

Lenzi, Chelsea

From: Allen, Michael (COB)
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2014 10:25 AM
To: Lenzi, Chelsea; Barker, Russ
Subject: FW: Goleta Beach 2.0

FYI for the record...

Thanks!

M

From: Tuttle, Alex
Sent: Monday, March 17, 2014 10:24 AM
To: Allen, Michael (COB)
Subject: FW: Goleta Beach 2.0

Comment letter on Goleta Beach.

Alex Tuttle
Planner, Development Review
Planning & Development
(805) 884-6844
123 East Anapamu Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

From: Michael Goldman [<mailto:goletamike@ymail.com>]
Sent: Saturday, March 15, 2014 10:05 AM
To: Tuttle, Alex
Cc: Almy, Anne; nick@independent.com; edc@environmentaldefensecenter.org
Subject: Goleta Beach 2.0

Dear Ms. Tuttle,

In the *Projects Details* section of your webpage (<http://www.sbcountyplanning.org/projects/11DVP-00000-00016/index.cfm>), it is noted that Parking Lots 6 and 7 will be removed along with their 107 parking spaces. This appears to be a large loss of parking. Yet in the recent issue of The Independent, the EDC claims that these parking spaces are merely being "re-located" (<http://www.independent.com/news/2014/mar/12/protect-and-restore-goleta-beach/>). Specifically, EDC states "*The plan will relocate, not eliminate, 70 to 100 parking spaces...*". I have looked at the Final EIR's Project Description and can find no mention of any of these 107 parking spaces being "re-located". In fact, Section 2.7.6 (2nd paragraph) states that "additional" parking spaces may be lost due to bridge re-alignment.

Can you please clarify this significant discrepancy?

Since I work, I will not be able to attend the Hearing next week. So please add my comments to public record.

Thank You