

de la Guerra, Sheila

General Public Comment



Group 2

From: Marilyn Kandus <m.kandus@icloud.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 5, 2022 1:17 PM
To: sbcob
Cc: m.kandus@gmail.com
Subject: Enforce termination of Naples property development

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To all it may concern,

I am a naturalist and an artist and find the Naples property to be unmatched in its scenic, ecological, redreational and cultural beauty. It is a major source of inspiration.

The developer has not met it's creek restoration obligations and has not complied with the development agreement.

I am requesting that the board enforce the development agreement to ensure the county and the public receive the benefit of its bargain

Allowing development of the 49 unit inland Santa Barbara ranch project under 2008 rules is not in the public's interest

I would like to see the board start the process of terminating the development agreement

Thank you,
Marilyn Kandus

Homeowner, environmental artist
m.kandus@gmail.com

de la Guerra, Sheila

From: William LaPrad <williamjlaprad43@live.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 6, 2022 12:41 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: State Of Emergency

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Sirs,

This COVID-19 Has Dragged On Now For Nearly Three Years! I Would Like You To Start DOING Your Jobs!!!

Stop The Draconian MASK Mandate By Heath Officials! I Am A Senior Citizen Fully Vacillated! You Let Unelected People Dictate What Is Totally Wrong!

As Santa Barbara's ECONOMY Goes Down The Drain!

Fully Vaccinated People Should Be Able To See Movies, Concerts, And Do Whatever They Want!

I Sick And Tired Of Hearing About It!

DO YoUR JOBS! No More Draconian MANDATES!!!!!!

William J. LaPrad

Senior Citizen!

Sent from my iPhone

de la Guerra, Sheila

From: kmurph2008 <kmurph2008@protonmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2022 9:45 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Public comment on State of Emergency agenda item 22-00102 (or public comment if that is a more appropriate section)

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors of Santa Barbara County,

As you continue to discuss the current "state of emergency" and whether to continue with the measures that have not worked to keep us out of a "state of emergency" after 2 years, I hope you will consider the impact that focusing solely on attempting to contain a clearly uncontrollable virus is having on our community. Masking in particular is very damaging, we are very social animals and facial communication is vital to all of our social and psychological wellbeing. This is especially true of babies and small children who are learning to speak and read facial cues.

I am asking for one of you to please ask Dr. Ansorg and Ms. Do-Reynoso what scientific basis and risk-benefit analysis they use for requiring children as young as 2 years old to wear a mask indoors? Not what the CDC guidance says, because they are not required to follow that, the vast majority of counties in this country do not, but what is the basis for their personal decision for this requirement?

I don't know if you are aware but, as far as I have found, the US is unique in requiring children younger than 5 to wear masks. In fact many do not require it for under-12s (<https://www.msn.com/en-us/news/us/the-true-cost-of-masking-young-kids-forever/ar-AAATggwy>). The CDC gives no reason for masking children this young, even the WHO is against requiring masking for children under 5 (<https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/q-a-children-and-masks-related-to-covid-19>). So why are children as young as 2 in Santa Barbara County required to wear masks? Most other US States don't even require masking for children at all (<https://www.usnews.com/news/best-states/articles/these-are-the-states-with-mask-mandates#dc>).

There is no evidence that young children are spreaders of Covid-19. When my child is in an indoor environment with me she is either breathing on my legs from the shopping cart seat or breathing less than 3 feet from the ground. To suggest this spreads a respiratory virus to the rest of us is laughable. Especially if you have ever seen a toddler try to blow the seeds off a dandelion with all their might. They can't, they have different lung capacity than us, that is why masks are dangerous for them. Not just an inconvenience, but dangerous. Not only to their social, psychological and linguistic development but to their actual breathing.

I have been so heartbroken by adults. I really thought that protecting children in their own right was a priority and innate in most of us. I find it almost inconceivable that so many would use children as a shield to (theoretically) protect themselves. Even if it worked it would be immoral and unethical. We are doing our most innocent and voiceless community members a disservice by allowing this to go on. Where are the child psychologists,

pediatricians, teaching community and other child development specialists of Santa Barbara County? Do they all agree that the cost to toddlers is worth it? Who will give voice for the voiceless?

I am begging you to help. Stop the damage from continuing. Is no one on the Board concerned for the wellbeing of our small children? If you are, please be brave and raise the questions that need to be asked. We are too far into this for them to remain unanswered. We need our elected public servants to stand up. Our future voters need to know we were there for them when they needed us most.

Thank you,

Karen Murphy