

**ATTACHMENT B
Criteria and Scoring**

1-3-5 (1= reservation about item; 3 – neutral; 5 = consider funding)

Name of Agency _____ **Name of Proposal** _____

Amount of Request \$ _____

Priority Ranking	Explanation of criteria	Score (1, 3, 5)
High priority	BOS high priority: Efficient, Responsive Government, Health & Safety, Quality of Life, Citizen Government and Families and Children; high demonstration of need	
Medium priority	Some BOS priority support and medium need <i>NOTE</i> : Operations = medium - is there a long term plan to address operations?	
Low priority	Non BOS priorities or non-urgent need, service	
High priority	Complete, clear, concise concept and strong proposal	
Medium priority	Medium strength proposal; competing with similar services?	
Low priority	Incomplete or poorly articulated concept	
High priority	Need one-time funding	
Medium priority	Need medium range financial support (1-3 years)	
Low priority	Need on-going financial support	
High priority	High number of clients served ;quantifiable accomplishment for the funding; offers leverage; has a high impact ROI	
Medium priority	Average number of clients served; some leverage/match; medium impact ROI	
Low priority	Low number of clients served; no leverage/match; no significant impact or ROI	
High priority	Clearly improves service access for diverse populations (e.g., non-English speaking, culturally & ethnically diverse)	

Medium priority	Some improvement in diverse client access to services	
Low priority	No improvement or clarity about improving access to diverse populations	
High priority	Strong administrative capacity (strong vision; good track record; extensive knowledge in the field; utilize volunteers and contribute in-kind resources; many years agency in operation; many years managing the program)	
Medium priority	Average administrative capacity and track record (knowledge of field/program; some volunteers or in-kind contributions)	
Low priority	New to field, minimal experience, new program/service; no volunteers, leverage or in-kind services	
High priority	Agency commits to on-going self-evaluations, data collection, assessments of need, surveys on performance	
Medium priority	Agency occasionally conducts needs assessments, data collection and self-evaluations	
Low priority	Agency has not conducted evaluations and needs assessments	
High priority	Performance targets and outcomes clear and quantifiable in terms of persons served and how they will benefit	
Medium priority	Some outcomes clear but not all quantifiable	
Low priority	No clear outcomes or targets	
High priority	Collaboration and partnerships (documented), strong partnership- i.e., with similar mainstream service providers	
Medium priority	Good collaboration (undocumented) with similar mainstream service providers and others	
Low priority	No known collaborative efforts	
High priority	Strong agency financials (audited, good cash reserves, no findings)	
Medium priority	Medium agency financials (audited/unaudited, low cash reserves, any findings or legal concerns)	
Low priority	Poor financials (audited/unaudited, very low cash reserves, outstanding findings or legal concerns)	
	Total	

NOTE: Clear substantiated evidence-based program (extra point)