Attachment W Homeowners' Arbitration Brief on Remand

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Thomas H. Griffin, Esq. State Bar No. 120302 1758 Calle Cerro Santa Barbara, California 805 966 1123 State Bar No. 129302 Attorney for Real Party in Interest Debra Hamrick ARBITRATION UNDER	THE SANTA BARBARA	
9	MOBILEHOME RENT CONTROL ORDINANCE		
10	MODIEE TONE REAL CONTROL ORDINATION		
11			
12	LAZY LANDING, LLC, etc. et al.,	Case No.: 1403359 (Assigned to the Honorable Thomas P.	
13	Petitioners and Plaintiffs,) Anderle, Department No. 3.]	
14	Vs.) REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	
15	THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, Etc. et al,	DEBRA HAMRICK'S ARBITRAION BRIEF ON REMAND FOR REVISED FINDINGS	
16 17 18	Respondents and Defendants. DEBRA HAMRICK, Real Party in Interest	Hearing Date: February 17, 2016 Time: 9:00 a.m. Arbitrator: Stephen M. Biersmith, Esq.	
19			
20)	
21 22			
23	TO ALL DADTING AND THEY	NEWS OF PESCAPA 1	
	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD in the above entitled matter		
24	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST DEBRA HAMRICK submits her Brief on Remand pursuant to		
25	NOTICE OF ARBITRATION HEARING dated February 1, 2016 from the COUNTY OF SANTA		
26	BARBARA.		
27			
28			
	- 1		
	REAL PARTY INTEREST DEBRA HAMRICK'S BRIEF (ON REMAND FOR REVISED FINDINGS	

The Notice specifies that that on remand, the record is limited to the record for the Arbitration to Attachments A through S.

Finding 2: Award No. 5

Issue: Incurred Costs of \$62,145.53

The Court remanded consideration of amounts in excess of the original \$320,000 consisting of specific items of incurred costs in the amount of \$62,145.5, previously incurred on specific items of incurred costs to your Board to be vacated and reconsidered. The Board of Supervisors remands this Award to the Arbitrator for make findings of fact on which the Arbitrator's decision is based that are supported by a preponderance of the evidence. The \$320,000 was denied because it was not definite and certain as to how it was going to be used as a proposed expenditure.

The board remanded the \$62,145.55 portion of Award 5 to make findings of fact on which the Arbitrator's decision is based that are supported by a preponderance of the evidence.

Law:

11A-5(k) Evidence as to costs incurred prior to the next rent increased may be considered only where such evidence shows these costs are definite and certain.

Law:

The evidentiary requirement are facts supporting a preponderance of the evidence.

Law:

Mobilehome Rent Control Rues for Hearing, Rule 2 Meet and Confer. No later than 10days following the date in the notice of increase, management shall make available to representatives a detailed list of expenses and income.

Law:

Presumptively, definite and certain applies to incurred costs.

Argument:

1. The \$62,145.53 are expenses for repairs and maintenance and are not Capital Improvements or Capital Expenses that can be passed on.

1	
2	unt
3	Jan
4	
5	
6	
7	Fin
8	
9	
10	we
11	thr
12	the
13	Ar
14	ma
15	pre
16	
17	
18	day
19	rep
20	
21	
22	wh
23	

2. Some of the expenses included in the \$62,145.53 are expenses that were not incurred until after the Meet and Confer on February 16, 2011. See Board of Supervisors letter for Agenda of January 5, 2016 referencing Attachment F, Exhibit J referencing Exhibit K.

Conclusion:

These expenses of \$62,145.53 should not be allowed as charges to the homeowners.

Finding 3. Award No. 6

Issue: Professional fees \$25,000

The Arbitrator did not identify which professional fees (\$25,000) were awarded or how they were properly categorized as a cost of a capital improvement or capital expense so as to be passed through to the homeowners. The Arbitrators decision does not contain any findings of fact on which the decision or the reduction in fees is based; thus the Board of Supervisors determined that the Arbitrator abused his discretion. The Board of Supervisors remands this Award to the Arbitrator to make findings of fact on which the Arbitrator's decision is based that are supported by a preponderance of the evidence.

Law:

Mobilehome Rent Control Rules for Hearing Rule 2, Meet and Confer. No later than 10 days following the date in the notice of increase, management shall make available to representatives a detailed list of expenses and income.

Law: 11A-5(k)

Evidence as to costs to be incurred prior to the next rent increase may be considered only where such evidence these costs are definite and certain.

Presumptively definite and certain applies to incurred costs.

Argument:

Professional fees of \$25,000 include attorney fees from 2009 are from a prior lawsuit
 Santa Barbara Superior Court Case No. 12641921. See Board of Supervisors letter for Agenda of
 January 5, 2016 referencing Attachment F, Exhibit Q.

28

24

25

26

27

2. Management did not make available to the homeowner's representative a detailed list of expenses within 10 days of the noticed increase.

- 3. Ordinances 11A-5 and 11A-6 contemplate that definite and certain applies to past expenses and costs.
 - 4. The round numbers given do not show that they are definite and certain.

Conclusion:

Based on the foregoing these professional fees of \$25,000 should not be allowed as charges to the homeowners.

Finding 4. Award 7

Issue: Professional Architectural and Engineering fees of \$40,000.00

The Arbitrator did not identify which professional fees of the \$40,000 were awarded or how they were properly categorized as a cost of a capital improvement or capital expense so as to be passed through to the homeowners and the decision does not contain any findings of fact on which the decision or the reduction of fees is based. The board of supervisors remands this Award to the Arbitrator to make findings of fact upon which the Arbitrator's decision is not supported by findings, or the findings are not supported by substantial evidence.

Law:

The Mobilehome Rent Control Rules for Hearings require that the Arbitrator's decision shall include the findings of fact on which the decision is based. (Rule 18). Abuse of discretion is established where the Arbitrator's decision is in supported by the findings. (Rule 23(a).)

Law:

Mobilehome Rent Control Rules for Hearings Rule 2 Meet and Confer. No later than 10 days following the date in the notice of increase, management shall make available to representatives a detailed list of expenses and income ...together with any other information upon which an increase is based.

The findings of fact by the Arbitrator for past payments by park owners for increased property are conclusionary in stating that "The \$130,531 spent by the Park Owners can be included in the temporary increase.

The Board of Supervisors remands this Award to the Arbitrator to make findings of fact on which the Arbitrator's decision is based that are supported by a preponderance of the evidence.

Revised action by the Board of Supervisors found that the Arbitrator abused his discretion and remanded Award No. 8 to the Arbitrator for adequate findings about the nature of the payment.

Law:

The Mobile Home Rent Control Rules for Hearings require that the Arbitrator's decision shall include the findings of fact on which the decision is based and that the decision is supported by a preponderance of the evidence. (Rule 18). The arbitrator did not identify whether the supplemental tax increase was categorized as an increase in operating costs, cost of a capital improvement, or capital expense so as to be passed through to the homeowners...

Law:

Mobilehome Rent Control Rules for Hearings Rule 2 Meet and Confer. No later than 10 days following the date in the notice of increase, management shall make available to representatives a detailed list of expenses and income ... together with any other information upon which an increase is based.

Law:

Ordinance 11A-6 – Capital Improvements and Capital Expenses do not include ordinary expenses which are covered by Ordinance 11A-5.

Law:

Ordinance 11A-6(a)(2) is for amortization of Capital Improvements and Capital Expenses.

Argument:

- 1. The findings of fact by the Arbitrator for past payments by park owners for increased property taxes are conclusionary in stating the "The \$130,531 spent by the Park Owners can be included in the temporary increase. The parties were unsure whether or not such fees could be awarded as part of any favorable property tax appeal. If there is such an award, judgement or settlement in the future those amounts should be credited to the Homeowners."
- 2. Findings of fact must be made that are supported by a preponderance of the evidence.

 The nature of the payment does not include a breakdown of amounts owed by mobilehome owners upon change of ownership of mobilehomes as to amounts owed by past owners and amounts owed by the new home owners during the time covered by this supplemental tax. The amounts to each homeowner old and new are not definite and certain.
- 3. The supplement tax increase was treated as an ordinary expense under 11A-5 but passed through under 11A-6 which is not allowed because it is not a capital improvement or capital expense for pass through purposes under 11A-6(a)(2), amortization.
- 4. The nature of the payment was through the ground lease wherein Petitioners agreed to pay the real property taxes.

Conclusion:

The \$130,531 supplemental tax should not be charged to the homeowners.

Finding 6: Award No. 11

Issue: Attorney's fees for the collection of rent of \$110,000.

The Board of Supervisors Finding 6 states "For this award, the Arbitrator's decision merely concluded that 'After reviewing the itemizations submitted by the Park Owner for expert and legal services expended in this matter (Ex. R & S) and the Homeowners response a reasonable amount to

be paid by the later would be \$110,000...Findings for this Award are especially important because legal fees are not expressly identified in the Ordinance as an allowable operating expense..."

These legal fees were treated as an operating expenses under 11A-5 but passed through as though a Capital Improvement or Capital Expenses under 11A-6(a)(2), amortization of Capital Improvements and Capital Expenses, in which there is no provision for passing through operating expenses.

The Board remands this Award to the Arbitrator to make findings of fact on which the Arbitrator decision is based that are supported by a preponderance of the evidence.'

Revised action by the Board of Supervisors found that the Arbitrator abused his discretion and remanded Award #8 to the Arbitrator for adequate findings about the nature of the payment.

Law:

Mobilehome Rent Control Rules for Hearings, Rule 2 Meet and Confer. No later than 10 days following the date in the notice of increase, management shall make available to representatives a detailed list of expenses and income.

Law:

Ordinance 11A-6 – Capital Improvements and Capital Expenses do not include ordinary expenses which are covered by 11A-5

Law:

Ordinance 11A-6(a)(2) is for amortization of Capital Improvements and Capital Expenses.

Argument:

1. There are no documents showing that \$110,000 in attorney fees is related to the rent increase. The documentation actually shows that these attorney fees are largely related to Health and Safety Code violations and other items See Board of Supervisors Agenda Letter for Agenda dated January 5, 2016, Attachment F Schedule S.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a resident of the State of California my business address is 1758 Calle Cerro, Santa Barbara, California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action.

On the date below I served on the parties in this action the following documents:

Real Party in Interest Debra Hamrick's Arbitration Brief on Remand for Revised Findings

[] by placing the document(s) above in a sealed envelope with postage fully paid, in the United States mail at Santa Barbara, California addressed as set forth below:

I via facsimile the documents listed above to the fax number(s) below on the date below before 5 p.m.

by personally serving the above documents on the entity(s) and/persons listed above.

[x] by emailing the above document to the following email addressees

Steven Biersmith, Arbitrator email: sbiersmith@aol.com

Jenna Richardson, Esq. Deputy County Counsel email: jrichardson@co.santa-barbara.ca.us

Natalie Dimitrova County of Santa Barbara email: ndimitrova@countyofsb.org

James Ballantine, Esq. email: jpb@ballatinelaw.com

2-16-16

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

I am familiar with the firm's business practice for the collection and processing of correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, correspondence or documents served as above indicated would be deposited with the United States Postal Service the same day in the ordinary course of business, I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in the affidavit.

Dated:

Thomas H. Griffin, Esq.