

Mission Canyon Community Plan

Case Nos. 13GPA-00000-00008, 11GPA-00000-00005, 11GPA-00000-00006, 11ORD-00000-00032, and
11RZN-00000-00004

Attachment 10: Resolution – Residential Design Guidelines

ATTACHMENT 10: Resolution – Mission Canyon Residential Design Guidelines

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE MATTER OF ADOPTING THE MISSION CANYON) RESOLUTION NO. 14-____
RESIDENTIAL DESIGN GUIDELINES)

WITH REFERENCE TO THE FOLLOWING:

- A. In 1984 with adoption of the Mission Canyon Area Specific Plan, the Mission Canyon Plan Area was placed in the Design Control (D) Overlay zone whereby plans for each new or altered structure are submitted for Design Review in compliance with the County Land Use and Development Code Section 35.82.070.
- B. On November 21, 2006, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution 06-365 approving the formation of the Mission Canyon Planning Advisory Committee (MCPAC) to assist staff with the creation of the Mission Canyon Residential Design Guidelines.
- C. From January to June 2007, the MCPAC held two public workshops, four public meetings, and six MCPAC subcommittee meetings to advise staff with preparing draft Mission Canyon Residential Design Guidelines.
- D. From June 2007 to February 2008, the South Board of Architectural Review held three hearings to review the draft Mission Canyon Residential Design Guidelines.
- E. On February 4, 2008, the City of Santa Barbara's Single Family Design Board held a hearing to review the draft Mission Canyon Residential Design Guidelines.
- F. On October 7, 2008, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution 08-346 to initiate environmental review for the Draft Mission Canyon Community Plan, Residential Design Guidelines, and Land Use and Development Code Amendments.
- G. On September 17, 2010, the South Board of Architectural Review had a briefing on the status of the draft Mission Canyon Residential Design Guidelines.
- H. Citizens, California Native American Indian tribes, public agencies, public utility companies, and civic, education, and other community groups have been provided opportunities to be involved in the preparation of draft Mission Canyon Residential Design Guidelines in duly noticed public hearings and meetings.
- I. The Planning Commission, after holding duly noticed public hearings on the above Mission Canyon Residential Design Guidelines, has endorsed and transmitted to the Board of Supervisors said recommended Residential Design Guidelines by resolution.
- J. The Board received and considered the Planning Commission's recommended actions and held a duly noticed public hearing, as required by Section 65353 of the Government Code, on the proposed amendments at which hearing the amendments were explained and comments invited from the persons in attendance.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED as follows:

1. The above recitations are true and correct.
2. The Board of Supervisors now finds that it is in the interest of the orderly development of the County to adopt the Mission Canyon Residential Design Guidelines dated December 2013.

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED this _____ day of _____, 2014 by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

STEVE LAVAGNINO, CHAIR
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

ATTEST:
MONA MIYASATO, COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER
CLERK OF THE BOARD

By: _____
Deputy Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MICHAEL C. GHIZZONI
COUNTY COUNSEL

By _____
Deputy County Counsel