

## Lenzi, Chelsea

---

**From:** Allen, Michael (COB)  
**Sent:** Monday, March 17, 2014 10:25 AM  
**To:** Lenzi, Chelsea; Barker, Russ  
**Subject:** FW: Goleta Beach 2.0

FYI for the record...

Thanks!

M

---

**From:** Tuttle, Alex  
**Sent:** Monday, March 17, 2014 10:24 AM  
**To:** Allen, Michael (COB)  
**Subject:** FW: Goleta Beach 2.0

Comment letter on Goleta Beach.

Alex Tuttle  
Planner, Development Review  
Planning & Development  
(805) 884-6844  
123 East Anapamu Street  
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

---

**From:** Michael Goldman [<mailto:goletamike@ymail.com>]  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 15, 2014 10:05 AM  
**To:** Tuttle, Alex  
**Cc:** Almy, Anne; [nick@independent.com](mailto:nick@independent.com); [edc@environmentaldefensecenter.org](mailto:edc@environmentaldefensecenter.org)  
**Subject:** Goleta Beach 2.0

Dear Ms. Tuttle,

In the *Projects Details* section of your webpage (<http://www.sbcountyplanning.org/projects/11DVP-00000-00016/index.cfm>), it is noted that Parking Lots 6 and 7 will be removed along with their 107 parking spaces. This appears to be a large loss of parking. Yet in the recent issue of The Independent, the EDC claims that these parking spaces are merely being "re-located" (<http://www.independent.com/news/2014/mar/12/protect-and-restore-goleta-beach/>). Specifically, EDC states "*The plan will relocate, not eliminate, 70 to 100 parking spaces...*". I have looked at the Final EIR's Project Description and can find no mention of any of these 107 parking spaces being "re-located". In fact, Section 2.7.6 (2nd paragraph) states that "additional" parking spaces may be lost due to bridge re-alignment.

Can you please clarify this significant discrepancy?

Since I work, I will not be able to attend the Hearing next week. So please add my comments to public record.

Thank You