Board of State and Community Corrections 600 Bercut Drive, Sacramento, CA 95811 916.445.5073 PHONE 916.327.3317 FAX

GOVERNOR Edmund G. Brown Jr.
CHAIR Linda M. Penner
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR Kathleen T. Howard

bscc.ca.gov

March 18, 2014

Bill Brown, Sheriff - Coroner Santa Barbara County Sheriff's Office 4434 Calle Real Santa Barbara, CA 93110

Dear Sheriff Brown:

SB 1022 ADULT LOCAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION FINANCING PROGRAM PROPOSAL IDENTIFICATION NUMBER: A03-13

Congratulations. On March 13, 2014 the Board of State and Community Corrections granted your county a conditional award of up to \$38,976,000 to improve your local criminal justice facilities.

The funding authority comes from Senate Bill 1022, Chapter 42, Statutes of 2012, also known as The Adult Local Criminal Justice Facilities Construction Financing Program.

The BSCC staff will begin working with your county to help navigate the process. The County may now complete the necessary approval process as outlined in the Request for Proposals (RFP), including the time-sensitive required project milestones that will commence on March 18, 2014.

- 1) Site assurance within 90 days of award
- 2) Real estate due diligence within 120 days of award
- 3) Approval of each county's project by the BSCC and the State Public Works Board at various stages throughout planning and design
- 4) Construction Start
- 5) Construction Completion
- 6) Occupancy

Proposal Identification Number: A03-13 Conditional Award Letter SB1022 Adult Local Criminal Justice Facilities Construction Financing Program Page 2

Congratulations, again, on receiving a conditional funding award. If you have any questions or need more information at this time, please feel free to contact BSCC staff or me.

Gary Wion, Deputy Director

Buflin

Facilities Standards and Operations Division

cc: Kathleen T. Howard, Executive Director

Sebastian Cosentino, Project Director Kelly Hamilton, Project Contact (email) Doug Martin, Financial Officer (email)

Matthew P. Pontes, Construction Administrator (email)

BOARD OF STATE & COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS SB 1022 ADULT LOCAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION FUNDING AWARDS March 13, 2014

| PROPOSAL SUBMITTAL | | | EVALUATION RESULTS * | | CONDITIONAL AWARD | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Project Rank | County | Requested Amount | Preference Criteria | ESC Rating | Туре | Conditional Amount | Status ** |
| Small C | ounty (\$100,000, | 000 Set-Aside) | | | | | |
| 1 | Tuolumne | \$20,000,000 | 4 | 803.0 | Full | \$20,000,000 | |
| 2 | Napa | \$13,474,000 | 3 | 793.4 | Full | \$13,474,000 | |
| 3 | Kings | \$20,000,000 | 3 | 735.3 | Full | \$20,000,000 | |
| 4 | Shasta | \$20,000,000 | 3 | 690.2 | Full | \$20,000,000 | |
| 5 | Lake | \$20,000,000 | 3 | 674.0 | Full | \$20,000,000 | |
| 6 | Tehama | \$20,000,000 | 2 | 728.3 | Partial | \$6,526,000 | |
| 7 | Madera | \$19,000,000 | 2 | 588.8 | | | |
| 8 | Humboldt | \$17,855,500 | 0 | 757.1 | | | |
| 9 | Trinity | \$15,606,000 | 0 | 747.0 | | | |
| 10 | Mendocino | \$10,259,000 | 0 | 702.3 | | | |
| 11 | Modoc | \$7,514,000 | 0 | 687.4 | | | |
| 12 | Colusa | \$15,252,000 | 0 | 684.8 | | | |
| 13 | Imperial | \$17,643,000 | 0 | 636.6 | | | |
| 14 | Glenn | \$13,759,000 | 0 | 591.6 | | | |
| 15 | Del Norte | \$9,193,000 | 0 | 579.6 | | | |
| Medium | County (\$160,00 | 00,000 Set-Aside) | | | | | |
| 1 | Santa Cruz | \$24,635,000 | 4 | 795.1 | Full | \$24,635,000 | |
| 2 | Stanislaus | \$40,000,000 | 4 | 765.4 | Full | \$40,000,000 | |
| 3 | Santa Barbara | \$38,976,000 | 4 | 755.4 | Full | \$38,976,000 | |
| 4 | Solano | \$23,037,000 | 4 | 746.4 | Full | \$23,037,000 | |
| 5 | Tulare | \$40,000,000 | 4 | 729.4 | Partial | \$33,352,000 | |
| 6 | San Joaquin | \$40,000,000 | 4 | 686.5 | | | |
| 7 | Butte | \$40,000,000 | 3 | 776.7 | | | |
| 8 | Yolo | \$39,880,000 | 0 | 706.8 | | | |
| 9 | Sonoma | \$24,000,000 | 0 | 691.9 | | | |
| 10 | Merced | \$40,000,000 | 0 | 684.9 | | | |
| 11 | Monterey | \$22,757,000 | 0 | 669.7 | | | |
| Large C | ounty (\$240,000, | 000 Set-Aside) | | | | | |
| 1 | San Mateo | \$24,374,000 | 4 | 792.8 | Full | \$24,374,000 | |
| 2 | Orange | \$80,000,000 | 3 | 704.3 | Full | \$80,000,000 | |
| 3 | Fresno | \$79,194,000 | 2 | 728.3 | Full | \$79,194,000 | |
| 4 | Sacramento | \$80,000,000 | 2 | 687.2 | Partial | \$56,432,000 | |
| 5 | San Bernardino | \$80,000,000 | 0 | 812.3 | | | |
| 6 | Riverside | \$80,000,000 | 0 | 748.9 | | | |
| 7 | San Francisco | \$80,000,000 | 0 | 702.3 | | | |
| 8 | Contra Costa | \$80,000,000 | 0 | 687.9 | | | |
| 9 | Ventura | \$41,115,000 | 0 | 677.6 | | | |
| 10 | Los Angeles | \$80,000,000 | 0 | 584.8 | | | |

^{*} Per the SB 1022 Request for Proposals, counties that satisfied the preference criteria are awarded first within each set-aside. 3/13/14 v01: Appeal - Award Stanislaus CEQAI