General Public Comment - Group 2



Brianda Negrete

From: Paul Vesper (pontiffp@comcast.net) Sent You a Personal Message

<kwautomail@phone2action.com>

Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2022 5:41 PM

To: sbcob

Subject: Support fossil-free new buildings for Santa Barbara County!

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear County of Santa Barbara,

I support taking climate action and making new buildings sustainable and carbon-free!

New all-electric buildings will improve people?s health by reducing toxic air pollution; combat climate change by reducing greenhouse gas emissions; and improve housing affordability by saving construction costs and lowering utility bills.

A study for the LA Dept of Water and Power finds that "all-electric new construction results in savings of \$130-\$540 per year relative to a gas-fueled home over the life of the equipment."

All-electric buildings are less expensive to build and less expensive and healthier to live in.

Thank you for maintaining Santa Barbara County's environmental leadership.

Sincerely,

Paul Vesper 1601 Berkeley Way Berkeley, CA 94703 pontiffp@comcast.net (555) 555-5555

This message was sent by KnowWho, as a service provider, on behalf of an individual associated with Sierra Club. If you need more information, please contact Lillian Miller at Sierra Club at core.help@sierraclub.org or (415) 977-5500.

Brianda Negrete

From: Alice Vazquez <grammie010@cox.net>
Sent: Friday, November 18, 2022 12:06 PM

Sent: Friday, November 18, 2022 12:06 P

To: sbcob

Cc:Concerned CarpinteriansSubject:Housing Density Proposal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I may be a little late in my presentation, but I am appalled at the scope of the housing density being proposed by our current Board of Supervisors. You, obviously, are not acting in the best interests of your constituents. Specifically, what part of "drought" do you not understand?

We are constantly being told to conserve water and then our duly elected officials throw it in our face by proposing massive housing projects. How do you propose to provide these projects with water? Not to mention other services which will be required which will only serve to increase the taxes we pay.

I live in Carpinteria which will be significantly affected by these projects. We don't need them. Don't want them. And don't want supervisors who don't consider their constituents before acting on something that is totally unacceptable.

Alice M. Vazquez