Santa Barbara County Sheriff's Office



Northern Branch Jail AB900 Phase II

Project Update Request

December 2011

Northern Branch Jail

Need for Additional Jail Capacity Remains
 Since January 2008 >5500 inmates released early.
 Since January 2008 - 289 reoffended, rearrested, reincarcerated. **

- AB 109 Prison Realignment
 - Non-Violent, Non-Serious, Non-Sex to local custody
 - Already impacting our jail population
- What will the Long Term impact be?

Northern Branch Jail

What has been done so far?
 Completed Property Purchase

Completed EIR

- Awarded AB 900 Phase I Grant
 - \$56.3 million

Finished first phase of Utility Engineering

Status of AB 900 Funding

Phase I \$56.295 million grant remains available.

However...

State will require County to commit and move forward no later than Spring 2012 on Phase I.

Alternative: AB 900 – Phase II

- New Conditional Award Available-
 - State pays 90% of eligible project costs.
- Interest Statement Submitted October 21, 2012
 - Signed by Sheriff and CEO
 - One of 26 counties invited to apply, 8 medium sized.
 - Does not represent a commitment to apply
- Application Due by January 11, 2012
 Final Opportunity for Board to approve is Dec. 13, 2011

Phase II Preferences

- Phase II is less a competition, more of a pass/fail.
- Two Preference options Both are 'hard' preferences
 - Prior award Preference
 - Prison Admissions Preference Preference by rank order
 - SB ranked 4th among Medium Counties

Phase II Conditions

- May increase award amount, up to \$80 million of State funds.
 - Only \$200 million available to Medium Counties
- Must still Relinquish the previous award of \$56.295 million.
- Allowed to discontinue Reentry Assistance (Paso Robles)

Issues

- With either preference
 - Project must still be 'worthy' of funding.
- With either preference, will know prior to CSA March 2012 Meeting if recommended for funding.
 - County will have the opportunity to withdraw Phase II application by written notice to the CSA.
- Source of capital funding must be identified.
- Cannot collateralize COP's to the new jail.

Other

- Definition of "Eligible" construction expenses has expanded – Benefit to reapplying under either preference.
 - Includes Architectural fees
 - Moveable furnishings
 - Description Public Art
- Must present plan for staffing the facility to safely open within 90 days

Possible Application Risks

Not recommended for award

 We would then withdraw our application before the March 2012 Meeting

CSA Executive Board does not approve staff recommendation

Relinquishing Preference

 Risk that Legislature will not take action to move funds from Phase I to Phase II.

Possible Application Risks – Admissions Preference

- Under the Admissions Preference
 - Insufficient funds to award SB County
 - All three Counties ahead of us request Maximum award, under this preference-(San Joaquin has existing award, and has indicated they will not compete).
 - Relinquished funds not be placed under the Medium County umbrella
 - Legislature must take action to move funds from Phase I to Phase II.
 - CSA Board and Legislature must place under the Medium County umbrella.

Our Plan

2011 AB 900 Phase II

- 376 Beds
- Correctional Treatment Center, includes
 - I6 Mental Health Beds
 - I6 Medical Beds
- Transfer duplicate functions from existing Main Jail
- Closure of Foster Road Jail
- Re-purposing of Calle Real Medium Security Facility (121 Rated male beds)

2008 AB 900 Phase I

304 Beds

- Transfer duplicate functions from existing Main Jail
- Closure of Foster Road Jail

Medical / Mental Health Housing

Current Facilities

- Not designed for long term inmates
- Medical / Mental Health needs exacerbate the problem

Proposed Housing / Treatment

- Strategic step, rather than crisis oriented
- Snap shot of AB 109 inmates 21 of 40 (52%) have long term medical / mental health needs
- Considered in prior designs/rejected due to cost
 - AB 900 Phase II provides the opportunity to address

Phase II Recommended Because:

- 4.6 million less in Capital Match
- Medical & Mental Health Wing
- Adds 72 Beds

- Lower net operating cost

	# of Beds	Building Size (sq. ft.)	Total Project Cost (million)	State Cost (million)	County Cost (million)	County Share of Total Project Cost	Net O&M Cost FY 11/12 (million)
Original Project as Submitted in 2008	304	106,605	\$80.3	\$56.3	\$24.0	29.8%	\$12.9
Original Project, Today's Cost	304	106,605	\$75.5	\$48.8	\$26.7	35.4%	\$15.1
Original Project If Resubmitted Under Phase II	304	106,605	\$75.9	\$56.2	\$19.6	25.8%	\$14.2
Recommended New Project	376	138,385	\$95.2	\$80.0	\$15.2	15.9%	\$14.4

Award Risks (All Options)

Awards Are Conditional

- Project Approved by CSA each step of the process
- Project receives review/approvals thru State capital outlay process
- County enters into agreements as required
- Lease Revenue bonds are sold for project
- Meet project milestone Requirements

Conclusion