



#6

**de la Guerra, Sheila**

---

**From:** Harris, Julie  
**Sent:** Friday, March 15, 2019 2:51 PM  
**To:** Lenzi, Chelsea  
**Subject:** FW: Omission of Tepusquet EDRN in 17 EIR -00000-0004 Visual Impacts/Aesthetics

Please forward this comment to the Board of Supervisors for the April 9, 2019, hearing regarding the Hoop Structures Ordinance Amendment.

---

**From:** John Dorwin  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 14, 2019 9:46 PM  
**To:** Harris, Julie  
**Subject:** Omission of Tepusquet EDRN in 17 EIR -00000-0004 Visual Impacts/Aesthetics

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Ms. Harris:

I represent private owners within the Tepusquet Canyon EDRN who derive access to their properties over the Tepusquet Canyon County Road. Reviewing the Final EIR there appear to be a number of defects in the data and analysis as to the Hoop Structures now existing in the area of Tepusquet Canyon Road and Blazing Saddles Road. Section 4.2 of the EIR seems to have missed this development area entirely. It is now well documented on aerial photos and in ground surveys that this area is covered in Hoop Structures on steep slopes adjacent to the County Road, and these structures are illuminated at night causing glare and potential traffic impacts along the County Roadway. Why was this area not covered in the analysis of development within the Santa Maria Valley Impact Area ?

I disagree with the scope of the impact analysis in Sections 4.2-16 -4.2-18 as to private property owners rights under the case of Ocean View Estates Homeowners Association, Inc. vs. Montecito Water District (2004) 116 Cal App. 4th 396. Private property owners have the right to attack visual and aesthetic impacts beyond what has been considered by the County in the EIR. Figure 3-5 has not been updated to show the current situation in Tepusquet during the rewrite of the proposed Ordinance. Why not ? The decision makers are entitled to accurate and updated data in the EIR as an informational document. I find no analysis of Tepusquet Canyon, or Tepusquet Canyon Road at all in the EIR. Please correct me if I missed any reference to this area at all in the document. The current Final EIR does not comply with the CEQA guidelines. Please advise me if this is a matter the County intends to address ,or should the Tepusquet EDRN be excluded from the proposed ordinance based upon the omission of this area as part of the project/impact analysis.

Kindest Regards; John K. Dorwin, Attorney SBN: 111082