

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

MEMORANDUM

TO: Board of Supervisors

FROM: Travis Seawards, Deputy Director, Development Review Division

STAFF CONTACT: Ben Singer, Planner, email: bsinger@countyofsb.org

DATE: December 12, 2022

HEARING DATE: December 13, 2022

RE: Applicant Appeal of the Planning Commission Approval of the Ceres Farms

Mixed-Light Cannabis Cultivation Project

Case Nos. 22APL-00000-00028 and 19CDP-00000-00015

6030 Casitas Pass Road, Carpinteria, First Supervisorial District

I. INTRODUCTION

On December 9, 2022, the Applicant submitted a letter (Attachment 1) amending their appeal. The Applicant now proposes to include carbon scrubbers in the project description. Additionally, the Applicant is proposing a modified timeline to implement installation of the carbon scrubbers. The updated project description and modified Odor Abatement Plan condition are included below, with revised language shown in strikeout and underline. The modified Odor Abatement Plan condition clarifies specific submittal and implementation timing requirements in coordination with the proposed project description changes.

II. REVISED PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Proposed Project is a request for a Coastal Development Permit to allow for approximately 9.5 acres of cannabis cultivation consisting of 7.86 acres of mature plant cultivation and 1.43 acres of nursery cultivation within existing, permitted greenhouses and approximately 0.21 acres of cultivation (processing and storage) within an existing, permitted storage and processing structure. Up to 15% of cannabis processed will be grown offsite. There will be no more than one import and export per day associated with offsite cannabis. The processing structure will also include office space, non-cannabis storage, and restrooms for employees.

The project also consists of removing an unpermitted mobile home and demolishing the following structures:

- 822-square-foot addition to the pump house;
- 2,139-square-foot cooler structure;
- 260-square-foot accessory structure; and
- 50-square-foot accessory structure.

An existing single-family dwelling will remain on-site and will not be utilized as a part of the cannabis operations. No tree removal, vegetation removal, or grading is proposed. Odor abatement will consist of Benzaco Scientific vapor-phase systems surrounding all cultivation and processing areas, as well as carbon filters within processing areas. The applicant will install carbon scrubbers, such as the Regenerative Carbon Scrubbing System (RCSS) or equivalent internal greenhouse scrubbers/filters, as primary means of odor control technology no later than 24 months of Coastal Development Permit issuance. The operation will be fenced off by a six-foot high chain-link fence, part of which is existing. Additional avocado trees will be planted to provide screening. Lighting will consist of motion-sensing, fully shielded, and downward directed lights mounted on existing structures. Access will be provided by an existing 26-foot wide driveway, which will connect to a new all-weather fire road throughout the parcel. Water service will be provided by an existing private well on-site and potable water will be provided by the Carpinteria Valley Water District. There is an existing on-site water well that was approved under Case No. 90-CDP-162 with a condition restricting the well from serving any property other than the subject property, APN 001-030-023. With the approval of this permit, that condition will be revoked and the existing, on-site well may serve other properties subject to approval by County Environmental Health Services. The cultivation will use a closed-loop irrigation system to conserve water.

The operation will utilize 66 employees, including managerial staff. Fifty-two parking spaces will be provided onsite. Carpool parking, bicycle parking, and a shuttle service will be provided to reduce traffic impacts. Employees will be incentivized with monthly monetary benefits to minimize vehicle trips. The Facilities Manager will monitor the trip generation and alternative transportation use, including carpooling and shuttles, and will store and make available alternative transportation records every year. The hours of operation will be 6:00 am – 5:30 pm every day of the week. Ceres Farm, LLC has agreed to observe a set of Community Odor Guidelines that were developed through collaboration between Cannabis Association for Responsible Producers (CARP Growers) and The Coalition for Responsible Cannabis (Coalition). These Guidelines are not part of the Project Description and not enforceable by the County, but reflect a collaborative effort to ensure that cannabis cultivation can be a sustainable element of Carpinteria's unique community, and are a foundation of the Coalition's decision to support this Project. The property is a 16.77-acre parcel zoned AG-I within the Carpinteria Agricultural Overlay, shown as APN 001-030-023 and addressed as 6030 Casitas Pass Road, Carpinteria, First Supervisorial District.

III. MODIFIED ODOR ABATEMENT PLAN CONDITION

Odor Abatement Plan Revision. Prior to issuance of the Coastal Development Permit, the applicant shall submit an updated Odor Abatement Plan that incorporates the following language:

Given the research and development state of ventilated greenhouse scrubbers, the Applicant commits to utilizing internal greenhouse odor scrubbers/filters such as the Regenerative Carbon Scrubbing System (RCSS) or equivalent internal greenhouse scrubbers/filters as the means of primary

odor control technology no later than twelve (12) twenty-four (24) months from project approval Coastal Development Permit issuance. Consequently, upon installation and testing of the internal scrubber/filtration system, the facility operator shall also reduce or eliminate the use of vapor-phase neutralizing systems to the maximum extent feasible based upon the ability to prevent fugitive odors from reaching residentially zoned receptors.

The deployment of the RCSS odor control technology, or equivalent internal scrubbing system, would require the grid-like distribution of the scrubbers throughout the interior of the cultivation greenhouse. The specific number of scrubbers will be determined by a Professional Engineer or Certified Industrial Hygienist, consistent with Article II Section 35-I 44U. Additionally, the ratio of scrubbers per acre will be highly variable based on facility specific design parameters including greenhouse volume, CFM rating for the scrubbers, baseline odor concentration, etc. Therefore, the Project specific design details will be based on final site specific engineering.

PLAN REQUIREMENTS AND TIMING: P&D shall approve the updated Odor Abatement Plan prior to issuance of Coastal Development Permit. Applicant shall submit grid-like distribution of the odor control technology to P&D within six (6) months after issuance of Coastal Development Permit.

MONITORING: P&D compliance staff will inspect the Project site to confirm the odor control system is constructed pursuant to the requirements of this condition and the updated Odor Abatement Plan for the life of the permit.

IV. STAFF ANALYSIS

Staff reviewed the proposed changes to the project description, and as modified, the project is consistent with the requirements of Article II and the Comprehensive Plan, including the Coastal Land Use Plan.

V. RECOMMENDED ACTION

- a) Grant the appeal, Case No. 22APL-00000-00028;
- Make the required findings for approval of the modified Project, Case No. 19CDP-00000-00015 (Attachment 1 of the Board Agenda Letter, dated December 1, 2022), including CEQA findings;
- c) Determine that the previously certified Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) (17EIR-00000-00003) is adequate and no subsequent Environmental Impact Report or Negative Declaration is required pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Sections §15162 and 15168(c)(2) (Attachment 3 and 4 of this memo); and
- d) Grant *de novo* approval of the modified Project, Case No. 19CDP-00000-00015, subject to the conditions of approval (Attachment 2 of this memo).

Attachments:

1. Applicant Letter, dated December 9, 2022

- 2. Coastal Development Permit with Conditions
- 3. CEQA Checklist
- 4. Link to PEIR, Case No. 17EIR-00000-00003



December 9, 2022

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors 105 East Anapamu Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Re: Ceres Farm, LLC

6030 Casitas Pass Road, Carpinteria, CA 22APL-000000-00028, 19CDP-00000-00015

Honorable Supervisors:

This office represents Ceres Farm, LLC, the applicant and appellant for the subject project. Per the Planning Commission Action Letter of September 2nd, the Commission added a condition requiring the applicant to install odor scrubbers/filters as the primary means of odor control technology, such as the Regenerative Carbon Scrubbing System or equivalent, within 12 months of permit approval. We are formally amending our appeal and requesting an update to the project description and revision to the Planning Commission added condition.

Our revision to the Project Description is requested as follows:

"1. **Proj Des-01 Project Description:** This Coastal Development Permit is based upon and limited to compliance with the project description and all conditions of approval set forth below, including mitigation measures and specified plans and agreements included by reference, as well as all applicable County rules and regulations. The project description is as follows:

The Proposed Project is a request for a Coastal Development Permit to allow for approximately 9.5 acres of cannabis cultivation consisting of 7.86 acres of mature plant cultivation and 1.43 acres of nursery cultivation within existing, permitted greenhouses and approximately 0.21 acres of cultivation (processing and storage) within an existing, permitted storage and processing structure. Up to 15% of cannabis processed will be grown offsite. There will be no more than one import and export per day associated with offsite cannabis. The processing structure will also include office space, non-cannabis storage, and restrooms for employees.

The project also consists of removing an unpermitted mobile home and demolishing the following structures:

- 822-square-foot addition to the pump house;
- 2,139-square-foot cooler structure;
- 260-square-foot accessory structure; and
- 50-square-foot accessory structure.

An existing single-family dwelling will remain on-site and will not be utilized as a part of the cannabis operations. No tree removal, vegetation removal, or grading is proposed. Odor abatement will consist of Benzaco Scientific vapor-phase systems surrounding all cultivation and

processing areas, as well as carbon filters within processing areas. The applicant will install carbon scrubbers, such as the Regenerative Carbon Scrubbing System (RCSS) or equivalent internal greenhouse scrubbers/filters, as primary means of odor control technology no later than 24 months of Coastal Development Permit issuance. The operation will be fenced off by a six-foot high chain-link fence, part of which is existing. Additional avocado trees will be planted to provide screening. Lighting will consist of motion-sensing, fully shielded, and downward directed lights mounted on existing structures. Access will be provided by an existing 26-foot wide driveway, which will connect to a new all-weather fire road throughout the parcel. Water service will be provided by an existing private well on-site and potable water will be provided by the Carpinteria Valley Water District. There is an existing on-site water well that was approved under Case No. 90-CDP-162 with a condition restricting the well from serving any property other than the subject property, APN 001-030-023. With the approval of this permit, that condition will be revoked and the existing, on-site well may serve other properties subject to approval by County Environmental Health Services. The cultivation will use a closed-loop irrigation system to conserve water.

2. **Proj Des-01 Project Description:** The operation will utilize 66 employees, including managerial staff. Fifty-two parking spaces will be provided onsite. Carpool parking, bicycle parking, and a shuttle service will be provided to reduce traffic impacts. Employees will be incentivized with monthly monetary benefits to minimize vehicle trips. The Facilities Manager will monitor the trip generation and alternative transportation use, including carpooling and shuttles, and will store and make available alternative transportation records every year. The hours of operation will be 6:00 am – 5:30 pm every day of the week. Ceres Farm, LLC has agreed to observe a set of Community Odor Guidelines that were developed through collaboration between Cannabis Association for Responsible Producers (CARP Growers) and The Coalition for Responsible Cannabis (Coalition). These Guidelines are not part of the Project Description and not enforceable by the County, but reflect a collaborative effort to ensure that cannabis cultivation can be a sustainable element of Carpinteria's unique community, and are a foundation of the Coalition's decision to support this Project. The property is a 16.77-acre parcel zoned AG-I within the Carpinteria Agricultural Overlay, shown as APN 001-030-023 and addressed as 6030 Casitas Pass Road, Carpinteria, First Supervisorial District.

Any deviations from the project description, exhibits or conditions must be reviewed and approved by the County for conformity with this approval. Deviations may require approved changes to the permit and/or further environmental review. Deviations without the above described approval will constitute a violation of permit approval."

Our revision to the added Condition is requested as follows:

"37. **Odor Abatement Plan Revision.** Prior to issuance of the Coastal Development Permit, the applicant shall submit an updated Odor Abatement Plan that incorporates the following language:

Given the research and development state of ventilated greenhouse scrubbers, the Applicant commits to utilizing internal greenhouse odor scrubbers/filters such as the Regenerative Carbon Scrubbing System (RCSS) or equivalent internal greenhouse scrubbers/filters as the means of primary odor control technology no later than twelve (12) twenty-four (24) months from project approval Coastal Development Permit issuance. Consequently, upon installation and testing of

the internal scrubber/filtration system, the facility operator shall also reduce or eliminate the use of vapor-phase neutralizing systems to the maximum extent feasible based upon the ability to prevent fugitive odors from reaching residentially zoned receptors.

The deployment of the RCSS odor control technology, or equivalent internal scrubbing system, would require the grid-like distribution of the scrubbers throughout the interior of the cultivation greenhouse. The specific number of scrubbers will be determined by a Professional Engineer or Certified Industrial Hygienist, consistent with Article II Section 35-144U. Additionally, the ratio of scrubbers per acre will be highly variable based on facility specific design parameters including greenhouse volume, CFM rating for the scrubbers, baseline odor concentration, etc. Therefore, the Project specific design details will be based on final site specific engineering.

PLAN REQUIREMENTS AND TIMING: P&D shall approve the updated Odor Abatement Plan prior to issuance of Coastal Development Permit. Applicant shall submit grid-like distribution of the odor control technology to P&D within six (6) months after issuance of Coastal Development Permit.

MONITORING: P&D compliance staff will inspect the Project site to confirm the odor control system is constructed pursuant to the requirements of this condition and the updated Odor Abatement Plan for the life of the permit."

We kindly ask that you grant our requested amendment to the appeal and approve the project, Case No. 19CDP-00000-00015, taking into consideration the revisions to the project description and added condition as stated above.

Respectfully,

FLOWERS & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Gelare Macon, AICP

Agent for Applicant

www.sbcountyplanning.org

COASTAL DEVELOPMENT PERMIT NO.: 19CDP-OOOOO-00015

Project Name: CERES FARM LLC - MIXED LIGHT CANNABIS CULTIVATION

Project Address: 6030 CASITAS PASS RD, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93013

A.P.N.: 001-030-023 **Zone:** AG-I-10

The Board of Supervisors hereby approves this Coastal Development Permit for the project described below based upon compliance with the required findings for approval and subject to the attached terms and conditions.

 APPROVAL DATE:
 12/13/2022

 DATE OF PERMIT ISSUANCE:
 12/14/2022

PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY: The Proposed Project is a request for a Coastal Development Permit to allow for approximately 9.5 acres of cannabis cultivation consisting of 7.86 acres of mature plant cultivation and 1.43 acres of nursery cultivation within existing, permitted greenhouses and approximately 0.21 acres of cultivation (processing and storage) within an existing, permitted storage and processing structure. Up to 15% of cannabis processed will be grown offsite. There will be no more than one import and export per day associated with offsite cannabis. The processing structure will also include office space, non-cannabis storage, and restrooms for employees.

The project also consists of removing an unpermitted mobile home and demolishing the following structures:

- 822-square-foot addition to the pump house;
- 2,139-square-foot cooler structure;
- 260-square-foot accessory structure; and
- 50-square-foot accessory structure.

An existing single-family dwelling will remain on-site and will not be utilized as a part of the cannabis operations. No tree removal, vegetation removal, or grading is proposed. Odor abatement will consist of Benzaco Scientific vapor-phase systems surrounding all cultivation and processing areas, as well as carbon filters within processing areas. The applicant will install carbon scrubbers, such as the Regenerative Carbon Scrubbing System (RCSS) or equivalent internal greenhouse scrubbers/filters, as primary means of odor control technology no later than 24 months of Coastal Development Permit issuance. The operation will be fenced off by a six-foot high chain-link fence, part of which is existing. Additional avocado trees will be planted to provide screening. Lighting will consist of motion-sensing, fully shielded, and downward directed lights mounted on existing structures. Access will be provided by an existing 26-foot wide driveway, which will connect to a new all-weather fire road throughout the parcel. Water service will be provided by an existing private well on-site and potable water will be provided by the Carpinteria Valley Water District. There is an existing on-site water well that was approved under Case No. 90-CDP-162 with a condition restricting the well from serving any property other than the subject property, APN 001-030-023. With the approval of this permit, that condition will be revoked and the existing, on-site well may serve other properties subject to approval by County Environmental Health Services. The cultivation will use a closed-loop irrigation system to conserve water.

The operation will utilize 66 employees, including managerial staff. Fifty-two parking spaces will be provided onsite. Carpool parking, bicycle parking, and a shuttle service will be provided to reduce traffic impacts. Employees will be incentivized with monthly monetary benefits to minimize vehicle trips. The Facilities Manager will monitor the trip generation and alternative transportation use, including carpooling and shuttles, and will store and make available alternative transportation records every year. The hours of operation will be 6:00 am – 5:30 pm every day of the week. Ceres Farm, LLC has agreed to observe a set of Community Odor Guidelines that were developed through collaboration between Cannabis Association for Responsible Producers (CARP Growers) and The Coalition for Responsible Cannabis (Coalition). These Guidelines are not part of the Project Description and not enforceable by the County, but reflect a collaborative effort to ensure that cannabis cultivation can be a sustainable element of Carpinteria's unique community, and are a foundation of the Coalition's decision to support this Project. The property is a 16.77-acre parcel zoned AG-I within the Carpinteria Agricultural Overlay, shown as APN 001-030-023 and addressed as 6030 Casitas Pass Road, Carpinteria, First Supervisorial District. To receive additional information regarding this project and/or to view the application and/or plans, please contact Ben Singer at 624 West Foster Road, Suite C, Santa Maria, by email

(bsinger@countyofsb.org), or by phone ((805) 934-6587).

PROJECT SPECIFIC CONDITIONS: See Attachment A.

ASSOCIATED CASE NUMBERS: None

PERMIT ISSUANCE: This Coastal Development Permit will be issued following the close of the appeal period provided an appeal is not filed, or if appealed, the date of final action on the appeal which has the effect of upholding the approval of the permit. Issuance of this permit is subject to compliance with the following terms and conditions:

- Notice. Notice of this project shall be posted on the project site by the applicant utilizing the language and form of the notice provided by the Planning and Development Department. The notice shall remain posted continuously until at least 10 calendar days following action on the permit, including an action on any appeal of this permit (Article II Section 35-181). The *Proof of Posting of Notice on Project Site* shall be signed and returned to the Planning and Development Department prior the issuance of the permit.
- 2. Compliance with conditions. All conditions that are required to be satisfied prior to issuance of the permit have been satisfied and the permit has been signed by the applicant or owner.
- **3. Design Review.** If required, the project has been granted final approval by the appropriate Board of Architectural Review (BAR), and an appeal of that final approval has not been filed.
- **4. Appeals.** An appeal of the approval of this permit, or an appeal of the final approval by the BAR, has not been filed with the County. If an appeal has been filed then the permit shall not be issued until final action on the appeal(s) has occurred which has the effect of upholding the approval of this permit, and, if applicable, the final approval by the BAR.
- 5. Other approvals. Any other necessary approvals required prior to issuance of this Coastal Development Permit have been granted.

PERMIT EXPIRATION AND EXTENSION: This permit shall remain valid only as long as compliance with all applicable requirements of the Article II Coastal Zoning Ordinance and the permit continues, including the conditions of approval specific to this permit. Additionally:

- 1. The approval of this permit shall expire either 12 months from the effective date of the permit or other period allowed in compliance with an approved Time Extension, and shall be considered void and of no further effect unless the permit is either issued within the applicable period in compliance with the terms indicated above or a valid application for a Time Extension is submitted prior to the expiration of this 12 month period and is subsequently approved (Article II Section 35-169).
- 2. This permit shall expire two years from the date of issuance and be considered void and of no further effect unless the use and/or structure for which the permit was issued has been lawfully established or commenced in compliance with the issued permit or an application for a Time Extension is submitted prior to the expiration of this two year period and is subsequently approved (Article II Section 35-169).
- 3. The effective date of this permit shall be (a) the day following the close of any applicable appeal period provided an appeal is not filed, or (b) if appealed, the date of final action on the appeal which has the effect of upholding the approval, or (c) some other date as indicated in this permit (Article II Section 35-57B).

WORK PROHIBITED PRIOR TO PERMIT ISSUANCE: No work, development, or use intended to be authorized pursuant to this permit approval shall commence prior to issuance of this permit and/or any other required permit (e.g., building permit).

OWNER/APPLICANT ACKNOWLEDGMENT: Undersigned permittee acknowledges receipt of this approval and agrees to abide by all conditions and terms thereof. Undersigned permittee also acknowledges that issuance of this permit for this project does not allow construction or use outside of the project description, not shall it be construed to be an approval of a violation of any provision of any County policy, ordinance or other governmental regulation.

		/	
Print name	Signature	Date	

Coastal Development Permit Approval By:

Chair, Board of Supervisors	Date
PERMIT ISSUANCE: The permit shall be issued and do	eemed effective on the date signed and indicated below.
Planning and Development Department Issuance By:	
	1
Planner	Date

ATTACHMENT A: CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Project Description

1. **Proj Des-01 Project Description:** This Coastal Development Permit is based upon and limited to compliance with the project description and all conditions of approval set forth below, including mitigation measures and specified plans and agreements included by reference, as well as all applicable County rules and regulations. The project description is as follows:

The Proposed Project is a request for a Coastal Development Permit to allow for approximately 9.5 acres of cannabis cultivation consisting of 7.86 acres of mature plant cultivation and 1.43 acres of nursery cultivation within existing, permitted greenhouses and approximately 0.21 acres of cultivation (processing and storage) within an existing, permitted storage and processing structure. Up to 15% of cannabis processed will be grown offsite. There will be no more than one import and export per day associated with offsite cannabis. The processing structure will also include office space, non-cannabis storage, and restrooms for employees.

The project also consists of removing an unpermitted mobile home and demolishing the following structures:

- 822-square-foot addition to the pump house;
- 2,139-square-foot cooler structure;
- 260-square-foot accessory structure; and
- 50-square-foot accessory structure.

An existing single-family dwelling will remain on-site and will not be utilized as a part of the cannabis operations. No tree removal, vegetation removal, or grading is proposed. Odor abatement will consist of Benzaco Scientific vapor-phase systems surrounding all cultivation and processing areas, as well as carbon filters within processing areas. The applicant will install carbon scrubbers, such as the Regenerative Carbon Scrubbing System (RCSS) or equivalent internal greenhouse scrubbers/filters, as primary means of odor control technology no later than 24 months of Coastal Development Permit issuance. The operation will be fenced off by a six-foot high chain-link fence, part of which is existing. Additional avocado trees will be planted to provide screening. Lighting will consist of motion-sensing, fully shielded, and downward directed lights mounted on existing structures. Access will be provided by an existing 26-foot wide driveway, which will connect to a new all-weather fire road throughout the parcel. Water service will be provided by an existing private well on-site and potable water will be provided by the Carpinteria Valley Water District. There is an existing on-site water well that was approved under Case No. 90-CDP-162 with a condition restricting the well from serving any property other than the subject property, APN 001-030-023. With the approval of this permit, that condition will be revoked and the existing, on-site well may serve other properties subject to approval by County Environmental Health Services. The cultivation will use a closed-loop irrigation system to conserve water.

2. Proj Des-01 Project Description: The operation will utilize 66 employees, including managerial staff. Fifty-two parking spaces will be provided onsite. Carpool parking, bicycle parking, and a shuttle service will be provided to reduce traffic impacts. Employees will be incentivized with monthly monetary benefits to minimize vehicle trips. The Facilities Manager will monitor the trip generation and alternative transportation use, including carpooling and shuttles, and will store and make available

alternative transportation records every year. The hours of operation will be 6:00 am – 5:30 pm every day of the week. Ceres Farm, LLC has agreed to observe a set of Community Odor Guidelines that were developed through collaboration between Cannabis Association for Responsible Producers (CARP Growers) and The Coalition for Responsible Cannabis (Coalition). These Guidelines are not part of the Project Description and not enforceable by the County, but reflect a collaborative effort to ensure that cannabis cultivation can be a sustainable element of Carpinteria's unique community, and are a foundation of the Coalition's decision to support this Project. The property is a 16.77-acre parcel zoned AG-I within the Carpinteria Agricultural Overlay, shown as APN 001-030-023 and addressed as 6030 Casitas Pass Road, Carpinteria, First Supervisorial District.

Any deviations from the project description, exhibits or conditions must be reviewed and approved by the County for conformity with this approval. Deviations may require approved changes to the permit and/or further environmental review. Deviations without the above described approval will constitute a violation of permit approval.

3. Proj Des-02 Project Conformity: The grading, development, use, and maintenance of the property, the size, shape, arrangement, and location of the structures, parking areas and landscape areas, and the protection and preservation of resources shall conform to the project description above and the hearing exhibits and conditions of approval below. The property and any portions thereof shall be sold, leased or financed in compliance with this project description and the approved hearing exhibits and conditions of approval thereto. All plans (such as Landscape and Tree Protection Plans) must be submitted for review and approval and shall be implemented as approved by the County.

Conditions By Issue Area

4. CulRes-09 Stop Work at Encounter: The Owner/Applicant and/or their agents, representatives or contractors shall stop or redirect work immediately in the event archaeological remains are encountered during grading, construction, landscaping or other construction-related activity. The Owner/Applicant shall immediately contact P&D staff, and retain a P&D approved archaeologist and Native American representative to evaluate the significance of the find in compliance with the provisions of the County Archaeological Guidelines and conduct appropriate mitigation funded by the Owner/Applicant.

PLAN REQUIREMENTS: This condition shall be printed on all building and grading plans.

MONITORING: P&D permit processing planner shall check plans prior to issuance of Coastal Development Permit and P&D compliance monitoring staff shall spot check in the field throughout grading and construction.

5. Noise-02 Construction Hours: The Owner /Applicant, including all contractors and subcontractors shall limit construction activity, including equipment maintenance and site preparation, to the hours between 7:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

No construction shall occur on weekends or State holidays. Non-noise generating interior construction activities such as plumbing, electrical, drywall and painting (which does not include the use of compressors, tile saws, or other noise-generating equipment) are not subject to these restrictions.

Any subsequent amendment to the Comprehensive General Plan, applicable Community or Specific Plan, or Zoning Code noise standard upon which these construction hours are based shall supersede the hours stated herein.

PLAN REQUIREMENTS: The Owner/Applicant shall provide and post a sign stating these restrictions at all construction site entries.

TIMING: Signs shall be posted prior to commencement of construction and maintained throughout construction.

MONITORING: The Owner/Applicant shall demonstrate that required signs are posted prior to grading/building permit issuance and pre-construction meeting. Building inspectors and permit compliance staff shall spot check and respond to complaints.

Project Specific Conditions

- 6. Licenses Required: The applicant shall obtain and maintain in good status: (1) a valid County business license as required by the County Code Chapter 50, and (2) a valid State cannabis license as required by the California Business and Professions Code for the cannabis activities that are the subject of this permit.
- 7. Transfer of Ownership: In the event that the applicant transfers interest in the commercial cannabis operation, the successor(s) in interest shall assume all responsibilities concerning the project including, but not limited to, maintaining compliance with the conditions of this permit and paying for P&D condition compliance activities throughout the life of the project.

DOCUMENTATION: The successor(s) in interest shall notify P&D compliance staff, in writing, of the transfer in interest, and provide the contact and billing information of the successor(s) in interest.

TIMING: The successor(s) in interest shall provide the written notification within 30 days following the transfer in interest.

MONITORING: P&D compliance staff reviews the written notification to confirm that all requisite information has been included pursuant to the requirements of this condition.

- **8. Records:** The applicant shall maintain clear and adequate records and documentation, in accordance with State law, the California Cannabis Track-and-Trace System, and as required by County Code Chapter 35, demonstrating that all cannabis or cannabis products have been obtained from, and are provided to, other permitted and licensed cannabis operations.
 - TIMING: The applicant shall maintain the documentation for a minimum of five years following the preparation and/or approval of the documentation.
 - MONITORING: The applicant shall provide the documentation for review, inspection, examination and audit by the Department.
- **9. Permit Compliance:** The Owner/Applicant/Operator shall ensure that the project complies with the County cannabis regulations, all approved plans and project conditions, including those which must be monitored after the project is built and/or operations commence. To accomplish this the Owner/Applicant/Operator shall:

- 1) Complete and submit a Permit Compliance Application to Planning and Development and identify a name and number of the contact person for the project compliance activities.
- 2) Sign a separate Agreement to Pay for compliance monitoring costs and remit a security deposit prior to issuance of Coastal Development Permit as authorized by ordinance and fee schedules. Compliance monitoring costs will be invoiced monthly and may include costs for Business License annual review and for P&D to hire and manage outside consultants when deemed necessary by P&D staff to assess damage and/or ensure compliance. In such cases, the Owner/Applicant shall comply with P&D recommendations to bring the project into compliance. The decision of the Director of P&D shall be final in the event of a dispute.
- 3) Participate in Initial Compliance Inspections that may occur:
- i. Prior to commencement of use and/or issuance of Business License,
- ii. Within the first year (during the active growing season), and [remove if retail, i.e. delivery, storefront]
- iii. Other instances as deemed necessary by Planning & Development
- 4) Participate in Regular Compliance Inspections that may occur:
- i. Upon renewal of the County Business License,
- ii. For the life of the project, or as specific in permit conditions, and
- iii. Other instances as deemed necessary by Planning & Development

PLAN REQUIREMENTS: The Owner/Applicant/Operator shall include a note and a copy of this condition on all project plans including Building and Grading Plans.

TIMING: Prior to issuance of Coastal Development Permit an associated Permit Compliance Application and deposit shall be submitted to Planning & Development.

MONITORING: Planning & Development Compliance Staff or designee shall conduct initial and regular compliance inspections as identified above in accordance with this condition, and as determined to be necessary.

10. Fencing and Security Plan: The applicant shall implement the Fencing and Security Plan stamped "Zoning Approved".

PLAN REQUIREMENTS: The Fencing and Security Plan must comply with the requirements of the Article II Coastal Zoning Ordinance (§35-144U.C.2) as that section reads as of the date of project approval.

TIMING: The applicant shall implement the Fencing and Security Plan prior to commencement of the cannabis activities that are the subject of this permit. The applicant shall maintain the project site in compliance with the Fencing and Security Plan throughout the life of the project.

MONITORING: P&D compliance staff inspects the project site to confirm that all components of the Fencing and Security Plan are installed and maintained pursuant to the requirements of this condition.

11. Landscape and Screening Plan: The applicant shall implement the Landscape and Screening Plan stamped "Zoning Approved".

PLAN REQUIREMENTS: The Landscape and Screening Plan must comply with the requirements of

the Article II Coastal Zoning Ordinance (§35-144U.C.3) as that section reads as of the date of project approval. The applicant shall file a performance security in an amount sufficient to ensure the installation and maintenance of the landscaping for two years, as determined by a landscape architect and approved by P&D compliance staff.

TIMING: The applicant shall submit one copy of the approved Landscaping and Screening Plan to P&D staff and deposit the performance security prior to issuance of this permit. The applicant shall install all components of the Landscape and Screening Plan prior to commencement of the cannabis activities that are the subject of this permit. The applicant shall maintain the landscaping and screening in compliance with the Landscape and Screening Plan throughout the life of the project.

MONITORING: P&D compliance staff inspects the project site to confirm that all components of the Landscape and Screening Plan are installed and maintained pursuant to the requirements of this condition. P&D compliance staff releases said performance security upon a written statement from the Department that the landscaping, in accordance with the approved Landscape and Screening Plan, has been installed and maintained for two years.

12. Lighting Plan: The applicant shall implement the Lighting Plan stamped "Zoning Approved".

PLAN REQUIREMENTS: The Lighting Plan must comply with the requirements of the Article II Coastal Zoning Ordinance (§ 35-144U.C.4 and -C.1.g) as that section reads as of the date of project approval.

TIMING: All components of the Lighting Plan shall be implemented prior to commencement of the cannabis activities that are the subject of this permit. The applicant shall maintain the project site in compliance with the Lighting Plan throughout the life of the project.

MONITORING: P&D compliance staff inspects the project site to confirm that all components of the Lighting Plan are installed, maintained and operated pursuant to the requirements of this condition.

13. Noise Plan: The applicant shall implement the Noise Plan stamped "Zoning Approved,".

PLAN REQUIREMENTS: The Noise Plan must comply with the requirements of the Article II Coastal Zoning Ordinance (§ 35-144U.C.5), as that section reads as of the date of project approval.

TIMING: The applicant shall implement the Noise Plan prior to commencement of the cannabis activities that are the subject of this permit. The applicant shall maintain the project site in compliance with the Noise Plan throughout the life of the project.

MONITORING: P&D compliance staff inspects the project site to confirm that all components of the Noise Plan are installed, operated and maintained pursuant to the requirements of this condition.

14. Odor Abatement Implementation and Monitoring: The applicant shall implement the Odor Abatement Plan stamped 'Zoning Approved'. The Odor Abatement Plan must prevent odors from being experienced within residential zones as determined by the Director. The applicant shall follow all methods for reducing odor as outlined in the Odor Abatement Plan and shall deploy, or re-deploy the best available control technologies or methods as necessary, or as determined by the County.

PLAN REQUIREMENTS: The Odor Abatement system shall be graphically depicted on project plans and comply with Article II, Section 35-144U.C.6 as that section reads as of the date of project approval. The depicted Odor Abatement system shall conform to the Odor Abatement Plan as reviewed and certified by a Professional Engineer or a Certified Industrial Hygienist.

TIMING: The Odor Abatement system shall be installed prior to the commencement of cultivation activities. The Applicant shall maintain the system in good operating condition throughout duration of cannabis cultivation activities.

MONITORING: P&D compliance staff shall monitor implementation prior to Final Building Clearance and/or commencement of use, whichever occurs first. Permit Compliance staff has the authority to request additional measures necessary for corrective actions, provided at the cost of the Applicant, to verify compliance with the Odor Abatement Plan. Upon installation of the odor control system and quarterly thereafter for one year, Permit Compliance staff shall conduct an inspection of the odor control system to assess its compliance with the requirements of this condition and the approved Odor Abatement Plan. As part of each inspection, the County shall retain a professional engineer or certified industrial hygienist, at the applicant's expense, to certify that the Odor Abatement system, specification, operation and procedures has been installed, operating, and maintained as specified in the approved Odor Abatement Plan.

15. Odor Control Notification: The Owner/Applicant shall inform P&D compliance monitoring staff prior to making any changes to the product/substance used within the approved vapor phase odor control system. The Owner/Applicant shall submit detailed product information, including but not limited to materials safety data sheets, to P&D compliance staff for review and approval. P&D staff shall coordinate their review of the proposed product/substance with the Santa Barbara Air Pollution Control District (SBCAPCD). The SBCAPCD shall assess whether this product, or its contents, are listed on the State's Toxic Air Contaminant List or other similar hazardous air contaminants list.

TIMING: The Owner/Applicant shall inform P&D compliance monitoring staff of their intent to change the product used within the vapor phase odor control system prior to its use. The Owner/Applicant shall receive P&D approval prior to use of new product/substance.

MONITORING: P&D compliance monitoring staff shall review the proposed product/substance changes and associated information materials in coordinate with the SBCAPCD. P&D compliance monitoring staff shall ensure that the vapor phase product/solution is implemented and operated in compliance with the approved Odor Abatement Plan and any associated or subsequent addendums.

16. Site Transportation Demand Management Plan: The applicant shall implement the Site Transportation Demand Management Plan stamped "Zoning Approved".

PLAN REQUIREMENTS: The Site Transportation Demand Management Plan must comply with the requirements of the Article II Coastal Zoning Ordinance (§ 35-144U.C.1.j) as that section reads as of the date of project approval.

TIMING: The applicant shall implement the Site Transportation Demand Management Plan prior to the issuance of final building and/or grading inspection. The applicant shall maintain the project site in

compliance with the Site Transportation Demand Management Plan throughout the life of the project.

MONITORING: The applicant shall demonstrate to P&D compliance staff (e.g., by providing a copy of an executed contract with a rideshare service or site inspections to verify that trip reduction features are installed onsite) that all components of the approved Site Transportation Demand Management Plan are implemented.

17. Compliance with State Water Board Requirements: The applicant shall demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the State Water Resources Control Board, compliance with the State Water Resources Control Board's comprehensive Cannabis Cultivation Policy. The Policy includes limitations on the diversion of surface water and certain groundwater diversions, and regulations on the use of pesticides, rodenticides, herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, disinfectants and fertilizers.

TIMING: The applicant shall satisfy this condition prior to issuance of Coastal Development Permit.

18. Water Efficiency for Commercial Cannabis Activities: Water conserving features shall be included in the design of the cannabis cultivation. Water-conserving features including the following: timed-drip irrigation, use of recycled water, and recirculated irrigation water.

PLAN REQUIREMENTS: Water conserving features must comply with the requirements of the Land Use Development Code (§ 35.42.075.D.1.j) or Coastal Zoning Ordinance (§ 35.144U.C.k)

TIMING: The applicant shall implement the Water efficiency measures prior to commence of use. The applicant shall maintain the project site in compliance with the water efficiency measures throughout the life of the project.

MONITORING: P&D compliance staff shall inspect the project site to confirm that all water efficiency measures are installed, operated and maintained pursuant to the requirements of this condition.

19. Greenhouse Blackout Curtains: The owner/applicant/operator shall install and maintain a mechanized blackout screening system within growing areas to prevent interior night lighting (grow lights) from being visible outside the green houses structures between sunset and sunrise.

PLAN REQUIREMENTS: The mechanized blackout screen system shall be noted on plans submitted for Permit approval

TIMING: The system shall be installed prior to Final Building Inspection Clearance or Commence of Use.

MONITORING: The Owner/Applicant/Operator shall demonstrate proper installation and functioning prior to Final Building Inspection Clearance or Commence of Use. P&D Compliance staff may conduct site inspections as necessary to respond to complaints and ensure blackout screen system is maintained for the life of the project.

20. Unpermitted Development Removal: The Owner/Applicant shall demolish or remove the following existing unpermitted structures: an approximately 990 sq. ft. mobile home, an 822 sq. ft. addition to the pump house, and a 2,139 sq. ft. cooler structure. Prior to the initiation of any

demolition or construction activities, the owner/applicant shall obtain a Demolition Permit, Building Permit, and/or any other permit required pursuant to the Building Code.

TIMING: The Owner/Applicant shall remove or demolish the unpermitted mobile home, pump house addition, and cooler structure within 90 days of issuance of this Coastal Development Permit.

MONITORING: P&D compliance staff shall inspect the project site to confirm that the structures have been removed.

21. EM-02 Elapsed Time Meter: The Owner shall install, operate and properly maintain a dedicated, non-resettable elapsed-time meter on the emergency generator engine. A written record detailing the hours of operation, corresponding meter readings from the hours meter, and reason for each operation, shall be maintained and submitted to the APCD upon request.

TIMING: The time meter and particulate filter shall be installed prior to Final Building Inspection Clearance.

22. Emergency Generator: In the event of a power failure, a generator may be used on the site to provide backup power. A generator is allowed for emergency backup electrical purposes only and shall only be continuously operated during an event of interruption of standard electrical service as provided by the local electrical utility company to the subject parcel. For diesel generators, engines shall be certified to meet EPA Tier 4 Final emissions standards. Pursuant to the manufacturer's routine maintenance recommendations, the generator may be exercised on a monthly basis for a period not to exceed 30 minutes.

Timing: The exercise period shall be limited to the hours between 7:30 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday–Friday only & shall not occur on State holidays (e.g., Thanksgiving, Labor Day, etc.). Non-emergency operation beyond 30 minutes per month shall be prohibited. Additionally, Air Pollution Control District (APCD) permits are required for emergency standby generator engines rated at 50 BHP (brake-horsepower) or greater unless the equipment qualifies for an exemption based on low usage.

PLAN REQUIREMENTS: The Permittee shall restate the provisions for compliance on all building plans.

TIMING: If required, Permittee shall obtain an APCD Permit to Operate (PTO) prior to engine operation. All necessary APCD permits, if required, shall be obtained prior to Final Building Inspection Clearance.

County Rules and Regulations

23. Rules-01 Effective Date-Not Appealable to CCC: This Coastal Development Permit shall become effective upon the date of the expiration of the applicable appeal period provided an appeal has not been filed. If an appeal has been filed, the planning permit shall not be deemed effective until final action by the final review authority on the appeal. No entitlement for the use or development shall be granted before the effective date of the planning permit. ARTICLE II §35-169.4

- 24. Rules-03 Additional Permits Required: The use and/or construction of any structures or improvements authorized by this approval shall not commence until the all necessary planning and building permits are obtained. Before any Permit will be issued by Planning and Development, the Owner/Applicant must obtain written clearance from all departments having conditions; such clearance shall indicate that the Owner/Applicant has satisfied all pre-construction conditions. A form for such clearance is available from Planning and Development.
- **25.** Rules-05 Acceptance of Conditions: The Owner/Applicant's acceptance of this permit and/or commencement of use, construction and/or operations under this permit shall be deemed acceptance of all conditions of this permit by the Owner/Applicant.
- **26.** Rules-08 Sale of Site: The project site and any portions thereof shall be sold, leased or financed in compliance with the exhibit(s), project description and the conditions of approval including all related covenants and agreements.
- **27. Rules-09 Signs:** Signs. No signs of any type are approved with this action unless otherwise specified. All signs shall be permitted in compliance with the County Land Use and Development Code.
- **28.** Rules-20 Revisions to Related Plans: The Owner/Applicant shall request a revision for any proposed changes to approved Coastal Development Plans plans. Substantial conformity shall be determined by the Director of P&D.
- 29. Rules-22 Leased Facilities: The Operator and Owner are responsible for complying with all conditions of approval contained in this Conditional Use Permit. Any zoning violations concerning the installation, operation, and/or abandonment of the facility are the responsibility of the Owner and the Operator.
- **30.** Rules-23 Processing Fees Required: Prior to issuance of Coastal Development Permit, the Owner/Applicant shall pay all applicable P&D permit processing fees in full as required by County ordinances and resolutions.
- 31. Rules-26 Performance Security Required: The Owner/Applicant shall post separate performance securities, the amounts and form of which shall be approved by P&D, to cover the full cost of installation and maintenance of landscape & irrigation. Installation securities shall be equal to the value of a) all materials listed or noted on the approved referenced plan, and b) labor to successfully install the materials. Maintenance securities shall be equal to the value of maintenance and/or replacement of the items listed or noted on the approved referenced plan for two years of maintenance The installation security shall be released when P&D determines that the of the items. Owner/Applicant has satisfactorily installed of all approved landscape & irrigation plans per those condition requirements. Maintenance securities shall be released after the specified maintenance time period and when all approved landscape & irrigation have been satisfactorily maintained. If they have If at any time the not been maintained, P&D may retain the maintenance security until satisfied. Owner fails to install or maintain the approved landscape and irrigation, P&D may use the security to complete the work.
- **32. Rules-29 Other Dept Conditions:** Compliance with Departmental/Division letters required as follows:
 - 1. Carpinteria-Summerland Fire Protection District Letter, dated December 17, 2019.
- **33.** Rules-30 Plans Requirements: The Owner/Applicant shall ensure all applicable final conditions of approval are printed in their entirety on applicable pages of grading/construction or building plans

Page A - 10

submitted to P&D or Building and Safety Division. These shall be graphically illustrated where feasible.

- **34. Rules-32 Contractor and Subcontractor Notification:** The Owner/Applicant shall ensure that potential contractors are aware of County requirements. Owner / Applicant shall notify all contractors and subcontractors in writing of the site rules, restrictions, and Conditions of Approval and submit a copy of the notice to P&D compliance monitoring staff.
- 35. Rules-33 Indemnity and Separation: The Owner/Applicant shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the County or its agents or officers and employees from any claim, action or proceeding against the County or its agents, officers or employees, to attack, set aside, void, or annul, in whole or in part, the County's approval of this project.
- 36. Rules-37 Time Extensions-All Projects: The Owner / Applicant may request a time extension prior to the expiration of the permit or entitlement for development. The review authority with jurisdiction over the project may, upon good cause shown, grant a time extension in compliance with County rules and regulations, which include reflecting changed circumstances and ensuring compliance with CEQA. If the Owner / Applicant requests a time extension for this permit, the permit may be revised to include updated language to standard conditions and/or mitigation measures and additional conditions and/or mitigation measures which reflect changed circumstances or additional identified project impacts.

Other

37. Odor Abatement Plan Revision: Prior to issuance of the Coastal Development Permit, the applicant shall submit an updated Odor Abatement Plan that incorporates the following language:

Given the research and development state of ventilated greenhouse scrubbers, the Applicant commits to utilizing internal greenhouse odor scrubbers/filters such as the Regenerative Carbon Scrubbing System (RCSS) or equivalent internal greenhouse scrubbers/filters as the means of primary odor control technology no later than twenty-four (24) months from Coastal Development Permit issuance. Consequently, upon installation and testing of the internal scrubber/filtration system, the facility operator shall also reduce or eliminate the use of vapor-phase neutralizing systems to the maximum extent feasible based upon the ability to prevent fugitive odors from reaching residentially zoned receptors.

The deployment of the RCSS odor control technology, or equivalent internal scrubbing system, would require the grid-like distribution of the scrubbers throughout the interior of the cultivation greenhouse. The specific number of scrubbers will be determined by a Professional Engineer or Certified Industrial Hygienist, consistent with Article II Section 35-1 44U. Additionally, the ratio of scrubbers per acre will be highly variable based on facility specific design parameters including greenhouse volume, CFM rating for the scrubbers, baseline odor concentration, etc. Therefore, the Project specific design details will be based on final site specific engineering.

PLAN REQUIREMENTS AND TIMING: Applicant shall submit grid-like distribution of the odor control technology to P&D within six (6) months after issuance of Coastal Development Permit.

MONITORING: P&D compliance staff will inspect the Project site to confirm the odor control

CERES FARM LLC - MIXED LIGHT CANNABIS CULTIVATION 19CDP-OOOOO-00015 Page A - 11

system is constructed pursuant to the requirements of this condition and the updated Odor Abatement Plan for the life of the permit.

ATTACHMENT 3: CEQA GUIDELINES § 15168(c)(4) Environmental Checklist



State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) Checklist for Commercial Cannabis Land Use Entitlement and Licensing Applications

A. Purpose

On February 6, 2018, the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors certified a programmatic environmental impact report (PEIR) that analyzed the environmental impacts of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program (Program). The PEIR was prepared in accordance with the State CEQA Guidelines (§ 15168) and evaluated the Program's impacts with regard to the following environmental resources and subjects:

- Aesthetics and Visual Resources
- Agricultural Resources
- Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- Biological Resources
- Cultural Resources
- Geology and Soils
- Hazards and Hazardous Materials

- Hydrology and Water Quality
- Land Use
- Noise
- Transportation and Traffic
- Utilities and Energy Conservation
- Population, Employment, and Housing

The PEIR evaluated the direct and indirect impacts, as well as the project-specific and cumulative impacts, that would result from the implementation of the Program. The PEIR set forth feasible mitigation measures for several significant impacts, which are now included as development standards and/or requirements in the land use and licensing ordinances.

Pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines (§ 15168(c)(4)), the following checklist was prepared to determine whether the environmental effects of a proposed commercial cannabis operation are within the scope of the PEIR.

B. Project Description

Dlagge	provide	+60	fallowing	project	informatio	
Please	provide	tne	TOHOWINE	project	informatic	m

1.	Land Use Entitlement Case Number(s): 19CDP-00000-00015
2.	Business Licensing Ordinance Case Number(s):
3.	Project Applicant(s): Ceres Farms, LLC

State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) Checklist for Commercial Cannabis Land Use Entitlement and Licensing Applications
Page 2

- 4. Property Owner(s): Van Wingerden Family Trust
- 5. Project Site Location and Tax Assessor Parcel Number(s): 6030 Casitas Pass Road, APN 001-030-023
- 6. Project Description: The Proposed Project is a request for a Coastal Development Permit to allow for approximately 9.5 acres of cannabis cultivation consisting of 7.86 acres of mature plant cultivation and 1.43 acres of nursery cultivation within existing, permitted greenhouses and approximately 0.21 acres of cultivation (processing and storage) within an existing, permitted storage and processing structure. Up to 15% of cannabis processed will be grown offsite. There will be no more than one import and export per day associated with offsite cannabis. The processing structure will also include office space, non-cannabis storage, and restrooms for employees.

The project also consists of removing an unpermitted mobile home and demolishing the following structures:

- 822-square-foot addition to the pump house;
- <u>2,139-square-foot cooler structure;</u>
- 260-square-foot accessory structure; and
- <u>50-square-foot accessory structure.</u>

An existing single-family dwelling will remain on-site and will not be utilized as a part of the cannabis operations. No tree removal, vegetation removal, or grading is proposed. Odor abatement will consist of Benzaco Scientific vapor-phase systems surrounding all cultivation and processing areas, as well as carbon filters within processing areas. The applicant will install carbon scrubbers, such as the Regenerative Carbon Scrubbing System (RCSS) or equivalent internal greenhouse scrubbers/filters, as primary means of odor control technology no later than 24 months of Coastal Development Permit issuance. The operation will be fenced off by a six-foot high chain-link fence, part of which is existing. Additional avocado trees will be planted to provide screening. Lighting will consist of motion-sensing, fully shielded, and downward directed lights mounted on existing structures. Access will be provided by an existing 26-foot wide driveway, which will connect to a new all-weather fire road throughout the parcel. Water service will be provided by an existing private well on-site and potable water will be provided by the Carpinteria Valley Water District. There is an existing on-site water well that was approved under Case No. 90-CDP-162 with a condition restricting the well from serving any property other than the subject property, APN 001-030-023. With the approval of this permit, that condition will be revoked and the existing, on-site well may serve other properties subject to approval by County Environmental Health Services. The cultivation will use a closed-loop irrigation system to conserve water.

The operation will utilize 66 employees, including managerial staff. Fifty-two parking spaces will be provided onsite. Carpool parking, bicycle parking, and a shuttle service will be provided to reduce traffic impacts. Employees will be incentivized with monthly monetary benefits to minimize vehicle trips. The Facilities Manager will monitor the trip generation and alternative transportation use, including carpooling and shuttles, and will store and make available alternative transportation records every year. The hours of operation will be 6:00 am – 5:30 pm every day of the week. Ceres Farm, LLC has agreed to observe a set of Community Odor Guidelines that were developed through collaboration between

State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) Checklist for Commercial Cannabis Land Use Entitlement and Licensing Applications

Page 3

Cannabis Association for Responsible Producers (CARP Growers) and The Coalition for Responsible Cannabis (Coalition). These Guidelines are not part of the Project Description and not enforceable by the County, but reflect a collaborative effort to ensure that cannabis cultivation can be a sustainable element of Carpinteria's unique community, and are a foundation of the Coalition's decision to support this Project. The property is a 16.77-acre parcel zoned AG-I within the Carpinteria Agricultural Overlay, shown as APN 001-030-023 and addressed as 6030 Casitas Pass Road, Carpinteria, First Supervisorial District.

C. PEIR Mitigation Measures/Requirements for Commercial Cannabis Operations

The following table lists the specific mitigation measures set forth in the PEIR. The table further includes questions to determine the scope of the potential environmental impacts of a project. This information will be used by staff to determine if subsequent environmental review of a project is warranted.

Please answer all questions set forth in the following table. Planning and Development Department (P&D) staff complete § C.1 and County Executive Office (CEO) staff complete § C.2. If a question does not apply to the proposed cannabis operation, please check the corresponding "N/A" box.

C.1 Mitigation Measures/Requirements for P&D Staff Review

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement			
Aesthetics and Visual Res	Aesthetics and Visual Resources				
MM AV-1. Screening Requirements	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.3	Is the proposed cannabis operation visible from a public viewing location? ☑ Yes □ No			
	Article II § 35-144U.C.3	If so, does the proposed project include implementation of the required landscape and screening plan? ☑ Yes □ No □N/A			
Agricultural Resources					
MM AG-1. Cannabis Cultivation Prerequisite Ancillary Use Licenses	LUDC §§ 35.42.075.D.3 and -4	Does the proposed project include ancillary cannabis uses (e.g., manufacturing of cannabis products)? ☑ Yes ☐ No			
	Article II § 35-144U.C.2.a and -3.a	If the proposed project includes ancillary cannabis uses, does the proposed project comply with the minimum cultivation requirements to allow ancillary cannabis uses? ☑ Yes □ No □ N/A			
MM AG-2. New Structure Avoidance of	LUDC § 35.42.075.D.1.b	Does the proposed project site have prime soils located on it? ⊠ Yes □ No			

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
Prime Soils	Article II § 35-144U.C.1.b	Does the proposed project involve structural development? ☐ Yes ☒ No
	3 00 11 10 10 12 10	If the proposed project involves structural development, are the structures sited and designed to avoid prime soils? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
Air Quality and Greenho	use Gas Emissions	
MM AQ-3. Cannabis Site Transportation Demand Management	LUDC § 35.42.075.D.1.j	Does the proposed project include cannabis cultivation? ⊠ Yes □ No
Demand Wanagement	Article II § 35-144U.1.j	If so, does the project include implementation of the required Transportation Demand Management Plan? ⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A
MM AQ-5. Odor Abatement Plan	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.6	This mitigation measure/requirement does not apply to projects in the AG-II zone, unless a Conditional Use Permit is required for the proposed
	Article II § 35-144U.C.6	commercial cannabis operation. Does the proposed project include cannabis cultivation, a nursery, manufacturing, microbusiness, and/or distribution? ☑ Yes ☐ No If so, does the project include implementation of the required odor abatement plan? ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
Biological Resources		
MM BIO-1a. Tree Protection Plan	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.8 and Appendix J	Does the proposed project involve development within proximity to, alteration of, or the removal of, a native tree? ☐ Yes ☒ No
	Article II § 35-144.C.8 and Appendix G	If so, does the project include implementation of the required tree protection plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
MM BIO-1b. Habitat Protection Plan	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.8 and Appendix J	Inland. Will the project result in the removal of native vegetation or other vegetation in an area that has been identified as having a medium to high potential of being occupied by a special-status wildlife species, nesting bird, or a Federal or Statelisted special-status plant species? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒N/A
		If so, does the project include implementation of the required habitat protection plan?

D	200	
г	age	J

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
·		□ Yes □ No 図N/A
	Article II § 35-144.C.8 and Appendix G	Coastal. Does the project involve development within environmentally sensitive habitat (ESH) and/or ESH buffers? ☐ Yes ☒ No ☐ N/A If so, does the project include implementation of the required habitat protection plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
MM HWR-1a. Cannabis Waste Discharge Requirements Draft	LUDC § 35.42.075.D.1.d	Does the proposed project involve cannabis cultivation? ⊠ Yes □ No
General Order	Article II § 35-144U.C.1.d	If so, did the applicant submit documentation from the State Water Resources Control Board demonstrating compliance with the comprehensive Cannabis Cultivation Policy? ☑Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
MM BIO-3. Wildlife Movement Plan	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.8 and Appendix J	Is the proposed project site located in or near a wildlife movement area? ☐ Yes ☒ No
	Article II § 35-144.C.8 and Appendix G	If so, does the project include implementation of the required wildlife movement plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
Cultural Resources		
MM CR-1. Preservation MM CR-2.	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.1	Does the proposed project involve development within an area that has the potential for cultural resources to be located within it? ☐ Yes ☒ No
Archaeological and Paleontological Surveys	Article II	If so, was a Phase I cultural study prepared? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A If so, did the Phase I cultural study require a Phase
	§§ 35-144U.C.1 and 35-65	Il cultural study? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
		If so, does the project involve implementation of cultural resource preservation measures set forth in the Phase II cultural study? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
Hazards and Hazardous N	Materials	
MM HAZ-3. Volatile Manufacturing	LUDC § 35.42.075.D.4.c	Does the proposed project involve volatile manufacturing of cannabis products?

Page 6

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
Employee Training Plan		☐ Yes ⊠ No
	Article II § 35-144U.C.3.c	If so, does the project involve implementation of the required Volatile Manufacturing Employee Training Plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
Hydrology and Water Qu	ality Impacts	
MM HWR-1. Cannabis Waste Discharge Requirements General Order	See the Biological Resour	rces items, above.
MM BIO-1b. Cannabis Waste Discharge Requirements General Order	See the Biological Resour	rces items, above.
Land Use Impacts		
MM LU-1. Public Lands Restriction	LUDC § 35.42.075.D.1.h	Does the proposed project involve cannabis cultivation on public lands? ☐ Yes ☒ No
	Article II § 35-144U.C.1.h	
MM AQ-3. Cannabis Site Transportation Demand Management	See the Air Quality and G	reenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.
MM AQ-5. Odor Abatement Plan	See the Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.	
MM TRA-1. Payment of Transportation Impact Fees	County Ordinance No. 4270	Is the proposed project subject to the countywide, Goleta, or Orcutt development impact fee ordinance? ☐ Yes ☒ No
		If so, did the applicant pay the requisite fee? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ N/A
Compliance with Comprehensive Plan Environmental Resource Protection Policies	LUDC § 35.10.020.B	All cannabis applications. Does the proposed project comply with all applicable environmental resource protection policies set forth in the Comprehensive Plan? ☑ Yes ☐ No
	CLUP Chapter 3, § 3.1 and Policy 1-4	Coastal cannabis applications. Does the proposed project comply with all applicable coastal resources protection policies set forth in the Coastal Land Use Plan? ⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A
Noise		
MM AQ-3. Cannabis Site Transportation Demand Management	See the Air Quality and G	reenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.
Transportation and Traff	ic	

Page 7

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
MM AQ-3. Cannabis	See the Air Quality and G	reenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.
Site Transportation		
Demand Management		
MM TRA-1. Payment of	See the Land Use Impact.	s items, above.
Transportation Impact		
Fees		
·	racteristics and Developm	
Activities and Impacts within the Scope of the Program/PEIR	State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(1)	Does the proposed project involve a project site with sensitive or unusual environmental characteristics, or require unusual development activities, which will result in a significant environmental impact that was not evaluated in the PEIR? Examples of unusual environmental characteristics or development activities which might cause a significant environmental impact include, but are not limited to: • construction of a bridge across a riparian corridor that supports listed species protected under the Federal or California endangered species acts, in order to gain access to a project site; • structural development that cannot be screened from a public viewing location pursuant to the requirements of PEIR mitigation measure MM AV-1 (Screening Requirements); or • development activities that will have a significant impact on cultural resources, which cannot be mitigated to a less-than-significant level pursuant to the County's Environmental Thresholds and Guidelines Manual (March 2018).
		☐ Yes ⊠ No

LUDC = Land Use and Development Code; Chapter 35, Article 35.1 et seq., of the Santa Barbara County Code Article II = Coastal Zoning Ordinance; Chapter 35, Article II, § 35-50 et seq., of the Santa Barbara County Code CLUP = Santa Barbara County Coastal Land Use Plan

State CEQA Guidelines = California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, § 15000 et seq.

C.1.1 Environmental Document Determination

Check the appropriate box below, based on the responses to the questions and requests for information set forth in the checklist in § C.1, above, and pursuant to the requirements set forth in State CEQA Guidelines §§ 15162 and 15168.

State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) Checklist for Commercial Cannabis Land Use Entitlement and Licensing **Applications** Page 8 X All of the environmental impacts of the proposed commercial cannabis operation are within the scope of the PEIR, and a subsequent environmental document is not required to evaluate the environmental impacts of the proposed commercial cannabis operation. • Certification is certification and the PEIR is certified for all purposes. The PEIR's certification is not limited to particular purposes or particular areas of the County. The Coastal Commission considered the County's PEIR, and reached their own conclusion using their certified regulatory program, and found the PEIR consistent with the County of Santa Barbara's Local Coastal Program. When the County of Santa Barbara takes action on cannabis entitlements in the Coastal Zone, the County of Santa Barbara relies on both the PEIR and the Local Coastal Program in making consistency findings. The proposed commercial cannabis operation will have environmental effects that were not examined in the PEIR, and an initial study must be prepared to determine whether a subsequent

r negative declaration must be prepared.	
BL	12/9/2022
Signature of Preparer of § C.1	Date
	Bli

C.2 Mitigation Measures/Requirements for CEO Staff Review

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement			
Air Quality and Greenho	Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions				
MM UE-2a. Energy Conservation Best Management Practices	BLO § 50-10(b)	Does the proposed project include the implementation of the required energy conservation plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
MM UE-2b. Participation in a Renewable Energy Choice Program	BLO § 50-10(b)2.ii	Does the proposed project include participation in a renewable energy choice program to meet the applicable energy reduction goals for the proposed project? ☐ Yes ☐ No			
MM UE-2c. Plan review by the County Green Building Committee	BLO § 50-10(b)2.iii.K	Did the County Green Building Committee review the proposed project? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A If so, does the proposed project conform to the recommendations of the County Green Building			
		Committee? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A			
Utilities and Energy Cons	servation				
MM UE-2a. Energy Conservation Best Management Practices	See the Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.				
MM UE-2b. Participation in a Renewable Energy Choice Program	See the Air Quality and G	reenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.			
MM UE-2c. Licensing by the County Green Building Committee	See the Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.				
Unusual Project Site Cha	racteristics and Developm	ent Activities			
Activities and Impacts within the Scope of the Program/PEIR	State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(1)	Does the proposed project involve a project site with sensitive or unusual environmental characteristics, or require unusual development activities, which will result in a significant environmental impact that was not evaluated in the PEIR? Examples of unusual environmental characteristics or development activities which might cause a significant environmental impact include, but are not limited to:			
		 construction of a bridge across a riparian corridor that supports listed species protected under the Federal or California 			

State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) Checklist for Commercial Cannabis Land Use Entitlement and Licensing Applications

Page 10

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
		 endangered species acts, in order to gain access to a project site; structural development that cannot be screened from a public viewing location pursuant to the requirements of PEIR mitigation measure MM AV-1 (Screening Requirements); or development activities that will have a significant impact on cultural resources, which cannot be mitigated to a less-than-significant level pursuant to the County's Environmental Thresholds and Guidelines Manual (March 2018).
		☐ Yes ☐ No

^{*} BLO = Commercial Cannabis Business Licensing Ordinance; Chapter 50, § 50-1 et seq., of the Santa Barbara County Code
State CEQA Guidelines = California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, § 15000 et seq.

C.2.1 Environmental Document Determination

Check the appropriate box below, based on the responses to the questions and requests for information set forth in the checklist in § C.2, above, and pursuant to the requirements set forth in State CEQA Guidelines §§ 15162 and 15168.

	scope of the PEIR, and a subs	cts of the proposed commercial cannabis operati equent environmental document is not required proposed commercial cannabis operation.		
	examined in the PEIR, and an i	mercial cannabis operation will have environmental effects that were not R, and an initial study must be prepared to determine whether a subsequent act report or negative declaration must be prepared.		
 Name	of Preparer of § C.2	Signature of Preparer of § C.2	Date	

Attachment 1

Additional Information for the Proposed Cannabis Activity CEQA Environmental Determination

The following discussion supports the determinations made in the Checklist for the G&K Processing Warehouse (Proposed Project), pursuant to the requirements of the State CEQA Guidelines §§ 15168(c) and 15162. The State CEQA Guidelines §§ 15168(c)(1) and -(2) state:

- (1) If a later activity would have effects that were not examined in the program EIR, a new Initial Study would need to be prepared leading to either an EIR or a Negative Declaration. That later analysis may tier from the program EIR as provided in Section 15152.
- (2) If the agency finds that pursuant to Section 15162, no subsequent EIR would be required, the agency can approve the activity as being within the scope of the project covered by the program EIR, and no new environmental document would be required. Whether a later activity is within the scope of a program EIR is a factual question that the lead agency determines based on substantial evidence in the record. Factors that an agency may consider in making that determination include, but are not limited to, consistency of the later activity with the type of allowable land use, overall planned density and building intensity, geographic area analyzed for environmental impacts, and covered infrastructure, as described in the program EIR.

The requirements of the State CEQA Guidelines § 15168 and 15162 are set forth below, along with an analysis of the Proposed Project with regard to these requirements. The following analysis supplements the information set forth in the State CEQA Guidelines § 15168 checklist prepared for the Proposed Project.

State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(1)

As discussed below, the PEIR analyzed the environmental impacts of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The effects of this particular Project were anticipated and examined in the PEIR and there are no project-specific effects that were not examined in the program EIR. Therefore, no new initial study is required and the PEIR can be relied upon for this Project based upon the checklist prepared pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines 15168(c)(4).

State CEQA Guidelines § 15162

State CEQA Guidelines § 15162 states that when a lead agency has prepared an EIR for a project, no subsequent EIR shall be prepared for that project unless the lead agency determines, on the basis of substantial evidence in the light of the whole record, that certain conditions exist. The specific conditions that warrant the preparation of a subsequent EIR are set forth below, with an analysis of the proposed project immediately following the respective condition.

(1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

The Proposed Project includes a request for a commercial cannabis cultivation activity that was anticipated and evaluated in the PEIR. The Proposed Project site is zoned AG-I-10, which is one of the zones that was evaluated for proposed cannabis cultivation activities in the PEIR (PEIR page 2-36, Table 2-5). Furthermore, the Carpinteria Valley region in which the Proposed Project site is located was one of five regions identified in the PEIR for organizing the data and analyzing the impacts of the Program (Ibid, page 2-5).

As discussed below, the Proposed Project consists of an activity the impacts of which were disclosed in, the PEIR. Cannabis processing is a cannabis activity that was anticipated to occur on AG zoned lands, such as the AG-I zoned lands which exist in the Carpinteria Valley region in which the Proposed Project site is located. The PEIR evaluated the potential increases in employment, traffic, noise, air emissions (including odors), etc., that would result from the Proposed Project and other commercial cannabis activities allowed under the Program. There is nothing unusual about the proposed cultivation and processing activities, as these are considered standard agricultural practices in the Carpinteria Valley are and the AG-I zone district. The PEIR also evaluated the potential effects on groundwater quality and availability. Therefore, the Proposed Project will not result in substantial changes to the Program which will require major revisions of the PEIR, due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

(2) Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

Currently, there are approximately 40 land use entitlement applications involving proposed or permitted cannabis activities located in the Carpinteria Valley area (Santa Barbara County Interactive Map for Cannabis, available at

https://sbcopad.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=f287d128ab684ba4a87f1b9cf f438f91, accessed on March 30, 2022). The PEIR anticipated that certain areas in which cannabis activities historically have occurred would continue to experience cannabis activities under the Program. Furthermore, the PEIR projected the demand for cannabis cultivation that could occur under the Program, based on information that was known at the time the PEIR was prepared. The Program that was analyzed in the PEIR did not include a cap or other requirement to limit either the concentration or total amount of cannabis activities that could occur within any of the zones that were under consideration for cannabis activities (PEIR, pages 3-3, 3-5, 3-12, 3.1-19, and 3.12-26).¹ Although the PEIR did not predict the specific commercial cannabis applications on the properties located on and around the Proposed Project site, the programmatic analysis was broad enough to account for this pattern of development that has resulted from the Program. Therefore, the number and/or location of the commercial cannabis activities that have been either permitted or are currently under consideration within the general area of the Proposed Project site, do not constitute a substantial change with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken.

¹ The PEIR states, "...[T]he impact analysis in this EIR assumes that **future cannabis activity licenses would not be limited under the Project**, with the total area permitted to be unincorporated areas Countywide that are under County jurisdiction (excludes incorporated cities, state, federal, and tribal lands) (PEIR, page 3-5, emphasis added)."

Furthermore, the potential concentration of cannabis activities near the Proposed Project site will not create new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects evaluated in the PEIR. The PEIR evaluated the cumulative impacts to which cannabis activities, as well as other pending, recently approved, and reasonably foreseeable non-cannabis projects, would contribute (Ibid, page 3-11, Section 3.0.4). The PEIR concluded that unavoidable and significant (Class I) impacts would result from the Program with regard to the following environmental resources or issues:

- Aesthetics and visual resources
- Agricultural resources
- Air quality (including odor impacts)
- Noise
- Transportation and traffic

The Board of Supervisors adopted a Statement of Overriding Considerations concluding that the benefits of the Program outweigh the unavoidable adverse environmental effects identified above.

The Proposed Project is compatible with the surrounding zone districts and heavy agricultural uses. The Proposed Project consists of cannabis cultivation within existing greenhouses and existing processing building. The greenhouses and processing building were permitted during the 1970's and 1980's. The only new development included in the project is the validation of an existing mobile home as an Accessory Dwelling Unit. All structures will be shielded from public views by existing and proposed landscaping.

The proposed Project would contribute to cumulative impacts on air quality and transportation/traffic. The proposed Project would be subject to the mitigation measures set forth in the PEIR to reduce the proposed Project's contribution to these cumulative impacts. These mitigation measures include implementation of a Site Transportation Demand Management Plan to reduce vehicle trips generated by proposed Project, and implementation of an Odor Abatement Plan to prevent cannabis odors from being experienced within residential zones.

These are no new impacts resulting from a substantial change in the Program. As stated above, the Proposed Project is an activity that was anticipated to result from the Program and, consequently, the impacts associated with the Proposed Project were disclosed in the PEIR. As such, the PEIR analysis of cumulative impacts accounted for the impacts from the Proposed Project.

Therefore, no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the Project is undertaken under the Program which will require major revisions of the PEIR, due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

- (3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, shows any of the following:
 - (A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;

The PEIR evaluated the direct and indirect impacts of the Program as well as cumulative impacts that would result from the implementation of the Program. More specifically, the PEIR identified the following unavoidably significant (Class I) impacts that would result from the Program:

- Cumulative impacts to aesthetics and visual resources
- Cumulative impacts to agricultural resources
- Project-specific and cumulative impacts to air resources (including odors)
- Project-specific and cumulative noise impacts
- Project-specific and cumulative transportation and traffic impacts

The PEIR also identified the following significant but mitigable (Class II) impacts that would result from the Program:

- Project-specific impacts to aesthetics and visual resources
- Project-specific impacts to agricultural resources
- Project-specific and cumulative impacts to biological resources
- Project-specific impacts to cultural resources
- Project-specific impacts related to hazards and hazardous materials
- Project-specific impacts related to hydrology and water quality
- Project-specific land use impacts
- Project-specific impacts related to utilities and energy conservation

The PEIR identified a number of mitigation measures to reduce the significant impacts that would result from the implementation of the Program. The mitigation measures were included as development standards and other regulations of Chapters 35 and 50 of the County Code, which are applied to commercial cannabis activities resulting from the Program. As shown in Section C of the State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) checklist that was prepared for the Proposed Project, the Proposed Project would be subject to the applicable mitigation measures that were included as development standards and other regulations of Chapters 35 and 50 of the County Code.

As stated above, the PEIR did not assume that there would be a cap or other limitation on activities or location. Therefore, although the PEIR did not predict the specific commercial cannabis applications on the properties located on and around the Proposed Project site, the programmatic analysis was broad enough to account for this pattern of development that has resulted from the Program. Furthermore, the concentration of commercial cannabis activities will not result in a new significant impact which was not disclosed in the PEIR. The cumulative impacts associated with aesthetics and visual resources, agricultural resources, air resources (including odors), noise, and traffic resulting from the Proposed Project and other proposed projects located within proximity to the Proposed Project site were discussed in the PEIR.

The Proposed Project includes a Site Transportation Demand Management Plan and Odor Abatement Plan. As such, the Proposed Project will not have any new impacts which were not discussed in the PEIR, because there is nothing unusual about the proposed development or the project site.

Therefore, there is no new information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the PEIR was certified, which shows that the Proposed Project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the PEIR.

(B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR;

As stated above, the Proposed Project consists of a cannabis activity that was analyzed as part of the Program studied in the PEIR. There are no unique features of the Proposed Project such that the Proposed Project could cause more severe impacts than shown in the PEIR. The PEIR analyzed the impacts of cannabis processing on AG zoned lots within the Carpinteria Valley region. As shown in Section C of the State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) checklist that was prepared for the Proposed Project, the Proposed Project complies with the applicable mitigation measures.

Furthermore, the PEIR did not assume that there would be a cap or other limitation on activities or location. Although the PEIR did not predict the specific commercial cannabis applications on the properties located on and around the Proposed Project site, the programmatic analysis was broad enough to account for this pattern of development, and disclosed the corresponding impacts that would result.

Therefore, there is no new information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the PEIR was certified, which shows that significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the PEIR.

(C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or

There are no mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible that would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the Proposed Project, which are available at this time for the project proponents to consider.

(D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

There is no new information that was not known and could not have been known at the time the PEIR was certified that shows any mitigation measures or alternatives that are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR that would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment. Further, the project applicant agrees to adopt all applicable mitigation measures as demonstrated by Section C.1 of the 15168(c)(4) Checklist

6030 Casitas Pass Cannabis Cultivation, Case No. 19CDP-00000-00015 State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) Checklist for Commercial Cannabis Land Use Entitlement and Licensing Applications Page A-6

hereby incorporated into this attachment. The Site Transportation Demand Management Plan and Odor Abatement Plan have been incorporated into the Proposed Project.

ATTACHMENT 2

FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL AND STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATION CANNABIS LAND USE ORDINANCES February 6, 2018

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010, 17ORD-00000-0009, 18ORD-00000-0001, and 17EIR-00000-00003

1.0 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) FINDINGS

1.1 FINDINGS PURSUANT TO PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE SECTION 21081 AND THE CEQA GUIDELINES SECTIONS 15090, 15091, AND 15163:

1.1.1 CONSIDERATION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

The Board of Supervisors (Board) find that the Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (EIR) (17EIR-00000-00003) dated December 2017, and EIR Revision Letter (RV 01), dated January 4, 2018, were presented to the Board and all voting members of the Board reviewed and considered the information contained in the EIR and its appendices and RV 01 prior to approving the project. In addition, all voting members of the Board have reviewed and considered testimony and additional information presented at, or prior to, its public hearings. The EIR, appendices, and RV 01 reflect the independent judgment and analysis of the Board and are adequate for this project. Attachments 7 and 8, of the Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, are incorporated herein by reference.

1.1.2 FULL DISCLOSURE

The Board finds and certifies that the EIR, appendices, and RV 01 constitute a complete, accurate, adequate, and good faith effort at full disclosure pursuant to CEQA. The Board further finds and certifies that the EIR, appendices, and RV 01 were completed in compliance with CEQA.

1.1.3 LOCATION OF RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

The documents and other materials which constitute the record of proceedings upon which this decision is based are in the custody of the Planning and Development Department located at 123 East Anapamu Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93101.

1.1.4 ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTING AND MONITORING PROGRAM

Public Resources Code Section 21081.6 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15091(d) and 15097 require the County to adopt a reporting or monitoring program for the changes to the project that it has adopted or made a condition of approval in order to avoid or substantially lessen significant effects on the environment. The EIR has been prepared as a program EIR pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15168. The degree of specificity in the EIR corresponds to the specificity of the general or program level policies of the project and to the effects that may be expected to follow from the adoption of the project.

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 2

A detailed Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) has been provided in Section 7.0 of the EIR, incorporated herein by reference, and all mitigation measures identified in the MMRP have been incorporated directly into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program as shown in Attachments 1, 2, 3, 6 and 13 of the Board letter dated February 6, 2018, incorporated herein by reference, and into the resolution and amendments to the Uniform Rules for Agricultural Preserves and Farmland Security Zones as shown in Attachment 5 of the Board letter dated February 6, 2018, incorporated herein by reference. To ensure compliance with adopted mitigation measures during implementation of Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program the County Land Use and Development Code (LUDC), Montecito Land Use and Development Code (MLUDC) and the Coastal Zoning Ordinance (CZO) amendments include requirements that future development projects comply with each policy, action, or development standard required by each adopted mitigation measure in the MMRP, as applicable to the type of proposed development. Therefore, the Board adopts the MMRP to comply with Public Resource Code Section 21081.6 and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15097, and finds that the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program's above referenced ordinance amendments in the LUDC, MLUCD, and CZO are sufficient for a monitoring and reporting program.

1.1.5 FINDINGS THAT CERTAIN UNAVOIDABLE IMPACTS¹ ARE MITIGATED TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT FEASIBLE

The EIR (17EIR-00000-00003), its appendices, and EIR Revision Letter (RV 01), for the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program identify several environmental impacts which cannot be fully mitigated and, therefore, are considered unavoidable (Class I). These impacts involve: agricultural resources; air quality and greenhouse gas emissions; noise; transportation and traffic; and aesthetic and visual resources. To the extent the impacts remain significant and unavoidable, such impacts are acceptable when weighed against the overriding social, economic, legal, technical, and other considerations set forth in the Statement of Overriding Considerations included herein. For each of these Class I impacts described in the EIR, feasible changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the significant environmental effects to the maximum extent feasible, as discussed below. The Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, and its attachments are incorporated by reference.

Agricultural Resources

<u>Impacts</u>: The EIR identified significant project-specific and cumulative impacts related to the conversion of prime agricultural soils to a non-agricultural use or the impairment of agricultural land productivity (Impact AG-2).

¹ The discussion of impacts related to aesthetics and visual resources discussed in this section of these findings (below), addresses both the unavoidable cumulative impacts (Class I), as well as the project-specific impacts found to be significant but mitigable to a less-than-significant level (Class II), that are set forth in the EIR.

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 3

<u>Mitigation</u>: Mitigation Measure AG-2 requires that any new structures proposed for cannabis site development are sited on areas of the property that do not contain prime soils, to the maximum extent feasible. During the review of applications for cannabis site development, the County Planning and Development Department shall review the proposed location of any new structures proposed for cannabis-related structural development to ensure that they would avoid prime agricultural soils on-site. No other feasible mitigation measures are known that will further reduce impacts. Under a reasonable buildout scenario for cannabis related development, impacts to prime soils will remain significant and unavoidable.

Cumulative impacts to agricultural resources are mitigated to the maximum extent feasible with measure MM AG-2. Program approval would contribute to cumulative agricultural impacts associated with pending and future growth and development projects Countywide. The combined effect of cumulative development is anticipated to result in significant and unavoidable cumulative impacts to agricultural resources.

<u>Findings</u>: The Board finds that the feasible mitigation measure (MM AG-2) has been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program to reduce the significant environmental effects identified in the EIR to the maximum extent feasible. This mitigation measure will be implemented during the review of entitlement applications for cannabis development, to mitigate project-specific and cumulative impacts to agricultural resources to the maximum extent feasible. However, even with this mitigation measure, impacts to agricultural resources (Impact AG-2) will remain significant and unavoidable. Therefore, the Board finds the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program's residual impacts to agricultural resources are acceptable due to the overriding considerations discussed in the Statement of Overriding Considerations in Finding 1.1.8 below.

Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions

<u>Impacts</u>: The EIR identified significant project-specific and cumulative impacts related to air quality and greenhouse gas emissions from future cannabis activities that would be permitted if the Project is approved. Specifically, the EIR identified the following adverse and unavoidable effects: inconsistency with the Clean Air Plan (Impact AQ-1), traffic generated emissions (Impact AQ-3), inconsistency with the Energy and Climate Action Plan (Impact AQ-4), and exposure of sensitive receptors to objectionable odors (Impact AQ-5).

<u>Mitigation</u>: The EIR identifies two mitigation measures, MM AQ-3 and MM AQ-5 to reduce impacts associated with traffic-generated emissions and objectionable odors, respectively.

MM AQ-3 requires that cannabis Permittees implement feasible transportation demand management (TDM) measures that reduce vehicle travel to and from their proposed sites. Each Permittee must consider location, total employees, hours of operation, site access and transportation routes, and trip origins and destinations associated with the cannabis operation. Once these are identified, the Permittee is required to identify a range of TDM measures as feasible for County review and approval. No other feasible mitigation measures are known that will further reduce traffic-generated emissions impacts. Under a reasonable buildout

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 4

scenario for cannabis related development, impacts from traffic-generated emissions will not be fully mitigated and will remain significant and unavoidable.

MM AQ-5 requires that cannabis licensees implement feasible odor abatement plans (OAPs) consistent with Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District requirements and subject to the review and approval of the County. No other feasible mitigation measures are known that will further reduce odor impacts. Under a reasonable buildout scenario for cannabis-related development, impacts from objectionable odors will not be fully mitigated and will remain significant and unavoidable.

Cumulative impacts related to air quality and greenhouse gas emissions are mitigated to the maximum extent feasible with measures MM AQ-3 and MM AQ-5. Since the Project is inconsistent with the Clean Air Plan and the Energy and Climate Action Plan, and the County is anticipated to remain in non-attainment, the Project's contribution to cumulative air quality impacts would be cumulatively considerable and, therefore, significant and unavoidable (Class I).

<u>Findings</u>: The Board finds that feasible mitigation measures (MM AQ-3 and MM AQ-5) have been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program to reduce the significant environmental effects identified in the EIR to the maximum extent feasible. These mitigation measures are implemented during project review to mitigate project-specific and cumulative impacts related to air quality and greenhouse gas emissions, to the maximum extent feasible. However, even with these mitigation measures, impacts related to inconsistency with the Clean Air Plan (Impact AQ-1), traffic generated emissions (Impact AQ-3), inconsistency with the Energy and Climate Action Plan (Impact AQ-4), and exposure of sensitive receptors to objectionable odors (Impact AQ-5), will remain significant and unavoidable. Therefore, the Board finds the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program's residual impacts related to air quality and greenhouse gas emissions are acceptable due to the overriding considerations discussed in the Statement of Overriding Considerations in Finding 1.1.8 below.

Noise

<u>Impacts</u>: The EIR identified significant project-specific and cumulative impacts to sensitive receptors from long-term increases in noise from traffic on vicinity roadways (Impact NOI-2).

<u>Mitigation</u>: As discussed above in the summary of air quality impacts, MM AQ-3 would require cannabis Permittees to implement feasible TDM measures that reduce vehicle travel to and from their proposed sites, subject to the review and approval of the County. No other feasible mitigation measures are known that will further reduce impacts. Under a reasonable buildout scenario for cannabis-related development, impacts to sensitive receptors from long-term noise increases from Project traffic will not be fully mitigated and will remain significant and unavoidable.

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 5

Cumulative impacts to sensitive receptors from traffic-generated noise are mitigated to the maximum extent feasible with measure MM AQ-3. The Project has the potential to contribute to cumulative noise impacts from roadway noise effects on ambient noise levels in the County. Combined with other development, increased vehicle trips could increase congestion and daily travel on roadways in rural areas that experience relatively minimal traffic noise. As the Project's contribution would be cumulatively considerable, even with implementation of MM AQ-3 to require reduced employee trips through TDM measures, cumulative impacts from the Project would be significant and unavoidable.

<u>Findings</u>: The Board finds that the feasible mitigation measure (MM AQ-3) has been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program to reduce the significant environmental effects identified in the EIR, to the maximum extent feasible. This mitigation measure will be implemented during the review of entitlement applications for cannabis activities, in order to mitigate project-specific and cumulative impacts to sensitive receptors from traffic generated noise, to the maximum extent feasible. However, even with this mitigation measure, noise impacts related to long-term noise increases (Impact NOI-2) will remain significant and unavoidable. Therefore, the Board finds the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program's residual noise impacts are acceptable due to the overriding considerations discussed in the Statement of Overriding Considerations in Finding 1.1.8 below.

Transportation and Traffic

<u>Impacts</u>: The EIR identified significant project-specific and cumulative impacts related to transportation and traffic from future cannabis activities that would be permitted if the Project is approved. The following adverse and unavoidable effects were identified: increases of traffic and daily vehicle miles of travel that affect the performance of the existing and planned circulation system (Impact TRA-1), and adverse changes to the traffic safety environment (Impact TRA-2).

Mitigation: The EIR identifies two mitigation measures, MM AQ-3 and MM TRA-1, to reduce impacts associated with traffic.

As discussed above in the summary of air quality impacts, MM AQ-3 would require cannabis Permittees to implement feasible TDM measures that reduce vehicle travel to and from their proposed sites, subject to the review and approval of the County. No other feasible mitigation measures are known that will further reduce these traffic impacts. Under a reasonable buildout scenario for cannabis-related development, impacts from traffic will not be fully mitigated and will remain significant and unavoidable.

MM TRA-1 requires that cannabis Permittees pay into the County's existing Development Impact Mitigation Fee Program, at an appropriate level (e.g., Retail Commercial and Other Nonresidential Development) in effect at the time of permit issuance for the County and Goleta and Orcutt Planning Areas to improve performance of the circulation system. No other feasible mitigation measures are known that will further reduce these traffic impacts. Under a

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 6

reasonable buildout scenario for cannabis related development, impacts from traffic will not be fully mitigated and will remain significant and unavoidable.

Cumulative impacts related to traffic would be mitigated to the maximum extent feasible with measures MM AQ-3 and MM TRA-1. The Project's contribution to cumulative changes in the transportation environment as a result of generation of new vehicle trips could still result in exceedances of acceptable road segment or intersection Level of Service, as well as inconsistency with the Regional Transportation Plan-Sustainable Communities Strategy. Therefore, the proposed Project would make a cumulatively considerable contribution to a significant cumulative traffic impact, and impacts are considered significant and unavoidable.

<u>Findings</u>: The Board finds that feasible mitigation measures (MM AQ-3 and MM TRA-1) have been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program to reduce the significant environmental effects identified in the EIR, to the maximum extent feasible. These mitigation measures will be implemented during the review of entitlement applications for cannabis activities in order to mitigate project-specific and cumulative impacts related to traffic, to the maximum extent feasible. However, even with these mitigation measures, increases of traffic and daily vehicle miles of travel that affect the performance of the existing and planned circulation system (Impact TRA-1) and adverse changes to the traffic safety environment (Impact TRA-2) would remain significant and unavoidable. Therefore, the Board finds the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program's residual impacts related to traffic are acceptable due to the overriding considerations discussed in the Statement of Overriding Considerations in Finding 1.1.8 below.

Aesthetics/Visual Resources

<u>Impacts</u>: Although the EIR identifies that project-specific impacts to County scenic resources would be mitigated to a less-than-significant level, it also found that Project-related future development in combination with other County projects and plans would contribute considerably to aesthetic and visual impacts. Thus, potential cumulative impacts resulting from changes to scenic resources and existing character would be significant and unavoidable.

Mitigation: Mitigation Measure MM AV-1 would reduce direct visual impacts associated with hoop structures and ancillary development for cannabis cultivation, such as fencing, by requiring appropriate screening in compliance with the land use entitlement (e.g., LUP, CDP, or CUP) that would be required for the cannabis operation. To the maximum extent feasible, screening for cannabis cultivation sites shall consist of natural barriers and deterrents to enable wildlife passage, prevent trespass from humans, and shall be visually consistent, to the maximum extent possible, with surrounding lands. Screening requirements would be set forth in the conditions of, and on the plans related to, the entitlement for the cannabis operation. While project-specific impacts to aesthetics/visual resources will be less-than-significant (Class II) with implementation of this mitigation measure, cumulative impacts would remain significant and unavoidable (Class I).

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 7

<u>Findings</u>: The Board finds that the feasible mitigation measure (MM AV-1) has been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program to reduce the significant environmental effects identified in the EIR, to the maximum extent feasible. This mitigation measure will be implemented during the review of entitlement applications for cannabis operations in order to mitigate project-specific impacts to a less-than-significant level. However, even with this mitigation measure, the Project's contribution to significant cumulative visual impacts would remain cumulatively considerable, and would be significant and unavoidable. Therefore, the Board finds the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program's residual cumulative impacts to aesthetic and visual resources are acceptable due to the overriding considerations discussed in the Statement of Overriding Considerations in Finding 1.1.8 below.

1.1.6 FINDINGS THAT CERTAIN IMPACTS ARE MITIGATED TO INSIGNIFICANCE BY MITIGATION MEASURES

The EIR (17EIR-00000-00003), its appendices, and EIR Revision Letter (RV 01), for the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program, identify several subject areas for which the project is considered to cause or contribute to significant, but mitigable environmental impacts (Class II). For each of these Class II impacts identified by the EIR, feasible changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which avoid or substantially lessen the significant environmental effect, as discussed below.

Aesthetics/Visual Resources

As discussed in Section 1.1.4 of these findings (above), the EIR identified potentially significant but mitigable project-specific impacts to County scenic resources from development associated with cannabis cultivation (Impact AV-1). The Board finds that implementation of MM AV-1 would reduce the significant project-specific environmental effects related to aesthetic and visual resources (Impact AV-1) to a less-than-significant level (Class II).

Agricultural Resources

<u>Impacts</u>: The EIR identified potentially significant but mitigable project-specific impacts as a result of potential land use incompatibility from manufacturing and distribution uses on agriculturally zoned lands (Impact AG-1).

<u>Mitigation</u>: MM AG-1 would require cannabis Permittees for manufacturing or distribution on lands designated for agricultural use (e.g., AG-I and AG-II), to cultivate cannabis on-site and have approval for a cultivation license. The requirement would specify that non-cultivation activities must be clearly ancillary and subordinate to the cultivation activities on-site so that the majority of cannabis product manufactured and/or distributed from a cannabis site is sourced from cannabis plant material cultivated on the same site. The requirement would also specify that the accessory use must occupy a smaller footprint than the area dedicated to cannabis cultivation. Further, the requirement would apply to microbusiness licenses (Type

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 8

12) to ensure that proposed manufacturing or distribution would be ancillary and subordinate to the proposed cultivation area.

<u>Findings</u>: The Board finds that MM AG-1 has been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The Board finds that implementation of MM AG-1 will reduce the significant project-specific environmental effects related to incompatibility with existing zoning for agricultural uses (Impact AG-1) to a less-than-significant level (Class II).

Biological Resources

<u>Impacts</u>: The EIR identified the following potentially significant but mitigable project-specific impacts from future cannabis activities: adverse effects on unique, rare, threatened, or endangered plant or wildlife species (Impact BIO-1); adverse effects on habitats or sensitive natural communities (Impact BIO-2); adverse effects on the movement or patterns of any native resident or migratory species (Impact BIO-3); and conflicts with adopted local plans, policies, or ordinances oriented towards the protection and conservation of biological resources (Impact BIO-4).

<u>Mitigation</u>: The EIR identifies several mitigation measures that would reduce potentially significant impacts to a less-than-significant level.

MM BIO-1a would require applicants who apply for a cannabis permit for a site that would involve pruning, damage, or removal of a native tree or shrub, to submit a Tree Protection Plan (TPP) prepared by a County-approved arborist/biologist. The TPP would set forth specific avoidance, minimization, or compensatory measures, as necessary, given site-specific conditions and the specific cannabis operation for which the applicant would be requesting a permit.

MM BIO-1b would require applicants who apply for a cannabis permit for a site that would involve clearing of sensitive native vegetation, to submit a Habitat Protection Plan (HPP) prepared by a County-approved biologist. The HPP would set forth specific avoidance, minimization, or compensatory measures, as necessary, given site-specific conditions and the specific cannabis operation for which the applicant would be requesting a permit.

MM BIO-3, Wildlife Movement Plan, would be required for outdoor cultivation sites that would include fencing. The Wildlife Movement Plan would analyze proposed fencing in relation to the surrounding opportunities for migration, identify the type, material, length, and design of proposed fencing, and identify non-disruptive, wildlife-friendly fencing, such as post and rail fencing, wire fencing, and/or high-tensile electric fencing, to be used to allow passage by smaller animals and prevent movement in and out of cultivation sites by larger mammals, such as deer. Any required fencing would also have to be consistent with the screening requirements outlined in MM AV-1, which is discussed in these findings (above).

MM HWR-1 would require applicants for cultivation permits to provide evidence of compliance with the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) requirements (or

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 9

certification by the appropriate Water Board stating a permit is not necessary). The SWRCB has drafted a comprehensive Cannabis Cultivation Policy which includes principles and guidelines for cannabis cultivation within the state. The general requirements and prohibitions included in the draft policy address a wide range of issues, from compliance with state and local permits to riparian setbacks. The draft general order also includes regulations on the use of pesticides, rodenticides, herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, disinfectants, and fertilizers.

<u>Findings</u>: The Board finds that MM BIO-1a, MM BIO-1b, MM BIO-3, and MM HWR-1 have been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The Board finds that implementation of MM BIO-1a, MM BIO-1b, MM BIO-3, and MM HWR-1 would reduce the significant project-specific environmental effects related to biological resources (Impacts BIO-1, BIO-2, BIO-3, and BIO-4) to a less-than-significant level (Class II).

In addition, the Board finds that implementation of MM BIO-1a, MM BIO-1b, MM BIO-3, and MM HWR-1 would reduce the Project's contribution to significant, cumulative impacts to biological resources, such that the Project would not make a cumulatively considerable contribution and, therefore, the Project's contribution to cumulative impacts to biological resources would be less-than-significant with mitigation (Class II).

Cultural Resources

<u>Impacts</u>: The EIR identified potentially significant but mitigable impacts to historical resources (Impact CR-1) as well as to archaeological resources, tribal cultural resources, human remains, or paleontological resources (Impact CR-2) from future cannabis activities.

<u>Mitigation</u>: The EIR identifies two mitigation measures that would reduce potentially significant impacts to a less-than-significant level.

MM CR-1 would require cannabis licensees to preserve, restore, and renovate onsite structures consistent with the requirements of CEQA and the County Cultural Resources Guidelines. This mitigation measure requires an applicant for a cannabis permit to retain a qualified historian to perform a Phase I survey, and if necessary, a Phase II significance assessment and identify appropriate preservation and restoration/renovation activities for significant onsite structures in compliance with the provisions of the most current County Cultural Resources Guidelines.

MM CR-2 would require a Phase I archaeological and paleontological survey in compliance with the provisions of the County Cultural Resources Guidelines for areas of proposed ground disturbance. If the cannabis development has the potential to adversely affect significant resources, the applicant would be required to retain a Planning and Development Department-approved archaeologist to prepare and complete a Phase II subsurface testing program in coordination with the Planning and Development Department. If the Phase II program finds that significant impacts may still occur, the applicant would be required to retain a Planning and Development Department-approved archaeologist to prepare and complete a Phase III

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 10

proposal for data recovery excavation. All work would be required to be consistent with County Cultural Resources Guidelines. The applicant would be required to fund all work.

<u>Findings</u>: The Board finds that the feasible MM CR-1 and MM CR-2 have been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The Board finds that implementation of MM CR-1 and MM CR-2 would reduce the significant project-specific effects related to cultural resources (Impacts CR-1 and CR-2) to a less-than-significant level (Class II).

Hydrology and Water Resources

<u>Impacts</u>: The EIR identified potentially significant but mitigable impacts to surface water quality (Impact HWR-1) as well as groundwater quality (Impact HWR-2) from future cannabis activities.

<u>Mitigation</u>: MM HWR-1 would require applicants for cultivation licenses to provide evidence of compliance with the SWRCB requirements (or certification by the Regional Water Quality Control Board stating that a permit is not necessary). The SWRCB has drafted a comprehensive Cannabis Cultivation Policy which includes principles and guidelines for cannabis cultivation within the state. The general requirements and prohibitions included in the draft policy address a wide range of issues, from compliance with state and local permits to riparian setbacks. The draft general order also includes regulations on the use of pesticides, rodenticides, herbicides, insecticides, fungicides, disinfectants, and fertilizers.

<u>Findings</u>: The Board finds that the feasible MM HWR-1 has been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The Board finds that implementation of MM HWR-1 would reduce the significant project-specific effects related to surface water quality (Impact HWR-1) and groundwater quality (Impact HWR-2) to a less-than-significant level (Class II).

Land Use

<u>Impacts</u>: The EIR identified potentially significant but mitigable impacts related to conflicts with an applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation, specifically with regard to conflicts with public land uses (Impact LU-1).

<u>Mitigation</u>: MM LU-1 would establish a regulation prohibiting cannabis activities on publicly owned lands within the County.

<u>Findings</u>: The Board finds that the feasible MM LU-1 has been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The Board finds that implementation of MM LU-1 would reduce the significant project-specific effects related to conflicts with uses on public lands (Impact LU-1) to a less-than-significant level (Class II).

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 11

Utilities and Energy Conservation

<u>Impacts</u>: The EIR identified potentially significant but mitigable impacts related to increased demand for new energy resources (Impact UE-2) from future cannabis activities.

<u>Mitigation</u>: The EIR identifies several mitigation measures that would reduce potentially significant impacts to a less-than-significant level.

MM UE-2a would require cannabis licensees to implement energy conservation best management practices to the maximum extent feasible. This would include the use of renewable energy sources and energy efficient development and operations.

MM UE-2b would require that cannabis licensees participate in a Regional Renewable Choice (RRC) program, Green Rate program, Community Renewable program, or similar equivalent renewable energy program, if feasible.

MM UE-2c would encourage cannabis Permittees to participate in the Smart Build Santa Barbara (SB2) Program as part of the permit review process. This measure would ensure that Permittees receive direction on feasible energy conservation measures, incentives, or other energy-saving techniques.

<u>Findings</u>: The Board finds that the MM UE-2a, MM UE-2b, and MM UE-2c have been incorporated into the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The Board finds that implementation of MM UE-2a, MM UE-2b, and MM UE-2c would reduce the significant project-specific effects related to increased demand for new energy resources (Impact UE-2) to a less-than-significant level (Class II).

1.1.7 FINDINGS THAT IDENTIFIED PROJECT ALTERNATIVES ARE NOT FEASIBLE

The EIR (17EIR-00000-00003) evaluated a no project alternative and three additional alternatives (Alternative 1 - Exclusion of Cannabis Activities from the AG-I Zone District, Alternative 2 - Preclusion of Cannabis Activities from Williamson Act Land, and Alternative 3 - Reduced Registrants) as methods of reducing or eliminating significant environmental impacts. The Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, and its attachments are incorporated by reference. The Board finds that the identified alternatives are infeasible for the reasons stated.

1. No Project Alternative

The No Project Alternative addresses the potential environmental impacts that could result if the proposed Project is not adopted and the mitigation measures of the Project are not implemented. Under the No Project Alternative, the direct impacts associated with licensing of an expanded cannabis industry would not occur. However, this alternative would not address unregulated and illegal cannabis activities, and would not offer an avenue for licensing and permitting. Thus, it is likely that illegal cannabis activities would continue to

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 12

exist. Under the No Project Alternative, existing County law enforcement would continue on a primarily response-to-complaints and call-for-service basis. Over the more than three decades of local, state and federal law enforcement activities cannabis cultivation and related activities have not been eradicated. Even with local, state, and federal participation in cannabis law enforcement, as well as pending state-level regulations and programs developed from MAUCRSA, the illicit cultivation and sale of cannabis in California and the County would likely continue to be a major illicit business. Therefore, there would be no orderly development, nor oversight of cannabis activities within the County, with potential for expanded illegal activities.

Under the No Project Alternative, aesthetic/visual and agricultural resource impacts would likely be reduced. However, potential impacts related to air quality, biology, cultural resources, geology and soils, hazards, hydrology, land use, public services, transportation, and utilities/energy would be more severe under the No Project Alternative.

The No Project Alternative fails to achieve the objectives of the project. Therefore, the Board finds that the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) is preferable to the No Project Alternative.

2. Alternative 1: Exclusion of Cannabis Activities from the AG-I Zone District

Under Alternative 1 - the Exclusion of Cannabis Activities from the AG-I Zone District, cannabis-related activities would not be allowed within the AG-I zone districts throughout the County. This would reduce the areas of eligibility in the County, particularly within the Carpinteria Valley and the Santa Ynez Valley. Alternative 1 would reduce the total amount of eligible area and sites as compared to the proposed Project, and would require substantial relocation or abandonment of existing cannabis operations. Existing cultivators would need to find locations within the reduced area of eligibility.

The classification of all impacts under Alternative 1 would be similar to those under the proposed Project, including significant and unavoidable impacts to agricultural resources; air quality and greenhouse gas emissions; noise; and transportation and traffic. Adoption of Alternative 1 would achieve most of the Project objectives, which include regulating cannabis activities within the County including: providing an efficient and clear cultivation and manufacturing permit process and regulations; and regulating sites and premises to avoid degradation of the visual setting and neighborhood character, odors, hazardous materials, and fire hazards. However, adoption of Alternative 1 would not achieve Project objectives related to development of a robust and economically viable legal cannabis industry (Objective 1), encouraging businesses to operate legally and secure a license to operate in full compliance with County and state regulations (Objective 4), and minimization of adverse effects of cultivation and manufacturing and distribution activities on the natural environment (Objective 6).

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 13

Although this alternative would be consistent with some of the objectives of the Proposed Project, it would not adequately meet Objectives 1, 4, and 6. As such, it has been found infeasible for social, economic and other reasons. The Board finds that the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) is preferable to Alternative 1.

3. Alternative 2: Preclusion of Cannabis Activities from Williamson Act Land

Alternative 2 considers environmental impacts under a modified set of licensing regulations that would reduce the area of eligibility on lands that are subject to a Williamson Act contract in the County where licenses may be issued for cannabis cultivation activities. Under Alternative 2, cannabis activities would not count towards the minimum cultivation requirements to qualify for an agricultural preserve contract pursuant to the Williamson Act; however, cannabis activities would be considered compatible uses on lands that are subject to agricultural preserve contracts. Cannabis cultivation activities would be limited to a maximum of 22,000 square feet of cannabis canopy cover for each Williamson Act contract premises. Agricultural use data for commercial production and reporting that would be used to determine compliance with minimum productive acreage and annual production value requirements would not include cannabis activities.

This alternative would result in limiting the potential for cannabis activities on over 50 percent of eligible County area, and would eliminate hundreds of potential cannabis operations from occurring on Williamson Act lands. As compared to the proposed Project, the approximate total area of eligibility for manufacturing and distribution would be reduced while retail sales and testing area would remain about the same.

Adoption of Alternative 2 would achieve some of the Project objectives which include regulating commercial cannabis cultivation, manufacturing, and distribution activities within the County, providing an efficient and clear cultivation and manufacturing permit process and regulations, and regulating sites and premises to avoid degradation of the visual setting and neighborhood character, odors, hazardous materials, and fire hazards. However, Alternative 2 would not reduce any significant impacts to a less-than-significant level. Moreover, adoption of this alternative would not achieve some of the basic Project objectives, including those related to development of a robust and economically viable legal cannabis industry (Objective 1), encouraging businesses to operate legally and secure a license to operate in full compliance with County and state regulations (Objective 4), and minimization of adverse effects of cultivation and manufacturing and distribution activities on the natural environment (Objective 6).

Although this alternative would be consistent with some of the objectives of the Proposed Project, it would not adequately meet Objectives 1, 4, and 6. As such, it has been found infeasible for social, economic, and other reasons. The Board finds that the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) is preferable to Alternative 2.

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018 Page 14

4. Alternative 3: Reduced Registrants

Under the Reduced Registrants Alternative, the total number of licenses issued by the County would consist of half of the number of each category of licenses that were indicated as part of the 2017 Cannabis Registry. This would restrict the County to issuing a total of 962 licenses (50 percent of the 1,924 identified), which would subsequently limit the representative buildout of the Project analyzed in the EIR by a commensurate 50 percent. Existing operators identified in the 2017 Cannabis Registry would be prioritized for licensing under this alternative, which would substantially reduce the net new buildout, while allowing for limited growth.

Alternative 3 would result in substantial reductions in the severity of most impacts compared to the Project, and would reduce significant and unavoidable impacts to agricultural resources to a less-than-significant level. However, it would not achieve the most basic Project objectives, including those related to development of a robust, economically viable, and legal cannabis industry (Objective 1), and encouraging businesses to operate legally and secure a license to operate in full compliance with County and state regulations (Objective 4).

Although this alternative would be consistent with some of the objectives of the Proposed Project, it would not adequately meet Objectives 1 and 4. As such, it has been found infeasible for social, economic and other reasons. The Board finds that the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) is preferable to Alternative 3.

1.1.8 STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS

The Board makes the following Statement of Overriding Considerations: The Cannabis Land Use and Licensing Program EIR (17EIR-00000-00003) found that impacts related to agricultural resources, air quality and greenhouse gas emissions, noise, transportation and traffic, and aesthetic and visual resources (cumulative) will remain significant and unavoidable (Class I). The Board has balanced "the economic, legal, social, technological, or other benefits, including region-wide or statewide environmental benefits" of the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) against these effects and makes the following Statement of Overriding Considerations, which warrants approval of the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) notwithstanding that all identified adverse environmental effects are not fully avoided or substantially lessened [CEQA Guidelines Section 15093(a)]. The Board finds that the benefits of the "proposed project outweigh the unavoidable adverse environmental effects," and therefore, "the adverse environmental effects may be considered 'acceptable'" [CEQA Guidelines Section 15093(a)].

Each of the reasons for approval cited below is a separate and independent basis that justifies approval of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. Thus, even if a court

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018 Page 15

were to set aside any particular reason or reasons, the Board finds that it would stand by its determination that each reason, or any combinations of reasons, is a sufficient basis for approving the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) notwithstanding the significant and unavoidable impacts that may occur. The substantial evidence supporting the various benefits can be found in the other Findings for Approval set forth in this document, the EIR, and in the Record of Proceedings, including, but not limited to, public comment received at the numerous public hearings listed in the incorporated Board letter dated February 6, 2018.

Pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21081(b) and CEQA Guidelines Sections 15043, 15092, and 15093, any unavoidable adverse environmental effects of the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) are acceptable due to the following environmental benefits and overriding considerations:

A. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) provides for a robust and economically viable legal cannabis industry to ensure production and availability of high quality cannabis products to help meet local demands, and, as a public benefit, improves the County's tax base. For a detailed discussion of the economic viability, see the Fiscal Analysis of the Commercial Cannabis Industry in Santa Barbara County, prepared by Hdl Companies and dated October 31, 2017 and incorporated herein by reference:

 $\underline{https://santabarbara.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F\&ID=5685428\&GUID=E6A9F289-B740-40DC-A302-B4056B72F788}$

- B. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) enhances the local economy and provides opportunities for future jobs, business development, and increased living wages. Moreover, the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) promotes continued agricultural production as an integral part of the region's economy by giving existing farmers access to the potentially profitable cannabis industry, which in turn would provide relief for those impacted by competition from foreign markets and rising costs of water supply.
- C. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) expands the production and availability of medical cannabis, which is known to help patients address symptoms related to glaucoma, epilepsy, arthritis, and anxiety disorders, among other illnesses.
- D. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) allows for the orderly development and oversight of commercial cannabis activities by applying development standards that

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 16

require appropriate siting, setbacks, security, and nuisance avoidance measures, thereby protecting public health, safety, and welfare.

- E. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) provides a method for commercial cannabis businesses to operate legally and secure a permit and license to operate in full compliance with County and state regulations, maximizing the proportion of licensed activities and minimizing unlicensed activities. Minimization of unlicensed activities will occur for two reasons. First, the County will be providing a legal pathway for members of the industry to comply with the law. Secondly, the County will use revenue from the project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) to strengthen and increase code enforcement actions in an effort to remove illegal and noncompliant operations occurring in the County unincorporated areas.
- F. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) establishes land use requirements for commercial cannabis activities to minimize the risks associated with criminal activity, degradation of neighborhood character, groundwater basin overdraft, obnoxious odors, noise nuisances, hazardous materials, and fire hazards.
- G. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) minimizes the potential for adverse impacts on children and sensitive populations by imposing appropriate setbacks and ensuring compatibility of commercial cannabis activities with surrounding existing land uses, including residential neighborhoods, agricultural operations, youth facilities, recreational amenities, and educational institutions. For detailed discussions on compatibility, see Section 3.9, *Land Use and Planning*, in the EIR, incorporated herein by reference, as well as the other Findings for Approval in this document.
- H. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) provides opportunities for local testing labs that protect the public by ensuring that local cannabis supplies meet product safety standards established by the State of California.
- I. The project (as modified by incorporation of EIR mitigation measures, and additional development standards shown in RV 01) protects agricultural resources, natural resources, cultural resources, and scenic resources by limiting where cannabis activities can be permitted and by enacting development standards that would further avoid or minimize potential impacts to the environment.

2.0 ADMINISTRATIVE FINDINGS FOR CANNABIS LAND USE ORDINANCES

In compliance with Section 35.104.060.A (Findings for Comprehensive Plan, Development Code and Zoning Map Amendments) of the Santa Barbara LUDC the Board shall make the

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 17

findings below in order to approve a text amendment to the County Land Use and Development Code (LUDC).

The findings to approve a text amendment to the County's certified Local Coastal Program are set forth in Section 35-180.6 (Findings Required for Approval of Rezone or Ordinance Amendment) of the Coastal Zoning Ordinance (CZO). In compliance with Chapter 2, Administration, Article V, Planning and Zoning, Section 2-25.2, Powers and Duties, the Board shall make the following findings in order to approve the text amendment to the CZO.

In compliance with Section 35.494.050 (Action on Amendment) of the Montecito Land Use and Development Code (MLUDC), the Board shall make the following findings in order to approve the text amendment to the MLUDC.

2.1 The request is in the interests of the general community welfare.

The proposed ordinance amendments are in the interest of the general community welfare since the amendments will serve to (1) define new land uses associated with cannabis activities (2) indicate those zones that allow the Cannabis land uses, and (3) set forth development standards for various permitted commercial cannabis activities to avoid compromising the general welfare of the community, as analyzed in the Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, which is hereby incorporated by reference.

2.2 The request is consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, the requirements of state planning and zoning laws, and the LUDC, CZO, and MLUDC.

Adoption of the proposed ordinances, as analyzed in the Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, which is hereby incorporated by reference, will provide more effective implementation of the State planning and zoning laws by revising the LUDC, CZO, and MLUDC to provide clear zoning standards that will benefit the public, consistent with the state licensing program for the cannabis industry. The proposed ordinances: define the uses associated with commercial cannabis activities; identify the zones in which cannabis land uses would be prohibited; and set forth a number of development standards and other requirements that would apply to personal cultivation, in order to avoid or otherwise minimize adverse effects from cannabis activities. The proposed ordinances would be consistent with the adopted policies and development standards of the Comprehensive Plan, including the Community Plans. The proposed ordinance amendments are also consistent with the remaining portions of the LUDC, CZO, and MLUDC that these ordinance amendments would not be revising. Therefore, the proposed ordinance amendments would be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan including the Community Plans, the requirements of State Planning and Zoning Laws, and the LUDC, CZO, and MLUDC.

2.3 The request is consistent with good zoning and planning practices.

The proposed ordinances, as analyzed in the Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, which are hereby incorporated by reference, clearly and specifically address personal cultivation and commercial cannabis activities within the unincorporated area of Santa Barbara County. The ordinances are consistent with sound zoning and planning practices to regulate land uses for

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 18

the overall protection of the environment and community values since it provides for clear direction regarding where cannabis land uses are allowed and prohibited, which serves to minimize potential adverse impacts to the surrounding area. As discussed in Finding 2.2, above, the amendments are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, including the Community Plans, LUDC, CZO and MLUDC. Therefore, the proposed ordinances are consistent with sound zoning and planning practices to regulate land uses.

3.0 ADMINISTRATIVE FINDINGS FOR AMENDMENTS TO ARTICLE X (CASE NO. 18ORD-00000-00001)

In compliance with Section 35.104.060.A (Findings for Comprehensive Plan, Development Code and Zoning Map Amendments) of the Santa Barbara LUDC the Board shall make the findings below in order to approve the amendment and partial rescission of Article X, Medical Marijuana Regulations, of Chapter 35, Zoning, of the Santa Barbara County Code (Case no. 18ORD-00000-00001).

3.1 The request is in the interests of the general community welfare.

The proposed ordinance to amend and partially rescind Article X is in the interest of the general community welfare since it will:

- Maintain the amortization of Legal Nonconforming medical marijuana operations as established by the Board in November of 2017.
- Clarify the timing of the amortization periods for Legal Nonconforming medical marijuana operations, thereby providing certainty to the operators and the public alike regarding the status of the operations.
- Rescind the existing prohibition against medical marijuana cultivation upon the operative dates of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinances (Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, -00009, -00010), thereby ensuring that the new regulations are not in conflict with existing regulations.
- Rescind the entirety of Article X upon the termination of Legal Nonconforming uses, thereby removing obsolete regulations.

3.2 The request is consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, the requirements of state planning and zoning laws, and the LUDC and CZO.

Adoption of the proposed ordinance, as analyzed in the Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, which is hereby incorporated by reference, will ensure that the provisions in Article X are consistent with the new regulations in the LUDC, CZO, and MLUDC should the Board adopt the Cannabis Land Use Ordinances (Case Nos. 170RD-00000-00004, -00009, -00010). The amended Article X would be consistent with the adopted policies and development standards of the Comprehensive Plan, including the Community Plans. Together with the Cannabis Land Use Ordinances, the amended Article X will allow for more effective implementation of the State planning and zoning laws by ensuring consistency with the new State licensing program for the cannabis industry. Therefore, the proposed ordinance amendments would be

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 19

consistent with the Comprehensive Plan including the Community Plans, the requirements of State Planning and Zoning Laws, and the LUDC, CZO and MLUDC.

3.3 The request is consistent with good zoning and planning practices.

The proposed amendments to Article X are consistent with sound zoning and planning practices since they will ensure that there is no conflict between the new cannabis regulations and the existing medical marijuana regulations. Moreover, the amendments provide a clear timeframe for the termination of Legal Nonconforming uses for medical marijuana cultivation. Finally, the amendments provide for Article X to be rescinded entirely once Legal Nonconforming medical marijuana operations are terminated and the separate medical marijuana regulations are no longer necessary. Thus, the proposed amendments are consistent with sound zoning and planning practices to regulate land uses.

4.0 AMENDMENT TO THE UNIFORM RULES FINDINGS (Case No. 17ORD-00000-00019)

4.1 The request is in the interests of the general community welfare.

The proposed amendment to the Uniform Rules would limit the amount and types of cannabis activities that would be permitted on Williamson Act lands. This is in the interests of the general community welfare because the preservation of a maximum amount of the limited supply of agricultural land is necessary to the conservation of the state's economic resources, and also for the assurance of adequate, healthful, and nutritious food for residents of the state and the nation. The amendment would also specify that cannabis activities are not compatible with Williamson Act contracts for open space or Williamson Act contracts for recreation, thereby ensuring the continued protection of scenic, biological and recreational resources in those preserves.

4.2 The request is consistent with the County Comprehensive Plan, the requirements of state planning and zoning laws, and the LUDC and CZO.

The amendment of the Uniform Rules, as analyzed in the Board letter, dated February 6, 2018, which is hereby incorporated by reference, would be consistent with the adopted policies and development standards of the Comprehensive Plan, including the Land Use and Agricultural Elements. The Agricultural Element contains goals and policies which require the protection of agriculture lands, the reservation of prime soils for agricultural uses, and the preservation of a rural economy. The amendment would limit the types and amounts of cannabis activities that would be permitted on Williamson Act lands. It would also specify that some cannabis activities, including cultivation, are compatible with the agricultural uses on Williamson Act lands, thereby ensuring consistency with the Cannabis Land Use Ordinances (Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, -00010).

4.3 The request is consistent with good zoning and planning practices.

The Agricultural Preserve Advisory Committee (APAC) held three hearings on the matter of cannabis activities to be permitted on Williamson Act lands. At the hearings, public input was received and information such as current zoning and planning practices, assessor policies and procedures, potential environmental impacts, and approaches taken by other counties was discussed. The purpose of agricultural preserve program and uniform rules was also discussed

Attachment 1: Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Considerations

Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00004, 17ORD-00000-00010,

17ORD-00000-00010 and 18ORD-00000-00001

Board Hearing Date: February 6, 2018

Page 20

as a factor in making a recommendation to the Board. APAC recommended the proposed amendments to the Uniform Rules on December 1, 2017, with particular consideration given to applying good zoning/planning practices while preserving agricultural and open space land in the County. As also stated under 4.2 above, the proposed Uniform Rules amendment is consistent with all applicable policies of the Comprehensive Plan and Land Use and Development Code.

ATTACHMENT 4: LINK TO PROGRAM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR THE CANNABIS LAND USE ORDINANCE AND LICENSING PROGRAM, 17EIR-00000-00003

Volume 1:

https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/3881b527-0b0c-419e-b53c-c681ff400b4e

Volume 2:

https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/1cc6774f-07b3-4796-90cc-ff96ed8345ed

Appendix A – Scoping:

 $\underline{https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/52cf355e-2e80-45c3-90fd-dd4f840e04bd}$

Appendix B – Proposed Ordinances and Amendments:

https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/728dae79-1404-4156-a8f9-bd361fa61fc7

Appendix C – Project Description Data:

https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/b94beacf-b517-4dc5-8df5-3df6b6817761

Appendix D – Biological Resources:

https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/ea13986b-6a7f-46c0-bec2-45293ecdd7b8

Appendix E – Agricultural Resources:

https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/07943325-63b7-44e7-bcaa-008c9cee12dc

Appendix F – Cannabis Odor Control:

https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/21d6b0fb-f06a-4ca2-90cb-7e749e0e6164

Appendix G – General Waste Discharge Requirements:

https://content.civicplus.com/api/assets/5a763be5-fecd-4dd1-bbec-50c2ff4a5265