Brianda Negrete

From: Dennis Bozanich <dennis.bozanich@praxispublicpolicy.com> Friday, October 14, 2022 6:47 AM Sent: To: Pettit, Brian; Van Mullem, Rachel Cc: Beth Thuna; Joe Armendariz; Patrick Radis; Nelson, Bob; Williams, Das; Hart, Gregg; Hartmann, Joan; luis; maire radis; mary.ogorman@gmail.com; rikalokent@cox.net; Lavagnino, Steve; victor; zimmerCCC@gmail.com Subject: Response to Kent and Zimmer proposed terms Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe. Dear Ms. Van Mullem, We have received the communication from the advocate for Appellant Kent. The appellant's proposed starting point for ceasing appeals on the The Roots Carpinteria CDP permit application are unacceptable to the project applicant. I will spare you the actual words used in rejecting the proposed project conditions. I will note that the appellant's proposal has zero nexus to actual appealable permit standards in the CZO, CEQA or Coastal Act requirements. If requested by the Board, our team will be prepared to summarize the nexus failures at the appeal hearing on November 1, 2022. We appreciate the effort made by Deputy County Counsel Pettit to seek a mediated solution to the appellant's concern. Unfortunately, his efforts were rewarded with a thoroughly disingenuous and potentially bad-faith proposal. This follows months and months of non-response from the appellants to requests by the project applicant to meet. See you on November 1st. Dennis Bozanich PS - I added the consistent PRA requesters to this email for the sole purpose of saving County resources conducting the inevitable responsive record search and delivery.

