

Katherine Douglas

Public Comment - Group 1

5



From: page robinson <robinsonpage@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2024 3:10 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Public Comment For BOS Meeting 12/10/24 Miramar

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors,

In reference to Miramar Mixed Use 24RVP-00050, 24RVP-00051, 24AMD-00008, & 24CDP-00077

SPILL OVER PARKING FROM THE UNDER PARKED MIRAMAR RESORT IS PRESENTLY A PROBLEM IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD.

In order to remedy an expected increase in spillover parking with approval of this project, we have repeatedly asked the applicant, the County Staff, the Commissioners, for an alarm on the Emergency Only pedestrian egress gate on to Eucalyptus Lane.

The current plan still does not reflect this.

Here are some actionable items that we respectfully request:

We are requesting that the BOS add as a condition of approval, that inclusion of an alarm on the Emergency Only pedestrian egress gate on to Eucalyptus Lane in order to discourage Cafe and retail commercial parking spillover into our residential Coastal neighborhood.

Additionally, we request a condition of added signage "No Miramar Parking" in front of the 8 public stalls in front of the development on Eucalyptus Lane.

We request that "Public Parking" signs be installed on Miramar Avenue in front of both spaces that according to the plan, will be dedicated for public use.

I would like to point out that the count of 14 "existing Offsite" Miramar stalls as appears on the plan is misleading. Only about half of the Southern spaces seem to be within the Miramar property line.

And presently, many have "no parking" signs in front of them. It would seem that if the County is including these as public parking, they should remove those "no parking signs" along the southern side of Miramar Avenue so as not to confuse the public.

Respectfully,

Page Robinson
97 Eucalyptus Lane

Katherine Douglas

From: Villalobos, David
Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2024 2:05 PM
To: sbcob
Cc: Brown, Willow
Subject: Fw: Miramar BOS comment letter
Attachments: Miramar Letter BOS.pdf

From: Anthony Donovan <bulbbrain2852@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 5, 2024 10:59 AM
To: Villalobos, David <dvillalo@countyofsb.org>
Subject: Miramar BOS comment letter

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi David

Please see attached letter for Dec 10th Miramar hearing.

Thanks!
Anthony

12/5/2024

RE: Miramar Application

Dear Board of Supervisors

I have lost all faith in the County Planning Staff because they continue to ignore neighbors' well organized and documented claims that the Caruso provided false and misleading data to circumvent further parking and traffic scrutiny on their application. Caruso's team also submitted a non-required ATE report (not signed by a licensed engineer) attempting to legitimize incorrect data with more misleading data.

It seems like the County of Santa Barbara is more concerned about revenue from development than the environment, coastal access, health, and safety.

Take some time and review the countless hours of work provided in public comment letters. Future infrastructure fixes down the road caused by this flawed application will all be on our dime.

Anthony Donovan

97 Eucalyptus Lane

Montecito