# Redistricting Plan 7 Michael Larner

# County of Santa Barbara Redistricting 2011

#### **Proposal Assessment Summary**

Name of Proposal: CCWGA "Communities of Interest"

Organization or Individual: Central Coast Wine Growers Association - Santa Barbara

### **Mandatory Criteria**

M-1: Equal District Population							
District	Plan Results	Target	% Deviation				
1	84,746	84,779	-0.04%				
2	84,813	84,779	0.04%				
3	84,820	84,779	0.05%				
4	85,022	84,779	0.29%				
5	84,494	84,779	-0.34%				
Average %	0.15%						

M-2: VRA Compliance I - Total Population						
District	% Hispanic	2010 Existing	% Change			
1	38.66%	42.69%	-4.03%			
2	28.79%	25.14%	3.65%			
3	25.71%	26.13%	-0.42%			
4	56.97%	43.76%	13.21%			
5	64.22%	70.03%	-5.81%			
Average	42.87%	41.55%	5.42%			

M-3: VRA Compliance II - Voting Age Population							
District	% Hispanic	2010 Existing	% Change				
1	33.64%	37.14%	-3.50%				
2	25.51%	22.23%	3.28%				
3	22.00%	23.75%	-1.75%				
4	50.94%	37.53%	13.41%				
5	57.79%	63.90%	-6.11%				
Average	37.98%	36.91%	5.61%				

### **Permissive Criteria/Other Information**


P-1: Contiguity of all District Boundaries	
Yes/No:	Yes
P-2 Maximum % Population Deviation betwe	en Districts
Percentage (highest/lowest):	0.63%
P-3: % of 8 Cities represented by more than o	ne District
Percentage	50.0%

O-1: Population Moving In/Out						
	Population	Population				
District	Added	Subtracted	% Change			
1	12,708	3,868	21.84%			
2	29,967	26,852	69.55%			
3	42,727	39,855	100.77%			
4	39,034	36,824	91.60%			
5	11,044	28,081	38.54%			

O-2: Voting age population w/6 years to District elections						
From District	District Moving to D2 Moving to D5					
1	2,934	1				
3	24,677	27				
4		8,378				

O-3: Population in Unincorporated Territory						
	Proposed Plan	2010 Existing				
	Unincorporated	Unincorporated				
District	Population	Population	% Change			
1	16,132	16,131	0.01%			
2	43,913	30,259	45.12%			
3	49,712	47,733	4.15%			
4	10,820	36,812	-70.61%			
5	12,840	2,482	417.32%			

## County of Santa Barbara Redistricting 2011 Proposal Submittal Form



•		
Name: Michael Larner		
Grower Committee Chairman		
Organization (if none, designate "Self"): Central Coast Wine Growers Associ	<del>_</del>	sociation – Grower's Committee &
Name of Proposal being submitted: Redistricting Plan	"Communities of Interest" of Santa	Barbara County 2011
ensure not just equal population by population centers found within the Carpinteria to west downtown Santa Barbara to Isla Vista/Goleta. West including the SB foothills and Lompoc and into the southern end area of Lompoc, the city itself, the Assertion of the SB foothills and the SB foothills are set to the SB foothills and the SB foothills and the SB foothills and the SB foothills are set to the SB foothills and the SB foothills and the SB foothills are set to the SB foothills and the SB foothills are set to the SB foothills and the SB foothills are set to the SB foothills and the SB foothills are set to the SB foothills and the SB foothills are set to the SB foothills are set t	e county's borders. This plan realloon ta Barbara, up to SBCC. District 2 wo District 3 would then begin on the community on the southern end of Santa Maria. The 4th District would force Base and north to Guadalutin centered along the Santa Maria Vo	est by re-orienting the districts to cates District 1 to capture ould re-orient from downtown outskirts of Goleta, go north and Hwy 154 to the outskirts of
Briefly describe the goals of your plane The driving factor of this redistrict moving the district boundaries to emore centered on the Greater Santanter – further enhancing the communities will result in more cohesiveness of voter legal action and even recall multiple District 3 to be about the Santa Yne Lompoc, the Air Force base, and sur Santa Maria and the Santa Maria Valuation with in the federal voting rights act	cting plan is primarily to preserve to the sure equal population areas. For each Barbara area, and District 2 itself sets of interest. The separation of UCS both the county and its communitientions that have plagued previous at Valley community. The 4th District rounding coastal communities. Las lley, solidify that community's cohe	che communities of interest, xample District 1 and 2 become shares two colleges (SBCC & UCSB) and Isla Vista from District 3 es – potentially eliminating future 3rd district supervisors, and allow to becomes heavily centered on tly District 5 remains focused on esiveness. All of this accomplished
What is the population of each distr		<u> </u>
4-4	2nd: 84,813	3rd: 84,830
4th: 85,022	5th: 1 84,494	
I hereby acknowledge that by subm plan and any related information w of the public.	itting this plan and any related info ill be considered a public record sul	rmation for consideration, the bject to disclosure to any member
Signature:	V	
Submit this form with your complet	te plan by June 17, 2011 at 5:00PM	to the County Executive Office.

# **District Summary**

**Proposed** 

84,746

Count

84,746

64,407

1,154

2,198

12,930

868

99

**District** 

Demographic

TOTAL:

WHT:

BLK:

AIAN:

ASIAN:

NHOPI:

OTHER:

mma	ry	LarnerDraft2			6/20/2011		District Count:	5
	Maximum Deviation:	528	0.62%					
	Average Deviation:	127	0.15%					
	Minimum Count:	84,494						
	Maximum Count:	85,022						
	Total Count:	423,895						
	Deviation	on From Optimum						
<b>Optimum</b>	<u>Deviation</u>	As % of Optimum						
84,779	-33	-0.04%						
% of Total	<u>Demographic</u>	Count	% of Total	Demographic	Count	% of 18+	<u>Demographic</u>	Count
100.00%				TOTAL18:	68,551	100.00%		
76.00%	NHWHT:	46,995	55.45%	WHT18:	53,668	78.29%	NHWHT18:	41,329
1.36%	NHBLK:	934	1.10%	BLK18:	975	1.42%	NHBLK18:	839
1.02%	NHAIAN:	316	0.37%	AIAN18:	669	0.98%	NHAIAN18:	255
2.59%	NHASIAN:	2,082	2.46%	ASIAN18:	1,943	2.83%	NHASIN18:	1,858
0.12%	NHNHOPI:	80	0.09%	NHOPI18:	89	0.13%	NHNHOP18:	76
15.26%	NHOTHER:	160	0.19%	OTHER18:	9,078	13.24%	NHOTHR18:	121

% of 18+

60.29%

1.22%

0.37%

2.71%

0.11%

0.18%

1.48%

1,013

P2MRACE: 3,090 3.65% NHP2MRCE: 1.67% P2MRCE18: 2,129 1,414 3.11% NHP2RC18: HISP: HISP18: 23,060 32,765 33.64% 38.66% NHISP: 51,981 61.34% NHISP18: 45,491 66.36%

<u>District</u>	<u>Proposed</u>	<u>Optimum</u>	<u>Deviation</u>	As % of Optimum
2	84,813	84,779	34	0.04%

<u>Demographic</u>	Count	% of Total De	emographic	Count	% of Total	Demographic	Count	% of 18+	<u>Demographic</u>	Count	% of 18+
TOTAL:	84,813	100.00%				TOTAL18:	72,460	100.00%			
WHT:	61,542	72.56% N	HWHT:	49,503	58.37%	WHT18:	53,472	73.80%	NHWHT18:	44,349	61.20%
BLK:	1,553	1.83% N	HBLK:	1,355	1.60%	BLK18:	1,366	1.89%	NHBLK18:	1,225	1.69%
AIAN:	611	0.72% N	HAIAN:	226	0.27%	AIAN18:	492	0.68%	NHAIAN18:	208	0.29%
ASIAN:	6,786	8.00% N	HASIAN:	6,645	7.83%	ASIAN18:	6,262	8.64%	NHASIN18:	6,139	8.47%
NHOPI:	147	0.17% N	HNHOPI:	119	0.14%	NHOPI18:	127	0.18%	NHNHOP18:	105	0.14%
OTHER:	10,227	12.06% N	HOTHER:	208	0.25%	OTHER18:	7,823	10.80%	NHOTHR18:	169	0.23%
P2MRACE:	3,947	4.65% N	HP2MRCE:	2,343	2.76%	P2MRCE18:	2,918	4.03%	NHP2RC18:	1,777	2.45%
HISP:	24,414	28.79%				HISP18:	18,488	25.51%			
NHISP:	60,399	71.21%				NHISP18:	53,972	74.49%			

District	Proposed 84,820	<u>Optimum</u> <u>Deviation</u> 84,779 41	As % of Optimum 0.05%					
<u>Demographic</u>	Count	% of Total Demographic	Count	% of Total Demographic	Count	% of 18+ Demographic	Count	<u>% of 18+</u>
TOTAL: WHT:	84,820 67,878	100.00% 80.03% NHWHT:	55,638	TOTAL18: 65.60% WHT18:	65,542 53,780	100.00% 82.05% NHWHT18:	45,619	69.60%
BLK:	959	1.13% NHBLK:	857	1.01% BLK18:	740	1.13% NHBLK18:	673	1.03%
AIAN:	1,079	1.27% NHAIAN:	505	0.60% AIAN18:	811	1.24% NHAIAN18:	414	0.63%
ASIAN:	4,002	4.72% NHASIAN:	3,869	4.56% ASIAN18:	3,250	4.96% NHASIN18:	3,165	4.83%
NHOPI:	108	0.13% NHNHOPI:	102	0.12% NHOPI18:	87	0.13% NHNHOP18:	81	0.12%
OTHER:	7,514	8.86% NHOTHER:	142	0.17% OTHER18:	5,066	7.73% NHOTHR18:	92	0.14%
P2MRACE:	3,280	3.87% NHP2MRCE:	1,899	2.24% P2MRCE18:	1,808	2.76% NHP2RC18:	1,079	1.65%
HISP:	21,808	25.71%	1,000	HISP18:	14,419	22.00%	1,0//	1.00 / 0
NHISP:	63,012	74.29%		NHISP18:	51,123	78.00%		
					- , -			
District	<b>Proposed</b>	Optimum Deviation	As % of Optimum					
4	85,022	84,779 243	0.29%					
<u>Demographic</u>	Count	% of Total Demographic	Count	% of Total Demographic	Count	% of 18+ Demographic	Count	% of 18+
TOTAL:	85,022	100.00%		TOTAL18:	60,080	100.00%		
WHT:	50,527	59.43% NHWHT:	27,008	31.77% WHT18:	37,297	62.08% NHWHT18:	22,320	37.15%
BLK:	3,523	4.14% NHBLK:	3,122	3.67% BLK18:	2,759	4.59% NHBLK18:	2,541	4.23%
AIAN:	1,395	1.64% NHAIAN:	466	0.55% AIAN18:	976	1.62% NHAIAN18:	373	0.62%
ASIAN:	3,889	4.57% NHASIAN:	3,568	4.20% ASIAN18:	2,974	4.95% NHASIN18:	2,794	4.65%
NHOPI:	292	0.34% NHNHOPI:	245	0.29% NHOPI18:	230	0.38% NHNHOP18:	199	0.33%
OTHER:	20,663	24.30% NHOTHER:	148	0.17% OTHER18:	13,246	22.05% NHOTHR18:	99	0.16%
P2MRACE:	4,733	5.57% NHP2MRCE:	2,031	2.39% P2MRCE18:	2,598	4.32% NHP2RC18:	1,151	1.92%
HISP:	48,434	56.97%		HISP18:	30,603	50.94%		
NHISP:	36,588	43.03%		NHISP18:	29,477	49.06%		
<u>District</u>	<u>Proposed</u>	Optimum Deviation	As % of Optimum					
5	84,494	84,779 -285	-0.34%					
<b>5</b>	<b>a</b> .	0/ CT - 1 D	a .	0/ CF - 1 D	<b>a</b> .	0/ C10 D	<b>a</b> .	0/ 610
<u>Demographic</u>	Count	% of Total Demographic	Count	% of Total Demographic TOTAL18:	Count 50.215	% of 18+ Demographic	Count	<u>% of 18+</u>
TOTAL:	84,494	100.00% NHWHT.	22.079		59,215	100.00%	20,222	24 150/
WHT:	50,770	60.09% NHWHT:	23,978	28.38% WHT18:	37,187	62.80% NHWHT18:	20,222	34.15%
BLK:	1,324	1.57% NHBLK:	974	1.15% BLK18:	969	1.64% NHBLK18:	777 254	1.31%
AIAN: ASIAN:	1,532 3,790	1.81% NHAIAN: 4.49% NHASIAN:	330 3,427	0.39% AIAN18: 4.06% ASIAN18:	1,024	1.73% NHAIAN18: 5.08% NHASIN18:	254 2.701	0.43% 4.71%
					3,009		2,791	
NHOPI: OTHER:	160 22 526	0.19% NHNHOPI: 26.66% NHOTHER:	134	0.16% NHOPI18:	112	0.19% NHNHOP18:	100 83	0.17%
P2MRACE:	22,526 4,392	5.20% NHP2MRCE:	132 1,253	0.16% OTHER18: 1.48% P2MRCE18:	14,298	24.15% NHOTHR18: 4.42% NHP2RC18:	83 769	0.14% 1.30%
HISP:	4,392 54,266	64.22%	1,233	1.48% P2WRCE18: HISP18:	2,616 34,219	57.79%	709	1.30%
NHISP:	30,228	35.78%		NHISP18:	24,996	42.21%		
MIIIOF.	30,228	55.1070		ипізг і о.	44,770	<b>→</b> ∠.∠170		

