Attachment 4

RESOLUTION OF THE GOLETA VALLEY PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GVPAC)
OF THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF ENDORSING THE DRAFT GOLETA VALLEY COMMUNITY PLAN FOR EASTERN GOLETA VALLEY FOR CONSIDERATION AND INITIATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW BY THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA	))))))	RESOLUTION NO: 2011-1.0

WHEREAS, in 1987, the Board of Supervisors appointed a 14-member General Plan Advisory Committee (GPAC) to advise County staff in the development of the Goleta Community Plan, which was adopted in 1993;

WHEREAS, in November 2005 the Board of Supervisors approved a Work Program for the Comprehensive Planning Division (now, the Long Range Planning Division) to update the 1993 Goleta Community Plan (GCP) for Eastern Goleta Valley; and,

WHEREAS, in October 2006, the Goleta Visioning Committee completed the GVC 20/20 document, *A Comprehensive Vision for the Eastern Goleta* Valley, to inform the development of community goals for the update of the 1993 Goleta Community Plan; and,

WHEREAS, in March 2008, the Board of Supervisors selected the provisional planning area for the update of the Goleta Community Plan, which defines applicability of the GCP to two (2) planning zones within the Goleta Valley Planning Area, as follows:

1. Eastern Goleta Valley: All policies, standards, zoning, actions, and overlays would be reviewed, evaluated, and updated during the GCP update planning process; and,
2. Western Goleta Valley: All policies, standards, zoning, actions, and overlays adopted as part of the 1993 Goleta Community Plan will remain unchanged; and,

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors accepted applications for positions on the Goleta Valley Planning Advisory Committee from residents and property/business owners in Eastern Goleta Valley; and,

WHEREAS, the Goleta Valley Planning Advisory Committee (hereinafter referred to as the GVPAC) was formed in March 2008 consisting of the seven members nominated by the Second District Supervisor and appointed by the Board of Supervisors, as follows:

1. Edwin (Ted) Adams
2. Thomas Elliott
3. Kenan Ezal
4. Bonnie Freeman
5. Kenneth Mineau
6. Valerie Olson
7. Kimberly True; and

WHEREAS, the GVPAC served as an advisory body to the County of Santa Barbara during the Goleta Community Plan update project; and,

WHEREAS, the GVPAC elected officers consisting of Valerie Olson as Chair, Kenan Ezal as Vice-Chair and Thomas Elliott as Recording Secretary during the third public meeting of the GVPAC; and,

WHEREAS, the GVPAC held 39 public meetings 2 public workshops, a public van tour of the planning area, and 5 public Plan Review Subcommittee meetings to receive community input, develop Community

Plan goals, and review and comment on the draft Goleta Valley Community Plan for Eastern Goleta Valley; and,

WHEREAS, the GVPAC provided a list of land use and community development goals for Eastern Goleta Valley based on community input, which were used by County staff to draft the Goleta Community Plan update. In the formulation of the goals, the GVPAC represented the broad public interest ahead of any personal interests, affiliations, and/or biases and abstained from all discussions and votes where objectivity was not possible; and,

WHEREAS, the Plan Review Subcommittee of the GVPAC, comprised of GVPAC members Kenan Ezal, Valerie Olson, and Kimberly True held 5 public meetings to review and comment on the Working Draft of the Goleta Valley Community Plan for Eastern Goleta Valley and advised staff on issues to address in subsequent revisions to create the Administrative Draft Goleta Valley Community Plan for Eastern Goleta Valley; and,

WHEREAS, the GVPAC reviewed and commented on the Administrative Draft Goleta Valley Community Plan for Eastern Goleta Valley and advised staff on issues to address in subsequent revisions to create the Initiation Draft Goleta Valley Community Plan for Eastern Goleta Valley; and,

WHEREAS, the GVPAC reviewed Initiation Draft Goleta Valley Community Plan for Eastern Goleta Valley; and

WHEREAS, the GVPAC complied with all other provisions of the Guidelines for General Plan Advisory Committees upon formation by the Board of Supervisors, including compliance with the Ralph M. Brown Act governing open meetings for local government bodies.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The above recitations are true and correct.

2. The Initiation Draft Goleta Valley Community Plan for Eastern Goleta Valley is endorsed by the Goleta Valley Planning Advisory Committee to be considered by the County of Santa Barbara for environmental review with the exceptions approved by majority vote of the GVPAC and recorded in Exhibit A:

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Goleta Valley Planning Advisory Committee (GVPAC) of the County of Santa Barbara, State of California, this 5th day of July, 2011, by the following vote:

AYES: Adams, Elliott, Ezal, Freeman, Mineau, Olson, True

NOES: None

ABSTAINED: None

ABSENT: None

Valerie Olson

VALERIE OLSON
Chair, Goleta Valley Planning Advisory Committee (GVPAC)
County of Santa Barbara

Attachment 4

EXHIBIT A:

TO GOLETA VALLEY PLANNING ADVISORY COMMITTEE (GVPAC) RESOLUTION NO: 2011-1.0

EXCEPTIONS APPROVED BY MAJORITY VOTE OF THE GVPAC TO AMEND THE GVPAC ENDORSEMENT OF THE INITIATION DRAFT GOLETA VALLEY COMMUNITY PLAN FOR EASTERN GOLETA VALLEY

On July 5, 2011 during a public GVPAC meeting, the Goleta Valley Planning Advisory Committee (GVPAC) approved a motion to endorse the Initiation Draft Goleta Valley Community Plan for Eastern Goleta Valley by resolution with the following substantive exceptions for consideration by County decision-makers:

Note: Underlining indicates inclusions; Strikethrough indicates exclusions.

Exception Statement #	Initiation Draft Page #	Finalized Language for consideration by the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors
1	53	The Goleta Valley Community Plan for Eastern Goleta Valley should include an additional column in Tables 1 and 2 (Buildout Tables) to tabulate and record the net change in development capacity between the 1993 Land Use Map and the adopted Land Use Map to demonstrate how the update project changed land use planning for the area.
2	60	**Policy LUR-EGV-2.5: MTD and Tatum/School District:** The Tatum/Santa Barbara School District property (APN 065-040-026, located at 4750 Hollister Avenue, and provided the County makes a finding consistent with Policy LUA-EGV-1.5, the MTD properties (APNs 059-140-004, -005, -006), located at 4678 Calle Real/149 N. San Antonio Rd shall receive land use designations appropriate for Residential Neighborhood Development. A development plan shall be required for these properties, respectively, and designed to: • Plan, design, and propose one project for comprehensive consideration, rather than considering piece-meal proposals for the property(ies), and • Provide Small-town Residential Neighborhood-style development complementary to surrounding uses (see Section II.A: Planning Area Boundaries and Permitted Uses), • Cluster development and constrain development envelope(s) appropriately to generate usable public open space, develop recreational resources, and preserve environmental resources, • Provide multi-modal transportation and circulation improvements to generate connectivity with commercial, recreational, and educational/institutional destinations, • Provide pedestrian and bicycle improvements sufficient to connect residential uses to adjacent commercial, recreational, and educational/institutional destinations, • Buffer residential uses from impacts associated with Hwy 101 and Southern Pacific Railroad travel corridors, and • Provide landscaping and/or streetscape to enhance community character and multi-modal transportation facilities.

Attachment 4

Exception Statement #	Initiation Draft Page #	Finalized Language for consideration by the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors
3	87	**Policy LUA-EGV-1.5: Urban Agricultural Land Uses:** Land designated for agricultural land use within the urban area shall be preserved for urban agricultural uses, unless the County makes <u>factual and substantive</u> findings that: • The land is no longer appropriate for urban agricultural land uses following due consideration <u>consistent with all policies of the Plan</u>, or • There is an overriding public need for conversion to other uses <u>(e.g., schools, fire stations, and/or law enforcement facilities)</u> for which there is no other land available in the urban area.
4	89	**(Abbreviated) Action LUA-EGV-2A: Urban Agriculture Land Use Designation (UA-EGV) and Zoning (UAg-EGV):** Develop and apply a land use designation and zoning district for agricultural land in the Urban Area of Eastern Goleta Valley to address the unique characteristics and needs of urban agricultural uses. The Eastern Goleta Valley Urban Agriculture designation (UA-EGV) and district (UAg-EGV) shall:... • Retain the ~~characteristic~~ historic, cultural, and visual ~~resources~~ <u>characteristics</u> of the urban agricultural areas and retain visually diverse landscapes, including structures, public services, transportation facilities, and utilities,...
5	89	**(Abbreviated) Action LUA-EGV-2A: Urban Agriculture Land Use Designation (UA-EGV) and Zoning (UAg-EGV):** Develop and apply a land use designation and zoning district for agricultural land in the Urban Area of Eastern Goleta Valley to address the unique characteristics and needs of urban agricultural uses. The Eastern Goleta Valley Urban Agriculture designation (UA-EGV) and district (UAg-EGV) shall:... • Encourage agricultural land use diversification by defining a range of small-scale allowable complementary secondary uses that are compatible with and subordinate to conventional agricultural uses. The ~~complementary~~ uses should play a <u>complementary</u> role to the primary agricultural use(s), and promote and support sustainable agricultural operations, and enhance the attractiveness of urban agriculture as a business. Complementary secondary urban agricultural uses shall be allowed only when primary agricultural uses continue to operate onsite. ...

Attachment 4

Exception Statement #	Initiation Draft Page #	Finalized Language for consideration by the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors
6	90	(Abbreviated) **Policy LUA-EGV-2.6: Requirements for Mesa Subarea:** No general plan amendment and/or rezone proposal which results in a change of land use designation from agricultural to non-agricultural shall be initiated for processing by the County within the Mesa Subarea of the South Patterson Agricultural Area outside of a focused general plan update or approval of a Specific Plan for the Mesa Subarea exclusively, consistent with this Plan, the County's Land Use and Development Code, and State law. The general plan update and/or Specific Plan may be initiated only after the County makes <u>factual and substantive</u> findings that: • The Mesa Subarea is no longer appropriate for urban agricultural land uses following due consideration consistent with <u>all policies of</u> this Plan. (Abbreviated) **Policy LUA-EGV-2.7: Requirements for Flatlands Subarea:** No general plan amendment and/or rezone proposal which results in a change of land use designation from agricultural to non-agricultural shall be initiated for processing by the County within the Flatlands Subarea of the South Patterson Agricultural Area outside of a focused general plan update or approval of a Specific Plan for the Flatlands Subarea exclusively, consistent with this Plan, the County's Land Use and Development Code, and State law. The general plan update and/or Specific Plan may be initiated only after the County makes <u>factual and substantive</u> findings that: • The Flatlands Subarea is no longer appropriate for urban agricultural land uses following due consideration consistent <u>with all policies of</u> this Plan.
7	109	The Goleta Valley Community Plan for Eastern Goleta Valley should include a policy requiring the County to work with the Santa Barbara County Trails Council to better pursue and implement additional trails in the planning area.
8	125	The Goleta Valley Community Plan for Eastern Goleta Valley should replace previous 1993 Plan Roadway Classifications with updated classification consistent with Federal and State definitions.
9	172	**Policy ECO-EGV-2.4:** Where sites proposed for development contain sensitive or important habitats and areas to be preserved over the long term, degradation of these habitats shall be avoided <u>to the maximum extent practical, and demonstrated un-avoidable impacts minimized</u> ~~or minimized~~ as a component of a project, including, but not limited to, one or more of the following conditions: • Dedication of onsite open space easements covering habitat areas, • Onsite habitat restoration programs utilizing appropriate native, drought-tolerant, and/or fire-resistant species, • Monetary contributions toward habitat acquisition and management, and/or • Offsite easement and/or restoration of comparable habitat/area when onsite preservation is infeasible.

Attachment 4

Exception Statement #	Initiation Draft Page #	Finalized Language for consideration by the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors
10	172	**Policy ECO-EGV-2.6:** The County shall ensure the following requirements for any restoration efforts are considered and incorporated into the restoration plan: • Restoration shall include the appropriate diversity and density of plants native to the locality, and shall be propagated from local genetic plant stock, preferably collected from the site's watershed if feasible, or between Gaviota and Carpinteria, or as deemed satisfactory by a qualified biologist). • Restoration shall incorporate maintenance and monitoring measures to ensure that the remedial action is mitigating permanent remedy of the impact of development, • When restoration is required, on-site rather than off-site restoration shall be preferred.
11	173	**Policy ECO-EGV-4.1: Protecting Existing Trees:** Existing trees in Eastern Goleta Valley shall be preserved to the maximum extent feasible, prioritizing "protected trees". Protected trees are defined for the purposes of this policy as mature native, naturalized, or roosting/nesting trees that are healthy, structurally sound, and have grown into the natural stature particular to the species. Protected trees include, but are not limited to: • Oaks (Quercus agrifolia), • Sycamores (Platanus racemosa), • Willow (Salix sp.), • Redwoods (Sequoia sempervirens), • Maples (Acer macrophyllum), • California Bay Laurels (Umbellularia californica), • Cottonwood (Populus fremontii & Populus balsimifera), • White Alder (Alnus rhombifolia) • California Walnut (Juglans californica) • Any trees serving as known raptor nesting or key raptor roosting sites, and • Any trees serving as Monarch Butterfly aggregation sites.