

# **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS** AGENDA LETTER

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors 105 E. Anapamu Street, Suite 407 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 (805) 568-2240

Agenda Number:

**Department Name:** Planning &

Development

Department No.: 053 For Agenda Of: 9/7/10

Placement: Set Hearing

**Estimated Tme:** 0.4 hours on 9/21/10 No

**Continued Item:** 

If Yes, date from:

Vote Required: **Majority** 

TO: **Board of Supervisors** 

FROM: Department Glenn Russell Ph.D., Director, 568-2085

> Director Planning and Development

Contact Info: Dianne Black, Director of Development Services, 568-2086

**Development Review Division-South County** 

SUBJECT: Set Hearing for the NextG Cellular Antenna ESB11 Appeal

**County Counsel Concurrence Auditor-Controller Concurrence** 

As to form: Yes As to form: N/A

Other Concurrence: N/A

As to form: N/A

#### **Recommended Actions:**

That the Board of Supervisors set a hearing for September 21, 2010 for consideration of the NextG appeal (Case No. 10APL-00000-00017) of the Montecito Planning Commission's July 28, 2010 denial of the NextG Cellular Antenna ESB11 permit, Case No. 10CDP-00000-00032, located in the public right of way of Santa Rosa Lane (adjacent to APN 007-290-006) in Montecito, First Supervisorial District.

### **Summary Text:**

NextG's application for 10CDP-00000-00032 was submitted on May 7, 2010. The project is a request by the agent, Sharon James, for the applicant, NextG Networks of California, Inc., for a Coastal Development Permit to allow construction and use of an unmanned, telecommunications facility under provisions of County Code zoning requirements for property zoned 20-R-1. The unmanned wireless facility would include one 26-inch whip omni antenna and an equipment box measuring 32"x6"x6". The antenna is omnidirectional, mounted along with the equipment box on an existing metal pole in the public right of way.

Planning & Development staff approved the permit application on May 21, 2010. An appeal by Julie Teufel, on behalf of the appellant group, was timely filed on June 1, 2010. Staff brought the appeal case (10APL-00000-00014) before the Montecito Planning Commission on July 28, 2010.

At the April 28, 2010 hearing, the Montecito Planning Commission upheld Ms. Teufel's appeal, and denied the project on the inability to make the required Coastal Development Permit and Commercial Telecommunications Facility Findings. The Commission's denial findings were based on the project's visibility along the roadway and exacerbation of "the already diminished semi-rural character of the roadway" where Montecito Community Plan Goal LU-M-2 requires the County to "preserve roads as important aesthetic elements that help to define the semi-rural character of the community. Strive to ensure that all development along roads is designed in a manner that does not impinge upon the character of the roadway."

An appeal of the Commission's decision was timely filed by Patrick Ryan, on behalf of NextG Networks, on August 9, 2010. Staff will analyze the appeal issues in a separate Board Agenda Letter for the September 21, 2010 hearing.

### **Background:**

NextG Networks has submitted 47 Tier 1 applications (LUP/CDP/CDH) to the County since September 7, 2009. The applications are for the installation of 47 different "node" or antenna sites throughout the south coast, including areas in Goleta, Santa Barbara, Hope Ranch, Montecito and Summerland. NextG has also applied for, and obtained in some cases, similar permits from other local municipalities such as City of Goleta, City of Santa Barbara, and the City of Carpinteria.

## Fiscal Analysis:

The costs for processing appeals are partially offset through payment of a fixed appeal fee of \$643 (\$500 of which covers P&D costs). The total estimated cost to process this appeal is approximately \$4,186.00 (23 staff hours). These funds are budgeted in the Permitting and Compliance Program of the Development Review South Division, as shown on page D-330 of the adopted 2010/2011 fiscal year budget.

### **Staffing Impacts:**

None.

### **Special Instructions:**

The Clerk of the Board shall publish a legal notice at least 10 days prior to the hearing on August 3, 2010. The notice shall appear in the Santa Barbara Daily Sound. The Clerk of the Board shall fulfill the noticing requirements. Mailing labels for the mailed notice are attached. A minute order and a copy of the notice and proof of publication shall be returned to Planning and Development, attention David Villalobos.

#### Attachments:

None.

#### **Authored by:**

Megan Lowery, Planner II

Page	3	of	3
ugo	J	$\mathbf{o}_{\mathbf{I}}$	J

## cc:

Anne Almy, Planning Supervisor

 $G:\label{locality} G:\label{locality} G:\label{locality} G:\label{locality} G:\label{locality} G:\label{locality} Permitting\columnwidth of the cases of the columns of the cases of the case of the cases of the case of the$