concern for our drinking water in Orcutt. Please let's not open us up to more potential contamination.

I urge you to DENY PCEC's appeal, and support the Planning Commission's decision to protect our County from further damage from PCEC's oil seeps and spills.
PCEC's oil project is one of the dirtiest and riskiest oil operations in the County – last year PCEC was #1 in the County for oil spills.
PCEC's oil operations have resulted in 99 uncontrolled oil seeps and 24 oil spills! If the project or any alternative is approved by the Board, the seeps and oil spills will continue.
None of PCEC's "new information" presented at the last hearing avoids or significantly decreases the projects numerous Class I impacts to endangered species, habitat or water quality.
The project's uncertain benefits, like short-term construction jobs, do not come close to outweighing its significant impacts.

Thanks Much for your service,

Melissa Kelly
805 729-8461
805 722-7857

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Salud Carbajal <saludcarbajal@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 31, 2016 2:32 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: Please DENY PCEC Appeal Tomorrow

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

> **From:** Fran Farina <Fran@farinalaw.net>
> **Date:** October 31, 2016 at 2:23:50 PM PDT
> **To:** "Salud Carbajal (saludcarbajal@gmail.com)" <saludcarbajal@gmail.com>
> **Subject: Please DENY PCEC Appeal Tomorrow**
>
> Salud:
>
> It's unfortunate that you have such a heavy agenda at tomorrow's Board of Supervisors, but if you don't have time to read EDC's letter on why the PCEC project appeal should be denied, I'd ask that you focus on why there are no overriding considerations to justify approving the project, there remain multiple Class I impacts, and the only approval should be for the Seep Can proposal.
>
> Thank you.
>
> *Fran Farina*
>
> Fran Farina
> 389 Princeton Avenue
> Santa Barbara, CA 93111-1637
> Phone: (805) 681-8822
> **Fran@FarinaLaw.net**