

County of Santa Barbara Groundwater Basins Status Report



**Public Works Department
Water Resources Division
*Water Agency***

130 East Victoria Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
(805) 568-3440

October 14, 2014

On the cover (clockwise from top right):

Bales of alfalfa in the Cuyama Valley

Example of a groundwater production well

Lettuces grown in the Santa Maria Valley

County of Santa Barbara Groundwater Basins Status Report

Public Works Department Water Resources Division *Water Agency*

130 East Victoria Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
(805) 568-3440
www.countyofsb.org/pwd/water

October 14, 2014

Board of Supervisors

First District - Salud Carbajal
Second District - Janet Wolf, Vice Chair
Third District - Doreen Farr
Fourth District - Peter Adam
Fifth District - Steve Lavagnino, Chair

Mona Miyasato, County Executive Officer

Report Prepared By

Matt Naftaly, P.H., Water Agency Manager
Fray A. Crease, Senior Program Specialist

This page intentionally left blank.

County of Santa Barbara

Groundwater Basins Status Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS:

Introduction.....	1
Terminology.....	1
Summary and Conclusions.....	2
This Update and Future Reports	5
Groundwater Monitoring Programs.....	6
Water Agency/USGS Cooperative Program	6
California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring	6
Pending Groundwater Legislation.....	6
Climate and Drought.....	7
Local Drought Conditions.....	8
Water Supplies	10
Groundwater Basin Status.....	11
South Coast Groundwater Basins.....	12
Santa Ynez River Watershed Groundwater Basin	13
Lompoc Groundwater Basin	15
San Antonio Valley Groundwater Basin.....	16
Santa Maria Groundwater Basin.....	18
Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin.....	19

FIGURES:

- Figure 1: California Drought Monitor
- Figure 2: County Wide Percent of Normal Rainfall
- Figure 3: Gibraltar Dam 3-Year Running Average Rainfall
- Figure 4: South Coast Groundwater Basins Map
- Figure 5: Santa Ynez Uplands Groundwater Basin Map
- Figure 6: Buellton Uplands Groundwater Basin Map
- Figure 7: Lompoc Uplands Groundwater Basin Map
- Figure 8: Lompoc Plain and Terrace Groundwater Basin Map
- Figure 9: San Antonio Valley Groundwater Basin Map
- Figure 10: Santa Maria Groundwater Basin Map
- Figure 11: Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin Map

TABLES:

Table 1:	Major Groundwater Basins Status
Table 2:	CASGEM Basin Monitoring Summary
Table 3:	Santa Barbara County Water-Year Rainfall Comparison
Table 4:	State Water Project Table A and 5% Deliveries
Table 5:	Groundwater Management Plans Status

APPENDIX A:

Figure A1-A5:	South Coast Groundwater Basins Hydrographs
Figure A6-A12:	Santa Ynez River Watershed Groundwater Basin Hydrographs
Figure A13-A23:	Lompoc Groundwater Basin Hydrographs
Figure A24-A27:	San Antonio Valley Groundwater Basin Hydrographs
Figure A28-A35:	Santa Maria Groundwater Basin Hydrographs
Figure A36-A39:	Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin Hydrographs

APPENDIX B:

Table B1:	Historical Water Level Comparison
Figure B1-B5:	South Coast Groundwater Basins Level Comparison
Figure B6-B12:	Santa Ynez River Watershed Groundwater Basin Level Comparison
Figure B13-B23:	Lompoc Groundwater Basin Level Comparison
Figure B24-B27:	San Antonio Valley Groundwater Basin Level Comparison
Figure B28-B35:	Santa Maria Groundwater Basin Level Comparison
Figure B36-B39:	Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin Level Comparison

Introduction

By some measures, the last three years have constituted the most severe drought of modern record, both locally and state-wide. On January 17, 2014 the Governor of California declared a State of Emergency due to drought conditions and the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors followed with a local emergency for Drought and Water Supply Conditions on January 21, 2014. On June 17, 2014 the County Drought Task Force presented to the Board of Supervisors a status report on the ongoing county-wide drought and water supply status. At that time, the Water Agency was directed to produce an expedited report on the status of local groundwater basins. This interim report is produced a year ahead of the usual groundwater report triennial cycle mandated by the Board of Directors in 2006. A full report will be produced in accordance with the Santa Barbara County Comprehensive Plan and submitted to the Board of Directors of the Water Agency in the spring of 2015.

This report discusses the status of the County's groundwater basins as it relates to the recent drought. There is a section on the development of the current drought and compares it to other dry periods of record. Table 1 summarizes each basin's characteristics and what is known about its status in regard to the balance of groundwater recharged and groundwater removed. Appendix A contains hydrographs for representative wells in each basin. From these it is possible to examine water levels for the recent dry period and throughout the period of record. Appendix B contains water level comparisons for each hydrograph well showing in graphical and tabular format how recent water levels compare to those throughout the period of record.

Terminology

A brief explanation of the terminology used in this report is needed since some of the technical terms may not be familiar to the reader and some of the terms used in the field of groundwater are now changing or becoming obsolete. For example, the term *overdraft* is used herein to describe a basin in which the rate of water leaving a basin exceeds that entering it over a long period of time. It should be noted that in using the term it is recognized that basins are similar to reservoirs in that greater extractions may result in lower groundwater levels but also in greater yields due to the ability to capture more water. *Recharge* is the sum of water entering the aquifer from direct percolation of rainfall, seepage from streams or rivers, return flows from irrigation, and artificial replenishment. An *acre-foot* is a unit of volume equal to the volume of a sheet of water one acre in area by one foot in depth, or 43,560 cubic feet.

Within the appendices, the hydrographs showing groundwater levels are labeled using *water surface elevation (WSEL)* which is the elevation of the groundwater above (or in some cases below) an established reference level, which in this case is *mean sea level (MSL)*. Each well's *land surface elevation* is indicated as the elevation in feet of the well's measuring point.

WSEL is determined by subtracting the measured depth to water from the land surface elevation. Similarly, depth to water below the land surface is determined by subtracting *WSEL* from *Land Surface Elevation (LSE)*.

Summary and Conclusions

Depending on their geologic and hydrologic characteristics, groundwater basins may be slow to react to changing climatological and land use conditions. Wet periods and heavy rainfall may take many years to become recharge in groundwater aquifers and be reflected in groundwater elevation measurements. Conversely, a well may recover with discontinued pumping even during a period of drought. However, recent groundwater elevation monitoring shows that many of the County's groundwater basins appear to be responding to the recent dry conditions with lowered water elevations over the last few years. Accordingly, many groundwater elevation measurements taken this year are the lowest of record. It is expected that groundwater levels in many parts of the County will continue to decline in response to the dry period now occurring. Continued drought conditions into the future would of course prolong and exacerbate groundwater impacts. Table 1 shows the County's Groundwater Basin characteristics and supply status.

In general, most South Coast basins are either managed or adjudicated and all have multiple water sources with which to conjunctively manage groundwater. Previous studies indicate that South Coast basins are in a state of long term balance or surplus. Groundwater basins of the Santa Ynez River Valley vary in their status and response to the drought. The Santa Ynez Uplands Basin supply is notably impacted by new Chromium 6 standards. The Santa Ynez Alluvial Groundwater Basin is subject to water rights agreements and therefore less subject to climate related trends. The Buellton Uplands Basin wells show a distinct lowering of water level since the beginning of the drought but the basin was previously determined to be in a long-term state of surplus. Groundwater within the Lompoc Plain is also managed in accordance with Water Rights Decisions. Some hydrographs from the Lompoc Uplands Groundwater Basin (including the Santa Rita Sub-area) show 2014 water levels that are the lowest of record. Past studies indicate that the Lompoc Uplands Basin is in a state of overdraft.

Wells of the San Antonio Valley Groundwater Basin have shown a recent response to dry conditions. Past studies have indicated that the basin is in overdraft. The Santa Maria Groundwater Basin is one of two adjudicated basins in Santa Barbara County and as such, water management is largely dictated by the courts. Recent water levels there are declining but the basin has been determined to not be in a State of overdraft. Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin was the subject of a recently completed detailed study which concluded that the basin as a whole is in a state of significant overdraft and water levels in most parts of the basin have been declining for decades.

Table 1: Major Groundwater Basins Status

Groundwater Basin (south to north)	Size (sq. mi)	Users	Estimated “Usable” Water in Storage ^{1,2}	Annual Draw	Annual Surplus/Overdraft	Reference
Carpinteria	10	Farmsteads, Agriculture, Carpinteria Valley Water District	16,000	3,750	125	Carpinteria Water District, U.S. Geological Survey
Montecito (Includes Toro Canyon)	7	Farmsteads, Limited Agriculture, Montecito Water District	16,100	500	0	Montecito Water District, County Water Agency
Santa Barbara	7	City of Santa Barbara, Limited Agriculture	10,000	500	2000	USGS, City of Santa Barbara and County Water Agency
Foothill	5	Farmsteads, Limited Agriculture, City of Santa Barbara	5,000	1,000	Managed by City of Santa Barbara	USGS WRI 89-4107
Goleta (West and North-Central)	14	Farmsteads, Agriculture, Goleta Water District, La Cumbre Mutual Water Co.	70,000	4,000	Adjudicated	Goleta Water District
Santa Ynez Uplands	130	Farmsteads, Agriculture, SYRWCD ID#1	900,000	11,000	(2,028)	County Water Agency
Santa Ynez River Alluvial Corridor (includes Santa Rosa Area)	19	Farmsteads, Agriculture, City of Solvang, City of Buellton	90,000	1,000	0	USGS WSP 1107, County Water Agency
Buellton Uplands	26	Farmsteads, Agriculture, City of Solvang	154,000	2,000	800	County Water Agency
Lompoc Basins (includes Terrace, Plain, Uplands (Uplands includes Santa Rita Area))	77	Farmsteads, Agriculture, VVCSD, MHCSD, City of Lompoc, VAFB	170,000	28,000	Terrace not used, Plain managed by WRD 89-18, Uplands (913), (mostly Santa Rita sub area)	U.S. Geological Survey, County Planning Department
San Antonio	110	Farmsteads, Agriculture, VAFB, Los Alamos CSD	800,000	15,000	(9,540)	County Water Agency
Santa Maria	170	Farmsteads, Agriculture, City of Santa Maria, Golden State Water Co. (Orcutt)	1,100,000	130,000	Adjudicated	Adjudication Annual Report, County Water Agency
Cuyama	230	Farmsteads, Agriculture, Ventucopa, New Cuyama CSD	1,500,000	65,000	(29,900)	County Water Agency, USGS SIR 2013-5108

¹ All Amounts listed are *Acre-Feet*

² “*Useable*” or “*working*” water in storage generally denotes that which is above sea level and also above the bottom of the deepest water wells constructed in that specific area

This Update and Future Reports

In order to best present information directly pertinent to the drought as it relates to groundwater, this update varies from the format of the triennial report. Additional information and background not contained in this update can be found in the *Santa Barbara County, 2011 Groundwater Report* received by the Board on May 1, 2012.

This update contains a discussion of the current drought and precipitation as it pertains to groundwater use and recharge. It also presents groundwater measurements and elevation trends for representative wells within the County's groundwater basins. Hydrographs (graphical representations of groundwater elevations) are provided to examine relationships between recent water levels and drought conditions. In order to put the information in the context of water supply, the update provides estimates of useable storage and annual withdraw amounts for major basins (Table 1). It should be noted that groundwater elevations may take up to several years to respond to climatic conditions due to the time it takes for recharge to make its way to the main aquifers. This "lag time" is greatest in areas of deep water and low permeability geologic formations. In cases where lack of alternative supplies have prompted increases in groundwater withdraws, the relationship between dry conditions and groundwater level declines may be more quickly apparent.

As stated in the triennial report,

The information and conclusions contained in this report reflect data developed by the Water Agency and data contained in [other] documents and reports... The Water Agency recognizes that other individuals/agencies might reach different conclusions based on different sources of data or interpretations. This report draws on the best available information, in some cases referencing conclusions from studies conducted over a decade ago. It is recognized that basin conditions may change with changes to water supply, land use, and other factors. Efforts have been made to consider the validity of the conclusions from the reports referenced and adjustments have been made where appropriate. In addition, information from more recent studies is included where applicable and sources of new information are noted in the text.

The importance of groundwater as a resource and the lack of up to date groundwater analyses has prompted the Water Agency to propose periodic in-depth studies of the County's major basins. The Cuyama Valley Water Availability Study is now complete and was brought to the Board of Directors September 9, 2014. In addition, the Water Agency is in the process of informing stakeholders and soliciting comments on an in-depth study of the San Antonio Valley water resources. Staff will return to the Board of directors for direction on the San Antonio Study. More information on these studies is available online at

http://ca.water.usgs.gov/user_projects/cuyama/ and
<http://www.countyofsb.org/sanantoniogwb/>.

Groundwater Monitoring Programs

Santa Barbara County/United States Geological Survey Cooperative Program

In a cooperative program with the USGS, the Water Agency monitors over 300 groundwater wells annually throughout the County. As part of this program, groundwater quality is also monitored from a limited number of wells. The program provides vital data for tracking groundwater trends and conducting groundwater studies. This cooperative program has been in place for several decades and most of the data is available at <http://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/gw>. Other County agencies have similar programs with the USGS for their areas of jurisdiction or make groundwater measurements of their own.

California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring

In 2009, the California legislature passed SBx7-6, the California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring Program (CASGEM) which mandates that local agencies track seasonal and long term trends in groundwater elevations in all State designated groundwater basins. Local entities that are eligible to be the designated monitoring entity for any basin within their jurisdiction but do not perform that function may be ineligible for State grants or loans. The Water Agency is the designated monitoring entity for three of the County's major groundwater basins. These and other basins monitored are shown in Table 2.

Table 2: CASGEM Basin Monitoring Summary

CASGEM Basin Summary				
Basin No.	Basin Name	2010 Population	Basin Priority	Monitoring Entity
3-13	Cuyama	1,236	Medium	County Water Agency
3-12	Santa Maria	201,759	High	Twitchell Mgmt Authority
3-14	San Antonio	2,279	Medium	County Water Agency
3-15	Santa Ynez	75,460	Medium	County Water Agency
3-16	Goleta	47,252	Medium	Goleta Water District
3-53	Foothill	17,543	Very Low	City of Santa Barbara
3-17	Santa Barbara	63,966	Very Low	City of Santa Barbara
3-49	Montecito	9,885	Very Low	N/A
3-18	Carpinteria	14,561	Very Low	Carpinteria Valley Water District

Pending Groundwater Legislation

The California Legislature recently adopted significant legislation requiring the study and management of California groundwater basins. (Assembly Bill 1739 (Dickinson) and Senate Bill 1168 and 1319(Pavley) (2014).) The groundwater legislation allows about five years for the development of sustainable groundwater management plans for all basins within

the State that are designated as high or medium priority by the Department of Water Resources.


For the purposes of the legislation, sustainability is generally defined as the avoidance of significant economic, social, or environmental impacts. Local entities would be granted the authority to develop plans, collect water extraction data, collect fees, and establish a water allocation system. If local agencies are unable or unwilling to perform these tasks, the State could do so. It is possible that eligible local entities that do not perform this function may lose eligibility for some or all State grant and loan programs.

Climate and Drought

Rainfall in California during the last few years has been significantly below normal. The National Weather Service (NWS-NOAA) issued a “California Drought Monitor” graphic depicting the increased severity of drought conditions within California since 2012 (Figure 1).

The most elevated drought intensity classification (Exceptional Drought) was assigned to Santa Barbara County in July & August 2014 along with other areas of California.

Figure 1: California Drought Monitor



Local Drought Conditions

Santa Barbara County has also been impacted by drought conditions. Figure 2 shows Santa Barbara County-wide rainfall as a percent of normal since the year 2000. It is notable that 2012, 2013, and 2014 constitute the driest three year period ever recorded in Santa Barbara County. In addition, annual rainfall during the current drought period is the lowest ever recorded for many locations in the County (Table 3).

Figure 3, is a three year running average of rainfall at Gibraltar Reservoir. Rainfall at Gibraltar Reservoir is indicative of potential for inflow to the three reservoirs in the Santa Ynez River Watershed which constitute a major supply source for the County's south coast and Santa Ynez Valley. A running average more clearly shows wet and dry trends. Figure 3 shows the severity of the current dry trend.

Figure 2: County Wide Percent of Normal Rainfall




Table 3: Santa Barbara County Water-Year Rainfall Comparison



<div>  Santa Barbara County Water-Year Rainfall Mean, Extremes, 2014, 2013, 2012 </div>						
Location	Mean Annual Rainfall	Minimum Annual Rainfall	Maximum Annual Rainfall	WY 2014 Rainfall	WY 2013 Rainfall	WY 2012 Rainfall
Buellton	17.16"	5.87" (2014)	41.56" (1998)	5.87"	7.79"	11.54"
Cachuma	20.19"	7.33" (2007)	53.37" (1998)	10.49"	7.87"	13.43"
Carpinteria	19.57"	5.83" (2014)	51.48" (1998)	5.83"	8.33"	9.83"
Cuyama	7.80"	1.71" (2014)	19.78" (1998)	1.71"	2.32"	5.09"
Gibraltar	26.74"	8.50" (2013)	73.12" (1998)	11.88"	8.50"	13.54"
Goleta	18.77"	6.88" (2014)	47.93" (1983)	6.88"	11.03"	13.60"
Lompoc	14.74"	5.31" (2007)	34.42" (1983)	7.18"	7.25"	10.62"
Los Alamos	15.44"	5.38" (1924)	36.23" (1998)	6.26"	6.31"	10.56"
San Marcos Pass	34.99"	10.90" (2007)	87.95" (1983)	12.04"	17.96"	18.66"
Santa Barbara	18.55"	6.41" (2007)	46.97" (1998)	8.06"	8.98"	11.62"
Santa Maria	13.77"	4.95" (2014)	32.61" (1998)	4.95"	6.52"	9.49"

Figure 3: Gibraltar Dam 3-Year Running Average Rainfall



Water Supplies

Many local water supplies have been severely reduced due to drought conditions. Storage within Cachuma, Gibraltar, and Jameson Reservoirs is currently at 31 percent, 21 percent, and 24 percent of capacity, respectively. State Water Project (SWP) allocation for 2014 was initially reduced to zero before being increased to 5 percent of Table A amounts (Table 4). Although cloud seeding effectively raises long term water availability, it is of little effect during drought conditions when there are few seed-able storm events.

During drought periods, groundwater supplies may be negatively impacted by several factors. Natural recharge to aquifers is reduced or eliminated during dry years. In addition, agriculture and landscaping that is irrigated with groundwater may require increased groundwater extraction due to the lack of irrigation from natural rainfall. Water purveyors that rely on groundwater as one of, or the only water supply source may be forced to increase extractions to compensate for the reduction in other supplies. The combined effect of such conditions is increased stress on groundwater basins with resultant lowering of groundwater levels.

Table 4: State Water Project Table A and 5% Deliveries

State Water Project and 5% Deliveries in Santa Barbara County (AFY)			
Project Participant	SWP Allocation	Drought Buffer	5% Deliveries
City of Santa Maria	16,200	1,620	810
Golden State Water Company	500	50	25
City of Guadalupe	550	55	28
Vandenberg Air Force Base	5,500	550	275
City of Buellton	578	58	29
City of Solvang	1,500	0	75
Santa Ynez River Water Conservation District ID#1	500	200	25
Raytheon Infrared Operations	50	5	3
Morehart Land Company	200	20	10
La Cumbre Mutual Water Company	1,000	100	50
Goleta Water District**	4,500	450*	225
City of Santa Barbara	3,000	300	150
Montecito Water District	3,000	200	150
Carpinteria Valley Water District	2,000	200	100
Total:	39,078	3,908	1,954

***Goleta has an additional 2,500 AFY of drought buffer, in addition to its 450 AFY, Drought buffer does not have a pipeline or treatment plant capacity associated with it, thus it serves for increased reliability only*

Groundwater Basin Status

The triennial groundwater report is designed to provide an overview of the groundwater basins, their history, characteristics, and status. For additional information, please refer to the 2011 groundwater report. This update is intended to provide information more specific to the drought conditions of the last few years. Review of this information generally shows a wide spread, short term response of the County's groundwater to the recent drought conditions. However, the exact cause of the response and the long term implications are not necessarily known without further detailed study. Table B1 shows selected groundwater level comparisons of the current drought period to historic levels. Table 5 shows the status of groundwater management plans for the County's major basins.

Table 5: Groundwater Management Plans Status

Groundwater Management Plans Status			
Basin	Public Agency Participants ¹	Status	Year
Buellton Uplands	Santa Ynez River WCD, City of Buellton	Plan Adopted	1995
Carpinteria	Carpinteria Valley WD	Plan Adopted	1996
Foothill	City of Santa Barbara	Plan Adopted	1994
Goleta	Goleta WD	Court Action ²	1989
Goleta	Goleta WD, La Cumbre Mutual Water Co.	Plan Adopted	2010
Lompoc	City of Lompoc	Plan Adopted	2013
Montecito	Montecito WD	Plan Adopted	1998
Santa Barbara	City of Santa Barbara	Plan Adopted	1994
Santa Maria Valley	City of Santa Maria, Santa Maria Valley WCD, Cal Cities	Plan Adopted	1995
Santa Maria Valley	City of Santa Maria, Santa Maria Valley WCD, Cal Cities	Court Action ³	2005


¹ Other participants include private water companies and overlying property owners

² The "Wright Suit" Settlement stipulates management actions in the North and Central sub-basins

³ Stipulation Agreement, California Superior Court, County of Santa Clara requires annual reporting on the conditions of the Santa Maria Valley Management Plan

South Coast Groundwater Basins

Figure 4: South Coast Groundwater Basins Map



The major South Coast Groundwater Basins include from East to West: Carpinteria, Montecito, Santa Barbara, Foothill, and the Goleta Groundwater Basins (Figure 4). These are generally comprised of unconsolidated alluvial material located between the Santa Ynez Mountains and the Pacific Ocean. In many cases, the location of the salt water/fresh water interface is unknown making salt water intrusion a concern with lowering water levels or increased pumping along the coast. In some areas such as the west beaches of Santa Barbara, “sentry” wells are monitored for early indication of salt water intrusion. Of note is that the actual boundaries between some of these basins may be inferred or assigned where direct physical evidence is missing. The most recent analyses of these basins show them to be in balance or with a slight surplus over the long term (Table 1).


Typical hydrographs from the Carpinteria Basin show lowering of groundwater in the last few years but levels remain well within the highs and lows previously recorded. The hydrograph from the Montecito Basin shows a consistent decline over the period of record (since the early 1960s) and, with the exception of a couple of data points which may not reflect accurate measurements, shows a historic low water elevation. The hydrograph selected for the Santa Barbara Basin shows recent groundwater levels not much lower than previous highs. The

2014 measurement was higher than that of the previous year and nearly equal to that for 2012. A diverse water portfolio and significant control of the basin has allowed the City of Santa Barbara to manage the basin to avoid significant declines since the early 1990s.

Groundwater from the Foothill Basin, located to the Northwest of the City of Santa Barbara, is utilized by the City of Santa Barbara, La Cumbre Mutual Water Company, and private landholders. The Foothill hydrograph shows a pattern similar to that of the Santa Barbara Groundwater Basin of recovery during the 1990s except that water levels have consistently declined since mid-2000 and the 2014 measurement is within a foot or two of the lowest of record. The Goleta Groundwater Basin is one of two adjudicated basins in the County (Wright Vs. Goleta Water District, 1985). As such, there are requirements for its management and water extractions. In general, the hydrograph shows consistent basin recovery beginning in the early 1990s. Water levels have consecutively declined since 2012.

Santa Ynez River Watershed Groundwater Basin

Figure 5: Santa Ynez Uplands Groundwater Basin Map




The major groundwater basins within the Santa Ynez River Watershed are, from east to west: Santa Ynez Uplands, Santa Ynez River Alluvial, Buellton Uplands, Lompoc Uplands, Lompoc Plain, and Lompoc Terrace Basins. These basins are adjacent to the Santa Ynez River and

lie between the San Rafael Mountains to the North and east and the Santa Ynez Mountains to the South. Each basin is affected to some extent by water rights agreements and Cachuma Reservoir operations. Primary among these is the Water Rights Order 89-18 and the 2000 Biologic Opinion from the National Marine Fisheries Service.

Water use within the Santa Ynez Uplands Groundwater Basin is primarily for agriculture though there is also urban use within portions of the basin supplied by Santa Ynez Water Conservation District ID#1. Appendix A includes two hydrographs from the Santa Ynez Uplands Groundwater Basin which shows water levels above those of historic lows. However, recent lowering of the Chromium 6 standards has led to great concern over the usability of water within the basin.


Groundwater within the Santa Ynez River Alluvial Basin is managed in accordance with Water Rights Decision 89-18. Therefore, water levels fluctuate in response to water available according to the Decision. To date, there is still water available for replenishment of the Water Rights account. In past studies, the Buellton Uplands Groundwater Basin was found be in a state of surplus. Sample hydrographs from the Buellton Uplands however show water levels for 2014 that are the lowest of record.

Figure 6: Buellton Uplands Groundwater Basin Map



Lompoc Groundwater Basin


Figure 7: Lompoc Uplands Groundwater Basin Map



In Lompoc area basins, many hydrographs show consecutive years of decline in water surface elevation in the last few years. The water elevation in some wells is currently lower than any previously recorded. Groundwater within the Lompoc Plain is managed in accordance with Water Rights Decision 89-18. Therefore, water levels would not be expected to decline in response to climate but in response to the water available according to the Decision. In fact, water levels in wells from the Lompoc Plain are generally not the lowest of record and show only modest declines in recent years most likely due to releases from Cachuma.

Some hydrographs from the Lompoc Uplands Groundwater Basin (including the Santa Rita Sub-area) show 2014 water levels that are the lowest of record. Others not exhibiting this trend have not been pumped for several years due to water quality or other issues. The Lompoc Uplands Groundwater Basin has been determined to have a long term overdraft of around 900 acre feet per year (AFY).

Figure 8: Lompoc Plain and Terrace Groundwater Basin Map




San Antonio Valley Groundwater Basin

The San Antonio Groundwater Basin is located mid County and is about 30 miles in length from east to west. Rock units surfacing at the west end of the basin force water to the surface creating Barka Slough and eliminating the possibility of salt water intrusion into the aquifer. All water used within the San Antonio Valley is from the groundwater basin. Vandenberg Air Force Base (VAFB) uses water from the San Antonio Basin in addition to its State Water allocation to meet the Base's water demand. The vast majority of water demand within the basin is from agriculture, a major component of which is viticulture. The basin also supplies ranching operations and the urban water demand of the town of Los Alamos. Historic studies have indicated an overdraft of about 9,500 AFY in the basin (Appendix A and Table 1).

The Water Agency has proposed a detailed study of the San Antonio Basin similar to that conducted in the Cuyama Groundwater Basin and received by the Board of Directors on September 9, 2014. Staff will return to the Board of directors for direction on the San Antonio Study.


Appendix A includes four hydrographs for the San Antonio Basin. Well Numbers 16C3, 14L1, 20Q2, and 30D1 are located in Barka Slough, Northwest part of the basin, mid basin, and west of Los Alamos, respectively. Although each has a distinct hydrograph, there are some trends in common. For example, the wells tended toward recovery around 1997, the approximate time that State Water became available to VAFB, thereby allowing a reduction in VAFB extractions from the basin. Similarly, the water level has been generally declining during the recent dry years. However, in some cases this is part of a larger period of decline lasting from the mid-2000s.

Figure 9: San Antonio Valley Groundwater Basin Map



Santa Maria Groundwater Basin


Figure 10: Santa Maria Groundwater Basin Map



The Santa Maria Groundwater Basin is one of two adjudicated basins in Santa Barbara County and as such, water management is largely dictated by the courts. All of the hydrographs included in this report for the Santa Maria Groundwater Basin show consecutive declining groundwater levels over the last three dry years and several show groundwater levels that are the lowest of record. Other hydrographs show water levels at their lowest elevations in 1991, at the end of the previous severe drought. The basin is managed and not believed to be in a state of overdraft.

Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin

Figure 11: Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin Map








The Water Agency has recently completed a detailed study of the Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin. Therefore, the status of groundwater there is well understood. The study and recent groundwater level measurements show significant groundwater level declines throughout history and over the last three years. In some areas, historical groundwater level declines exceed 400 feet. Three of the four hydrographs included in this report show 2014 groundwater levels to be the lowest of record. The hydrograph not showing this trend is from a well located in a sub-basin in which there is frequent recharge. Long term overdraft within the basin is nearly 30,000 AFY.

This page intentionally left blank.





APPENDIX A




Figures A1-A5: South Coast Groundwater Basins Hydrographs













Figures A6-A12: Santa Ynez River Watershed Groundwater Basin Hydrographs












Figures A13-A23: Lompoc Groundwater Basin Hydrographs















Figures A24-A27: San Antonio Valley Groundwater Basin Hydrographs







Figures A28-A35: Santa Maria Groundwater Basin Hydrographs





Figures A36-A39: Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin Hydrographs



This page intentionally left blank.





APPENDIX B


Table B1: Historical Water Level Comparison

Basin	Subarea	Well Number	Year of First Record	Historic (Year) High	Historic (Year) Low	2012	2013	2014
South Coast	Carpinteria	4N/25W-30D1	1945	9 (1979, 1982)	-19 (1948)	0	-3	-3
	Montecito	4N/26W-8P3	1963	215 (1969)	115 (1990)	169	163	152
	Santa Barbara	4N/27W-15E1	1976	67 (1999)	23 (1990)	60	57	60
	Foothill	4N/28W-12H4	1984	151 (2005)	85 (1990)	111	107	88
	Goleta	4N/28W-8B10	1982	30 (2006)	-57 (1991)	26	21	12
Santa Ynez	Santa Ynez Uplands	6N/29W-5A1	1968	1190 (1983, 1993, 1995, 1998)	1171 (1968)	1175	1175	1174
	Santa Ynez Uplands	6N/29W-8P2	1967	712 (2003, 2006-07)	672 (1970)	705	703	699
	Santa Ynez	6N/31W-1P3	1967	568 (2005)	524 (1993)	560	N/A	551
	Lower Foxen Canyon	7N/31W-22A3	1959	841 (1998)	787 (1991)	812	801	795
	Fredenburg Canyon	6N/31W-10F1	1968	477 (1983, 1985)	459 (2004)	465	465	463
	Ballard Canyon	6N/31W-4A1	1957	535 (1957)	512 (2014)	516	515	512
	Buellton Uplands	6N/31W-7F1	1984	325 (2006)	305 (2014)	310	313	305
Lompoc	Santa Rita	7N/33W-27G1	1969	84 (1973-75, 1980-81)	39 (2014)	54	56	39
	Santa Rita	7N/33W-28D3	1988	74 (1988)	45 (1997)	55	54	56
	Santa Rita / Lompoc Uplands	7N/33W-20G1	1990	89 (1990)	75 (2005)	80	80	78
	Lompoc Uplands / Cebada	7N/33W-19D1	1967	84 (1967)	63 (2002)	71	71	70
	Lompoc Uplands	7N/34W-12E1	1949	85 (1949)	60 (2014)	61	61	60
	Lompoc Uplands	7N/34W-24N1	1930	78 (1930-32)	51 (2014)	53	53	51





	Lompoc Plain	7N/34W-27G6	1989	65 (2001)	38 (1991)	61	55	56
	Lompoc Plain	7N/34W-29E4	1946	52 (1998)	22 (1961, 1972)	39	39	34
	Lompoc Plain	7N/35W-24J4	1974	32 (1998)	8 (1977)	16	20	18
	W. Lompoc Plain	7N/35W-22J1	1931	26 (1958)	8 (1984, 1990, 2012)	8	9	9
San Antonio	Barka Slough	8N/34W-16C3	1970	313 (1970-71)	272 (1978)	285	284	284
	Lower Harris Canyon	8N/34W-14L1	1980	312 (1981)	279 (2012, 2014)	279	281	279
	Mid San Antonio	8N/33W-20Q2	1968	396 (1969)	339 (2012)	339	349	344
	W. of Los Alamos	8N/32W-30D1	1977	516 (1977)	390 (2014)	420	410	390
Santa Maria	Santa Maria Mesa	9N/32W-6D1	1966	374 (1969)	303 (1991)	315	306	294
	Garey	9N/33W-2A7	1989	328 (2000-01)	217 (2014)	255	241	217
	Santa Maria Central	10N/34W-14E4 (E5, E2, E3)	1917	157 (1917, 1919)	43 (1978)	90	92	74
	SM Central / Orcutt Uplands Transition	10N/33W-30G1	1952	159 (2000-01)	74 (2014)	99	89	74
	Lower Orcutt Creek	10N/35W-24B1	1932	101 (1945)	38 (1966-67)	68	65	53
	Santa Maria Western	10N/35W-11E4	1986	87 (1999)	37 (1990)	59	51	46
	Guadalupe West	10N/35W-7E5	2002	45 (2003)	25 (2014)	31	32	25
	Oso Flaco	11N/35W-25F3	1980	89 (2001)	37 (1991)	62	54	41
Cuyama	Ventucopa Uplands	9N/24W-33M1	1950	2933 (1999)	2842 (1976)	2882	2874	2863
	Sierra Madre Foothills	9N/26W-1F3	1983	2311 (1983)	2280 (2014)	2283	2281	2280
	Main Zone	10N/25W-21Q2 (23E1, 21G1)	1947	2281 (1947)	1863 (2014)	1883	1869	1863
	Sierra Madre Foothills Main Zone Transition	10N/26W-20M1	1981	2104 (1992)	2036 (2014)	2054	2046	2036

Figures B1-B5: South Coast Groundwater Basins Level Comparison







Figures B6-B12: Santa Ynez River Watershed Groundwater Basin Level Comparison




Fredenborg Canyon (10F1)







Ballard Canyon (4A1)




Buellton Uplands (7F1)




Figures B13-B23: Lompoc Groundwater Basin Level Comparison




Lompoc Uplands (20E1)




Lompoc Uplands (24N1)





Lompoc Plain (27G6)







Lompoc Plain (29E4)










Figures B24-B27: San Antonio Valley Groundwater Basin Level Comparison




Figures B28-B35: Santa Maria Groundwater Basin Level Comparison




Lower Orcutt Creek (24B1)




Santa Maria Western (11E4)



Guadalupe West (7E5)



Oso Flaco (25F3)



Figures B36-B39: Cuyama Valley Groundwater Basin Level Comparison

