

Ramirez, Angelica

Public Comment - Group 1

LATE  
DIST

**From:** Susan Phelps <susandstevens@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 19, 2022 5:34 PM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Cc:** Hartmann, Joan; bob.net@countyofsb.org; Williams, Das; Lavagnino, Steve; Hart, Gregg  
**Subject:** CUP, AG2 Rights

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

- > Honorable Supervisors,
- >
- > Any and all cannabis endeavors need to have odor management. The word cannabis comes from the Hebrew expression Kneh-Bosm, which literally translates into "aromatic reed". So there you go. It's known for its aroma. It has a strong aroma. The smell comes from terpenes, tiny volatile molecules created by the plant. They are the aromatic component of the plant's essential oils, basically a deterrent for predators like insects or mammals. Different strains of marijuana produce different amounts of terpenes and degrees of odor. Growers now most often choose the most potent strains for its psychotropic effects of THC. Anyone who sampled pot in the 60's or 70's knows the pot available today is extremely more potent. Naturally, this stronger plant has stronger aromas.
- >
- > Without proper odor management this will unfortunately lead to official complaints from people within the communities exposed to the smell. And growers aren't going to be looking for a less potent plant anytime soon ... research has shown that smell is a perceived indicator of quality. Research participants given access to the more potent variety of marijuana showed more interest and a willingness to pay more.
- >
- > On the Central Coast we're very familiar with wine tasting and it's descriptors of "hints of oak, blackberry, walnut" etc. Cannabis is no different. Recent studies have shown participants explained the sensory traits as "earthy, herbal, woody". Not terrible. But then more descriptors ... "diesel, chemical, tobacco, ammonia, tar". Arguably unpleasant.
- >
- > Managing cannabis odor will be good business. A large North Denver cannabis grower's routine license renewal was denied due to public outcry of odor affecting quality of life. Neighbors claimed the smell made it difficult to improve the community.
- >
- > Learn from other states and counties. Manage the odor now.
- >
- > Thank you,
- > John and Susan Stevens
- > 2989 Flora Rd
- > Lompoc, CA 93436

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Lillian Clary <mzlil2988@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2022 7:29 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Cc:** Lil Clary; Dave Clary  
**Subject:** Public Comment BOS 5/24/22

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Please share this email with Supervisors and enter this email in public comment for the Board's discussion of amendments to the Cannabis Ordinance.

Thank you.

Dave and Lil Clary

\*\*\*\*\*

We strongly support the amendments proposed by the Planning Commission and recommend adoption of Option #2 which would require an Odor Abatement Plan for all commercial cannabis operations, not just those adjacent to EDRNs or Urban/Rural Boundary locations.

Former Planning commissioner Dan Blough consistently supported regulations that would stop all odor at the property boundary, regardless of the zoning. Commissioner Parke noted during the discussion on May 11 that people assume that on large parcels odor is not a problem but he reminded commissioners that a recent LUP was for a grow site on the boundary of the property line. Certainly that is an instance in which odor abatement should have been required. In addition, we recall that Commissioner Cooney stated that odor was the source of the greatest number of cannabis complaints. All in all, OAPs are appropriate.

Regarding the requirement for CUPs for all commercial cannabis this is more nuanced issue. While we understand that the Planning Commissioners were concerned about fairness to applicants who had already been granted LUPs by the Planning Director, we do not recommend Option #1. Over and over again we have watched as decision-makers lamented being unable to address community concerns via the CUP process. Certainly if you look at the situation faced by neighbors of the Big Bend (Jalama) site where water issues are paramount, flexibility to address those concerns would give the Planning Commissioners the right to determine whether water use would be relevant to their decision.

Thank you.

David and Lil Clary Tepusquet Canyon

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** sjashbrook@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2022 8:19 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Vote for CUP and Odor Control  
**Attachments:** May 20 22 SUP Meeting.docx

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

May 20, 2022

Dear Chairwoman Hartmann and Supervisors,

I support CUP (Conditional Use Permit) for all cannabis projects, including those that have not received final approval, and those projects under appeal. By doing so, cannabis projects will be encouraged to plan and permit their operations and consider surrounding areas.

Last week our Planning Commissioners voted 5-0, recommending that all AG-II cannabis grows must submit an OAP. Living near Hwy 246 and frequent driving along this corridor, I know firsthand the need to prevent odor – even in rural areas. We Watch, the Cities of Buellton and Goleta, as well as Solvang and Santa Ynez residents have consistently complained about cannabis odor.

Consideration for neighboring vineyards and the tourist dollars those wineries bring to the County of Santa Barbara should be respected. I support the Planning Commissioners recommendation to require OAP on AG-II cannabis parcels.

Thank you,

Susan Ashbrook

May 20, 2022

Dear Chairwoman Hartmann and Supervisors,

I support CUP (Conditional Use Permit) for all cannabis projects, including those that have not received final approval, and those projects under appeal. By doing so, cannabis projects will be encouraged to plan and permit their operations and consider surrounding areas.

Last week our Planning Commissioners voted 5-0, recommending that all AG-II cannabis grows must submit an OAP. Living near Hwy 246 and frequent driving along this corridor, I know firsthand the need to prevent odor – even in rural areas. We Watch, the Cities of Buellton and Goleta, as well as Solvang and Santa Ynez residents have consistently complained about cannabis odor.

Consideration for neighboring vineyards and the tourist dollars those wineries bring to the County of Santa Barbara should be respected. I support the Planning Commissioners recommendation to require OAP on AG-II cannabis parcels.

Thank you,

Susan Ashbrook

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Leigh Johnson <rj.leigh@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2022 2:01 AM  
**To:** sbcob; de la Guerra, Sheila  
**Cc:** Hartmann, Joan; Nelson, Bob; Hart, Gregg; Williams, Das; Lavagnino, Steve  
**Subject:** CUPs OAPs  
**Attachments:** CUPs 2022.pdf

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Happy Friday to all,

Can you please attach to May 24th BOS hearing, agenda item 5

Thank you,  
Leigh Johnson

May 20, 2022

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Last fall the Board directed staff to amend the LUDC to make CUPs a requirement on all cannabis projects. The public, that is concerned about cannabis and isn't in an EDRN neighborhood, or adjacent to, had hoped the CUP requirement would of been implemented before the cap was met. Many residents and agricultural entities have a valid concern with the LUP process and it's limitations in corralling cannabis amongst them. With the Board's support last fall, and the Commission's support since 2020, it appears to be a non-issue and would be reassuring to implement expeditiously. The implementation of CUPs will hopefully be retroactive to achieve the realization of the Board's and Commission's intended effect.

We are also requesting a revision in LUDC wording to require OAPs on all cannabis projects. The reasoning are many, including:

*Odor is typically the most significant compatibility for cannabis projects, and the OAP is the primary tool for reducing odor-based land use conflicts.*

*Cannabis odors have been experienced throughout agricultural areas, reflecting a regional problem that requires uniform rules applying to all sources.*

*Requiring OAPs for all grows, regardless of underlying zoning, levels the playing field.*

*Outdoor cultivation OAPs are feasible, and typically utilize setbacks and buffers, low odor strains, vegetative screens and even vapor phase deodorant systems.*

*Although odor control technology for outdoor grows is in its infancy when compared to greenhouse odor control technology, the OAP requirement will stimulate research efforts to improve techniques for odor control from this type of cannabis operation.*

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Sincerely,  
Leigh Johnson  
Cebada Canyon

**Ramirez, Angelica**

---

**From:** Jon Long <jonjlong@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2022 10:03 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** "CUP" FOR ALL CANNABIS PROJECTS

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

Dear Chairwoman Hartmann and Supervisors,

I support CUP (Conditional Use Permit) to all cannabis projects, including those that have not received final approval, and those projects under appeal. By doing so, this will encourage cannabis projects to plan and permit their operations that consider surrounding areas.

Last week our Planning Commissioners voted 5-0 to recommend all AG-II cannabis grows must submit an OAP. Living nearby Hwy 246 and frequent driving along this corridor, I know first hand the need to prevent odor – even in rural areas. Consideration for neighboring vineyards and the tourist dollars those wineries bring to the County of Santa Barbara should be respected. This is already in place in the Santa Ynez Valley. I support the Planning Commissioners recommendation to require OAP on AG-II cannabis parcels.

Thank you,

Jon J Long

Sent from my iPhone

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Pam Mays <pammays@mac.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2022 10:29 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Support requiring OAP on AG-II cannabis parcels

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

May 20, 2022

Dear Chairwoman Hartmann and Supervisors,

I support CUP (Conditional Use Permit) requiring Organic AG Production for AG-II to all cannabis parcels/projects; including those that have not received final approval, and those projects under appeal. By doing so, this will encourage cannabis projects to plan and permit their operations that consider surrounding areas.

Last week our Planning Commissioners voted 5-0 to recommend all AG-II cannabis grows must submit an OAP... Living very closely to Hwy 246 and frequently driving along this corridor, I know first hand the need to prevent odor – even in rural areas.

Consideration for neighbors, neighboring vineyards and the tourist dollars those wineries bring to the County of Santa Barbara ought to be respected; at least it seems that way to me. Plus, this regulation is already in place in the Santa Ynez Valley. I support the Planning Commissioners recommendation to require OAP on AG-II cannabis parcels.

Thank you,  
Pam Mays



## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Patrick Long <patrick@luftgekühlt.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2022 10:54 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** OAP support

**Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.**

May 20, 2022

Dear Chairwoman Hartmann and Supervisors,

I support CUP (Conditional Use Permit) to all cannabis projects, including those that have not received final approval, and those projects under appeal. By doing so, this will encourage cannabis projects to plan and permit their operations that consider surrounding areas.

Last week our Planning Commissioners voted 5-0 to recommend all AG-II cannabis grows must submit an OAP. Living nearby Hwy 246 and frequent driving along this corridor, I know first hand the need to prevent odor – even in rural areas. Consideration for neighboring vineyards and the tourist dollars those wineries bring to the County of Santa Barbara should be respected. This is already in place in the Santa Ynez Valley. I support the Planning Commissioners recommendation to require OAP on AG-II cannabis parcels.

Thank you,

**Patrick Long**  
+ 1 702-821-5109  
*@luftgekühlt*

## Ramirez, Angelica

---

**From:** Joe Brong <joebrng@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 20, 2022 11:00 AM  
**To:** sbcob  
**Subject:** Cannabis letter

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Cannabis letter

May 20, 2022

Dear Chairwoman Hartmann and Supervisors,

I support CUP (Conditional Use Permit) to all cannabis projects, including those that have not received final approval, and those projects under appeal. By doing so, this will encourage cannabis projects to plan and permit their operations that consider surrounding areas.

Last week our Planning Commissioners voted 5-0 to recommend all AG-II cannabis grows must submit an OAP. Living nearby Hwy 246 and frequent driving along this corridor, I know first hand the need to prevent odor – even in rural areas. Consideration for neighboring vineyards and the tourist dollars those wineries bring to the County of Santa Barbara should be respected. This is already in place in the Santa Ynez Valley. I support the Planning Commissioners recommendation to require OAP on AG-II cannabis parcels.

Thank you,  
Joe Brong

Sent from my iPhone