



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
AGENDA LETTER

Agenda Number:

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
105 E. Anapamu Street, Suite 407
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
(805) 568-2240

Department Name: CEO
Department No.: 012
For Agenda Of: July 1, 2014
Placement: Administrative
Estimated Tme:
Continued Item: No
If Yes, date from:
Vote Required: Majority

TO: Board of Supervisors

FROM: Department Mona Miyasato, County Executive Officer, 568-3400
Director(s)
Contact Info: Dennis Bozanich, Assistant to the CEO, 568-3400

SUBJECT: Response to *Union Valley Parkway – Progress with Problems* Grand Jury Report

County Counsel Concurrence

As to form: Pending

Auditor-Controller Concurrence

As to form: N/A

Recommended Actions:

That the Board of Supervisors:

- A. Consider and adopt responses in Attachment A as the Board of Supervisors' responses to the Grand Jury report titled *Union Valley Parkway – Progress with Problems*, and
- B. Authorize the Chair to sign the letter included as Attachment A and forward the response to the Presiding Judge of the Court.

Summary Text:

On May 15, 2014, the Santa Barbara County Grand Jury published a report titled *Union Valley Parkway-Progress with Problems* which requires a Board of Supervisor response. The Board will be able to consider the responses, adopt and authorize the Board Chair to sign the response letter. The Board is required to respond in writing to the Presiding Judge of the Juvenile Court by August 12, 2014.

Background:

On May 15, 2014, the Santa Barbara County Grand Jury published a report titled *Union Valley Parkway-Progress with Problems* which requires a Board of Supervisors response. The Grand Jury concluded that visibility is impaired at the intersection of Union Valley Parkway and California Boulevard. The Grand Jury recommends a four-way stop and intersection warning signs be installed on Union Valley Parkway pursuant to the original plans.

The Board of Supervisors is asked to respond to Finding 3, as well as, Recommendation 3.

It is recommended that the Board inform, in writing, the Presiding Judge that they “agree” with Finding 3. Additionally, it is suggested that the Board consider responding to Recommendation 3 “has not yet been implemented, but will be implemented in the future.” Future implementation timing is dependent on the responses provided by the City of Santa Maria. The specific responses to the findings and recommendations are provided in the letter to the Presiding Judge (Attachment A). The full report from the Civil Grand Jury can be found at Attachment B.

Fiscal and Facilities Impacts:

Not Currently Budgeted: No known costs at this time

Attachments:

A- Response to the Grand Jury report *Union Valley Parkway – Progress with Problems*

B- Grand Jury Report *Union Valley Parkway – Progress with Problems*

Authored by:

Dennis Bozanich, Assistant to the County Executive Officer, (805) 568-3400

CC:

Scott D McGolpin, Director of Public Works