

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Villalobos, David
Sent: Friday, March 11, 2016 1:37 PM
To: sbcob
Cc: Trotter, Florence
Subject: FW: mud lake verizon tower

For Verizon at Kenneth Avenue case.

From: Shigeko Belardino [<mailto:sk.pj@verizon.net>]
Sent: Monday, December 07, 2015 12:42 PM
To: Villalobos, David
Subject: mud lake verizon tower

Dear sir

I am a registered voter in Santa Barbara county and have lived adjacent to mud lake for 38 years. Please do not approve verizon,s request to install a tower on mudlake. To do so may place the county in jeopardy of violating federal ecological regulations.

As a registered voter I do not want this to happen. Thank you

Pontelione J Belardino
4567 Tilbury court
Santa Maria,CA 93455

Alexander, Jacquelyne

From: Edith Kuhr <etksm@icloud.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2016 6:45 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Verizon transceiver tower/Kenneth Ave. Orcutt CA

Dear County Supervisors and Planning Department;

I am a 85 year old Senior who has lived at 4605 Kenneth Avenue in Orcutt for many years. I love my neighbors and my neighborhood.

We hope to see it continue to maintain its character as a good place for both young families and Seniors.

We are all delighted and very appreciative of your decision to reject the Verizon proposal to place their 80' Telecommunication Tower in our midst. Thank you!

I now understand that Verizon intends to place its facilities on the county right-of-way in our area. I guess this means poles, lights, and radiation emitting electronic equipment along our streets. I am opposed to this for most of the same reasons which were advanced in opposition to the 80' tower.

Less invasive and more appropriate alternatives are available; namely co-location by Verizon at existing facility sites of other Telecom providers; on Cal Trans right-of-way along Hwy 101, or perhaps best of all ... property East of Hwy 101.

We urge you to encourage Verizon to move in this direction, rather than to endanger disrupt and devalue our quiet residential neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Thomas Kuhr
4605 Kenneth Avenue
Santa Maria, CA 93455



Vidal, Perla

From: ANDREA <kenya683@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2016 9:35 PM
To: ANDREA; Adam, Peter; Farr, Doreen; Wolf, Janet; Lavagnino, Steve; sbcob; Adam, Peter; SupervisorCarbajal; Trotter, Florence; Villalobos, David
Subject: Transreceiver Station at Kenneth and Via Santa Maria

Dear County Supervisors and County Planning Board,

Thank you for all you have done to make it so our neighborhood won't be destroyed by a terrible transceiver station. You are to be commended a million times over for carefully listening to your constituents. This whole process has given me new respect for the work you do. It is amazing how you, of the County Planning Board, actually listened to hours and days of long, often very tedious and repetitive testimony. Thank you also to the County Planning Commission for considering with an open mind to all the reasons that Mud Lake and the surrounding neighborhoods would be so adversely affected by a tower. Your kind words about our community effort were fully noted also. I appreciate that so many of the County Supervisors actually met with us, and some even came out to the site to see for themselves. All those actions prove that you are actively engaged in doing the very best for your county. I am duly impressed. Thank you, Thank you, Thank you.

Of course we are worried about those few words in Verizon's letter that say they will "... pursue alternative locations including the likely placement of facilities on the county right-of-way.." We are concerned about the idea of antennas being placed on light poles. We would like to remind Verizon of the county policy of co-location. There are five cell towers in close proximity. Verizon needs co-locate on those. The alternative location for a tower across the freeway is still a very practical idea. In fact, there is a new tower disguised as a water tower on the 5000 block of Frontage Road that may work well already!

Thank you again for all the wonderful work you do, for the obvious concern you have for listening to the people you represent, and for responsibly acting upon the knowledge you acquired through the whole process. I need to say, that as an elementary school teacher it is soooooo wonderful to be able to go back to my students with the first hand knowledge that all the rights and freedoms we have been guaranteed through our country's constitution are wonderfully preserved through the democratic process, and that through our county representatives that process works just as it was intended to by our founders. I am so happy to be able to teach my students, that yes indeed, active citizen participation does make a difference. You are doing a great job. Thank you, again.

Sincerely,
Andrea Fischer-Ortiz
1402 Dickinson St.
Orcutt, CA
kenya683@msn.com



Vidal, Perla

From: sandie <samwbj@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 14, 2016 8:57 AM
To: Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; peter.adam@countyofsb.or; Lavagnino, Steve; sbcob; Trotter, Florence; Andrea Fischer-Ortiz
Subject: Transceiver Station at Kenneth and Via Santa Maria

Dear County Supervisors and County Planning Board,

I want to thank you for all that you have done to help us with our concerns and wishes concerning the transceiver station on Kenneth and Via Santa Maria. I commend you all for listening to you constituents. Thank you for really listening to our concerns with an open mind. Thank you for taking the time to meet with many of my neighbors, coming to the site itself, and being involved in what is best for the county.

I was reading part of Verizon's withdrawal and am very concerned about the "...pursue alternative locations including placement of facilities on the county right-of-way..." I am concerned about what they are looking at here. When I purchased my lot on Kenneth in 1985, there was a right-of-way behind my lot and the lots on Broadwalk. I hope that is not what they are looking into as there are no above utilities in our locations and for the past 30 years everyone has shared that as our property lines.

The fact that our county has a policy of encouraging co-location of antennas, please remember that there are already at least five existing towers in the area; St. Louis de Montfort Church, St Joseph High School, the CVS shopping center, the Lutheran church on Orcutt Road, and 5055 Frontage Road. Verizon would not make as much money using those as they would building one of their own to rent out, but it would protect Mud Lake and our neighborhood.

I know that over the years, people come and go so that original agreements are forgotten. Mud Lake has been a retention basin since I can remember and since my father can remember, way before the housing developments went in. When they did go in many people wanted it to stay that way. The lots were sold that the Mud Lake area would always be preserved, and the section that Verizon wanted to build on was once part of the Rivera Estates track. Buyers were told that it would be a park, it was deeded back to the county by the developers to be part of Mud Lake and preserved as a natural park.

I would like to see something done to protect those original agreements and protect any future problems that might destroy Mud Lake.

I also understand that Debbie, who has been amazing in her dedication in this matter, has information that she and Andrea have forwarded to you concerning a case in Michigan. Please consider that as well.

Again, I can not thank you enough for listening to the concerns of those living in the area of the transceiver project. Thank you for not only listening but for standing with us. I do hope the alternate sites that Verizon is looking at involve the ones that at all ready existing. Thank you for all the time and energy that everyone has put into this. As a teacher of history, I am always explaining to my students how our government was designed to work for the best of the people and that they have a voice. I am so glad and proud that ours was not only heard but supported. Thank you again.

Sincerely,
Sandie Smith
4563 Kenneth Ave
Santa Maria, CA 93455
805 937 0945
samwbj@hotmail.com

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Andrea Fischer-Ortiz <afischer_ortiz@sldmschool.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 05, 2016 10:41 PM
To: Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; Adam, Peter; Lavagnino, Steve; sbcob; Trotter, Florence; SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: Proposed Transreciever station at Kenneth and Via Santa Maria

Dear County Supervisors,

Thank you for appointing such wonderful people onto your county planning commission. They did an amazing job of listening to all the facts, doing their own research, and paying attention to the rights of their constituents.

Thank you also for coming out to look at the proposed transreciever station site. I appreciate all that you have done to get the correct information.

This is going to be a long email, but below are the reasons that Mud Lake, at Kenneth and Via Santa Maria streets, is not a good spot for a transreciever station.

Reasons Mud Lake is not the place for a transreciever tower:

. An 80' tower with blinking red light on top will be taller than the trees around it and will be an eyesore. The accompanying 8 foot cyclone fencing and added asphalt driveway will increase its ugliness. No amount of designing it to be a tree will make it look good.

. The accompanying air conditioner, emergency generators, service truck traffic will add undesirable noise to our neighborhood.

. The service trucks will increase industrial traffic on our neighborhood streets.

. Construction and maintenance of the tower will kill the eucalyptus trees. Verizon's plan of replacing any damaged trees with new ones can not possibly compensate for the loss of even one of those stately, beautiful, COUNTY PROTECTED, historic, 100+ year old trees. Cutting through the roots to lay cables, driving over the roots during construction, continuous driving over the roots for maintenance, covering of the ground with a nonporous surface material such as asphalt, and the fire danger inherent to cell towers will all eventually kill those trees that add so much to our neighborhood.

. Those 100+ year old, county protected, raptor roosting trees are county protected for a very good reason. Raptors are birds of prey and eat vectors. Vectors are any creature who can become a danger to humans if their population is not controlled. Rats, mice, possums, raccoons, rabbits, moles, gophers can all become dangerous if their population is not controlled. The county designated those trees as protected because we need owls and Hawks and bats to control vector populations and owls and hawks need those trees. If those trees die, the hawks and owls and bats will leave and rats, mice, mosquitos will become a problem.

. We choose our neighborhood because of its beauty. We all work hard to maintain our homes. Some of our neighborhoods pay a homeowners fee to keep up the beauty of the area. One of the attractive elements of our neighborhood is that there are no unsightly utility poles and electrical lines. A huge, ugly, utilitarian transceiver tower monstrosity is not in keeping with the original design and plan of our neighborhood.

. The loss of beauty in our neighborhood will directly decrease our property values. We have a signed statement from several real estate professionals attesting to that fact.

. There is a fire danger. Just last summer one of the smaller cell towers at St. Joseph's High School caught fire. Imagine the damage that could happen if a fire started in a giant tower built amongst those beautiful trees. The fire would leap from one tree to another until it was like a fire bomb in our neighborhood. Houses close by would not have a chance. The adjacent water well might be destroyed.

. Transceiver towers can fall. The planned tower is HUGE, taller than the trees. If the tower fell toward the street it would land in the middle of the street and cause a lot of damage. Debris would fly, tree branches would get knocked down, electrical lines would be loose, and cars or people could be crushed by the falling tower.

. Transceiver towers emit radio waves in high quantities. Radio waves are not good for any living thing. There is much evidence that radio waves can cause cancer, mutations, and all sorts of other illnesses. Existing towers even have signs that say "WARNING! STAY BACK! RADIO WAVES CAUSE DAMAGE TO HUMANS." We do not want anything so dangerous in amongst our family neighborhood.

. A MICROWAVE RECEIVING DISH will be on the tower just 40' up receiving a direct beam from Orcutt/Solomon Hill. Receiving dishes never catch all the microwaves. Any home behind the dish will be radiated with escaping microwaves 24/7/365 days a year for as long as the tower is there.

. The federal government does not presently recognize that radio waves are dangerous and will not allow that argument against cell towers. The science is so new, but there is a lot of evidence that all those electromagnetic waves are harmful to humans. Do we want to take that chance with our health? Remember that doctors in the '60s used to recommend pregnant woman to smoke to calm their nerves!

. Beauty is intrinsic to happiness. As a species we have already eliminated so much of our natural environment. Mud Lake is a beautiful natural spot that brings us all happiness. We walk by, we watch the egrets, ducks, owls, and hawks, and we listen to the songs of the red wing blackbird, frogs and crickets. Sunsets and moon rises and Christmas lights are beautifully reflected on the lake. Mud Lake is a jewel in our midst.

. The whole Mud Lake area is already designated in county plans as park, or open space, or recreation. It is not designated as utilitarian.

. A few of the surrounding neighborhoods were actually assessed a fee in anticipation of a park being put in. Since the first neighborhoods were built homeowners were told that eventually a park would be put in. Thirty years have gone by and no park. No one complained too much because the area is almost like a natural park,

BUT NONE OF US WANTED TO GIVE UP OUR PARK RIGHTS and destroy that natural area with an ugly utility.

. Mud Lake is a special area. It has not been studied at all except for when the biologist we hired studied it. Before that no environmental or historical studies had ever been conducted. The biologist found evidence that the Mud Lake area has special environmental and historical value. He proved that it is the perfect habitat for red legged frogs and tiger salamanders, two protected species. A Chumash Village may also have been there. Tule grass grows in the lake and is one of the Chumash's main resources. It is known there were two Chumash Villages in the Orcutt area. Constant water and tule grass would have made Mud Lake very attractive and may have been one of the sites.

. Mud Lake is an historic wetland. It has always been there. Water flows down from the hills, under the freeway at Woodmere, through a channel behind the homes along Dickinson St., under Kenneth and into Mud Lake. Old pictures from before any of the homes were there show Mud Lake, the Eucalyptus trees, and the historic water flow. Wetlands are very environmentally important. They are filters for our ground water, breeding grounds for many animals, and sponge like flood controls. All over the world wetlands are being redeveloped as their value has only recently been realized. We need to protect our wetland.

. There is a grave danger that once Verizon is allowed to put their transceiver station in that other companies will also want to build theirs there also. Putting one transceiver station tower in will turn our neighborhood into a more industrial area. That will allow for the argument that more can go there. This exact thing happened on Ortega Ridge in southern Santa Barbara County. One tower was allowed in, now another has been allowed.

. Verizon does not keep its promises. The people who fought against that same type of tower on Ortega Ridge were promised landscaping and attractive fencing. None of that has happened. They are stuck with an ugly eyesore and none of the mitigating plans were ever completed. So don't believe them when they say that they can make it look beautiful.

. Verizon cheats. Their representative, Jay Higgins, arranged a community meeting with out notifying the neighborhood. He sent out a text message to only Verizon customers. He asked a wide area that would not even be affected by the increased coverage of the tower if they would support the tower. Many said, "Yes, they would like better coverage, but they were misled because the proposed transceiver tower only has a half mile radius (Verizon's information) and will not really even affect them as they are outside of that radius. Two Verizon supporters came to the meeting and by the end of that meeting they were no longer Verizon supporters. Others came to that meeting only because they were notified by this email list. I was only informed about that meeting 2 working days before the meeting. None of the people at the meeting left in favor of the proposed transceiver tower.

. Verizon claims that the proposed spot at Kenneth and Via Santa Maria is already industrial as it has a well on it. That well was there before the neighborhood. We all bought our houses accepting the well. We are not accepting the huge, dangerous transceiver station. In fact a well was there long before our homes as long time residents remember the farm and well that was there 50 years ago.

Suggestions:

Put the tower across the freeway. There is a lot of open land there and no homes. It will cover the area that Verizon hopes to cover with a transceiver station at Mud Lake.

Verizon's arguments against that idea:

Verizon's says it can do that but it will cost more. So what!? We are going to loose money through lost property values if the tower is put in our neighborhood. Better that a big utilities company incur a few costs instead of hard working families losing on their life's investment.

Verizon says it can do that but there is no need for service to the east. So WHAT? 1. Just don't put antennas on the east side. 2. A high school is planned for the east side, then there will be a need. 3. With a booster Verizon could provide service to Lake Marie.

Verizon says there are tiger salamanders there. That's just not true. The county's own studies show no tiger salamanders in those areas whereas no study has been done at Mud Lake.

Verizon's says it will be ugly. No kidding! Its going to be horrendously awful ugly. Thank you for admitting it. Better that ugliness be seen by unconcerned travelers flying by at 70 miles an hour than people who have to live with it in their faces 24/7/365 days a year forever and have it affect their property values! Or better yet, make it attractive! Maybe a nice "Welcome to Orcutt" sign could be created. In addition it can't be any uglier than the oil wells, paintball signs, big, and permanent truck sign that is already there. In addition, there are already light poles that rise to close 80' above the freeway at the new on/off ramp.

Verizon says it would need to put a few ' booster' poles in to complete the coverage. Okay! A few, small, light pole sized booster poles interspersed on existing utility poles on Bradley Avenue would work just fine. Even a few booster poles on existing light poles would be acceptable.

Only the very tenacious of you will have gotten to the end of this email. Thank you for all the good work that you do.

Sincerely,
Andrea Ortiz
1402 Dickinson St.
805-934-2792

Sent from my iPad

Alexander, Jacquelyne

From: Andrea Fischer-Ortiz <afischer_ortiz@sldmschool.org>
Sent: Monday, February 08, 2016 7:45 AM
To: Adam, Peter; Nelson, Bob
Cc: Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; Adam, Peter; Lavagnino, Steve; sbcob; Trotter, Florence; SupervisorCarbajal
Subject: Transreciever Tower noise

Dear County Supervisors,

This morning, Monday, February 8, the well at the proposed transreciever sight at Kenneth and Via Santa Maria is making a lot of noise. I can hear from it inside my house and I am at least three houses away from it. I am sure the well's immediate neighbor's can are experiencing an even louder noise. It was very loud at 4:45a.m. when I left and still very loud when I returned a 6:00a.m.

I am letting you know this because we have maintained that sometimes the well does make this loud noise, but we have been repeatedly told that the noise is well below the accepted decibel level. You will remember that a second test was taken to check the noise level of the well. That test showed acceptable noise levels. I maintain that the test was not taken at a time such as this when the well has increased its noise volume.

To say the least it is a very disturbing, grating noise that absolutely destroys the peace and beauty and quality of life of this nice neighborhood.

It is concerning because we have also been told repeatedly that the proposed transreciever tower will have little noise impact though there will be a generator and more than one air conditioner. **The best indicator of future performance is past performance.** Consequently, that is why we, as a neighborhood are very skeptical of the noise impact claims of Verizon. Please consider this when deciding how a noisy transreciever tower will destroy our neighborhood.

Sincerely,
Andrea Fischer Ortiz
1402 Dickinson St.
934-2792

Sent from my iPad

Alexander, Jacquelyne

From: Rich Fisher <mscioscia@earthlink.net>
Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2016 8:25 PM
To: SupervisorCarbajal; Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; Adam, Peter; Lavagnino, Steve; sbcob; Trotter, Florence
Subject: Verizon Wireless Telecommunications facility

Dear County Supervisors,

I am sorry to hear that, once again, we are considering the Verizon Wireless Telecommunications facility proposal at 4599 Kenneth Avenue in Orcutt. I am writing this letter to reiterate my opposition to disrupting this neighborhood and the local wildlife, placing our health at risk, and subjecting us to plummeting property values for a facility that nobody needs nor wants. Your trusted and esteemed planning commissioners denied this proposal on 12/9/2015 by a 5-0 vote. It is time to end these discussions and listen to the voices of those that will be most affected by this facility ... the people who live here. Please reject the proposal for this facility.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Rich Fisher
mscioscia@earthlink.net

This email has been sent from a virus-free computer protected by Avast.
www.avast.com

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Andrea Fischer-Ortiz <afischer_ortiz@sldmschool.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2016 7:18 AM
To: Supervisor Carbajal; Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; Adam, Peter; Lavagnino, Steve; sbcob; Trotter, Florence
Cc: andrea
Subject: Transciever tower Kenneth/Via Santa Maria

Good Morning County Supervisors,

Thank you for getting the well fixed. The whine for the last three days has been very grating on our nerves. Science has been proven that noise is very stressful to individuals, communities, and animals. As a neighborhood we are very concerned the impact the noise of the proposed transceiver station and its attendant trucks, air conditioners, and generator will have on our peaceful neighborhood. Now that the well has been fixed I again can hear doves cooing, birds chirping, and frogs croaking. It is a beautiful morning. Please don't destroy our peaceful home by allowing the proposed industrial type transciever tower into our midst.

Thank you,
Andrea Ortiz

Sent from my iPad

Alexander, Jacquelyne

From: debbieb@healthysantabarbara21.com
Sent: Tuesday, February 02, 2016 9:21 AM
To: SupervisorCarbajal; Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; Adam, Peter; Lavagnino, Steve
Cc: Lopez, Hilda C; Aguilera, Esther; Valencia Sherratt, Lisa; Biely, Yvonne; Cronan, Mallory; Monica; ANDREA; sbcob; glbtrtr9@aim.com; Trotter, Florence; Weber, Erin
Subject: RE: Verizon at Kenneth Avenue Telecommunications facility proposal in Orcutt # 15APL-00000-00022--Resolution passed in 2009 supporting local government authority, AB57 went into effect January 1st.
Attachments: Resolution.pdf

Dear SB County Board of Supervisors:

In Novembr 2009 Supervisors the SB County Board of Supervisors adopted a Resolution supporting local government authority in regulating telecommunications, led by Supervisors Carbajal and Wolf-I want to commend you on this courageous action to keep cell tower siting decisions in the hands of local authority. The

<https://santabarbara.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=536114&GUID=3D142EF5-AB4A-4244-BFD1-72F46246FC06&Options=&Search=>

I have also attached a copy of this resolution.

On January 1st, AB57 went into effect, *deeming approved* applications for *new* wireless towers and facilities if: (1) the city (including a charter city) or county fails to approve or disapprove the application within the time periods established in the Federal Communications Commission's 2009 Declaratory Ruling (24 FCC Rcd. 13994), and (2) all public notices regarding the application have been provided. The law also applies to applications to collocate additional wireless facilities and devices on or adjacent to existing facilities.

You can read more about AB 57b at these sites:

<http://www.bbklaw.com/?t=40&an=39818>

<http://ab57.info/>

In the spirit of the resolution passed in 2009, let's keep cell tower siting decisions where they belong-in the hands of local governments. Denying the Verizon Wireless facility at Kenneth Avenue would be a big step in this direction.

Sincerely,
Debbie

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
OF THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF
SANTA BARBARA SUPPORTING LOCAL
GOVERNMENT AUTHORITY IN
TELECOMMUNICATIONS
REGULATIONS

Resolution No. _____

WHEREAS, Section 332(c)(7) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 prevents local governments, including the County of Santa Barbara, from opposing the placement and regulation of personal wireless service facilities on the basis of the environmental effects of radio-frequency emissions to the extent that the proposed facilities comply with the Federal Communications Commission regulations concerning such emissions, and

WHEREAS, the California Public Utilities Code also limits the authority of local governments to regulate wireless facilities in public rights of way, and

WHEREAS, there is ongoing debate within the scientific community regarding how thoroughly the long-term health effects of low-frequency electromagnetic and radio-frequency emissions are understood and questions regarding how well the existing regulations established by the Federal Communications Commission [FCC] protect more vulnerable populations such as school-aged children, and how well they protect against the cumulative effect of radio-frequency emissions on people who live or work in close proximity to multiple cellular facilities, and

WHEREAS, currently the ability of local governments to include a consideration of the health and environmental effects of these facilities when deciding whether or not to approve the construction or modification of a cellular communications facility is limited, and local governments should also have expanded discretion to decide how, when and where cellular facilities should be sited within the road right of way due to the unique aesthetic and safety issues that these facilities raise, and

WHEREAS, the Cellular Telecommunications Industry of America (CTIA) has petitioned the FCC to make certain declaratory rulings related to the local zoning authority of state and local governments, including requesting the FCC establish a review time of 45 and 75 days for wireless siting applications; deem applications granted if a government entity does not adhere to these stipulated timeframes; prohibit state and local governments from considering the presence of service by other carriers in evaluating an additional carrier's application and preempt any state or local zoning ordinances that require variances for wireless siting applications.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Barbara:

1. Oppose the petition by the CTIA for the FCC to make declaratory rulings related to Section 332(c)(7).
2. Authorize the County's legislative advocates to actively seek and support federal legislation to repeal limitations on state and local authority imposed by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 that infringe upon the authority of local governments to regulate the placement, construction, and modification of telecommunications towers and other personal wireless services facilities on the basis of the health and environmental effects of these facilities.
3. Urge the County's Congressional representatives to initiate and pursue legislation to repeal those sections of the 1996 Telecommunications Act that preempt local control and prevents local governments from considering health effects (by written communication of this Resolution).
4. Inform the California Public Utilities Code (CPUC) of the County's opposition to the unrestricted use of right-of-ways under CPUC jurisdiction for wireless facilities. The CPUC process of approving wireless facilities in public rights-of-way is an infringement on orderly planning in communities.
5. Authorize the County's legislative advocates to actively seek and support state legislation that would give local governments greater flexibility to regulate the placement of cellular facilities within the road right of way.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Barbara, State of California, this 10th of November 2009, by the following vote:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:
ABSTAIN:

ATTEST:
MICHAEL F. BROWN
CLERK OF THE BOARD

Chair, Board of Supervisors
County of Santa Barbara

By _____
Deputy Clerk

Approved as to Form:
DENNIS MARSHALL
By: _____
Deputy County Counsel

Lenzi, Chelsea

Subject: FW: Survey results regarding coverage gap around 4599 Kenneth Avenue and photos of Mud Lake

From: <debbieb@healthysantabarbara21.com>

Date: April 4, 2016 at 4:47:05 PM PDT

To: <SupervisorCarbajal@sbcbos1.org>, <jwolf@sbcbos2.org>, <dfarr@countyofsb.org>, <peter.adam@countyofsb.org>, <eaguilera@countyofsb.org>, <lvalencia@sbcbos1.org>, <yvonne.biely@countyofsb.org>, <mcronan@countyofsb.org>, Monica <wwgg@aol.com>, <sbcob@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>, <glbtrtr9@aim.com>, "Florence Trotter" <Trotter@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>, Erin Weber <eweber@countyofsb.org>, <nkovacs@countyofsb.org>, <jayson.parsons@countyofsb.org>, <bob.nelson@countyofsb.org>

Subject: RE: Survey results regarding coverage gap around 4599 Kenneth Avenue and photos of Mud Lake

Dear County Supervisors and staff-

The survey was taken of Verizon customers only.

Debbie