#### 4.12 ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE

Impact analysis required under CEQA identifies and assesses environmental impacts to the public at large, and does not distinguish between differing populations and communities that may be adversely affected. However, in recent years, public concerns have been expressed about siting waste management facilities in low income and/or minority communities and associated impacts to these communities. Therefore, this section addresses the potential for the proposed project to disproportionately impact low income and minority communities which is commonly referred to as "environmental justice".

Environmental justice is not an impact on the physical environment as defined under CEQA and is not a required element of the EIR process. However, the information in this section is provided so that the public, decision makers and CEQA lead agency and responsible agencies can understand the environmental justice implications of the proposed Resource Recovery Project.

## **4.12.1 Setting**

1 2

#### 4.12.1.1 Definitions

Environmental justice has its origins with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 which states "No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance."

Environmental justice has been defined by a variety of organizations interested in the topic. EPA's Office of Environmental Justice offers the following definition:

"The fair treatment and meaningful involvement of all people regardless of race, color, national origin, or income with respect to the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. Fair treatment means that no group of people, including racial, ethnic, or socioeconomic group should bear a disproportionate share of the negative environmental consequences resulting from industrial, municipal, and commercial operations or the execution of federal, state, local, and tribal programs and policies."

The goal of this "fair treatment" is not to shift risks among populations, but to identify potential disproportionately high and adverse effects and identify alternatives that may mitigate these impacts.

Definitions of races, minority populations and low-income populations used in this analysis are taken from the 2010 Census:

White: persons having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe,
Middle East or North Africa;

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

- Black or African-American: persons having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa;
  - Hispanic: persons of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central or South American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race;
  - Asian: persons having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, the Indian subcontinent;
  - American Indian and Alaskan Native: persons having origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America who maintain cultural identification through tribal affiliation or recognition.
  - Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander: persons having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa or other Pacific islands;
  - Low-Income: people whose income in the past 12 months is below the poverty level as established by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

A minority population is considered present in the affected area if the minority population percentage is "meaningfully greater" than the minority population percentage in the general population or other "appropriate unit of geographic analysis" (EPA, 1998).

# 4.12.1.2 Regulatory Setting

## **Federal**

In 1994, Executive Order 12898 was issued and gave a renewed emphasis to Title VI and added low-income populations to those protected by the principles of environmental justice. Executive Order 12898 and its accompanying memorandum have the primary purpose of ensuring that "each Federal agency shall make achieving environmental justice part of its mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of its programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations ..." The Executive Order also explicitly called for the application of equal consideration for Native American programs. To meet these goals, the Order specified that each agency develop an agency-wide environmental justice strategy.

Federal guidance concerning incorporation of environmental justice into NEPA analysis is provided by *Final Guidance for Incorporating Environmental Justice Concerns in EPA's NEPA Compliance Analysis*, with the purpose of assisting EPA personnel in identifying and evaluating disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects in minority communities and low-income communities within the context of NEPA documents prepared by EPA, including instances where EPA satisfies its NEPA compliance obligation as a cooperating agency.

#### State of California

Environmental Justice is defined by California statute as "The fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and incomes with respect to the development, adoption, implementation, and enforcement of all environmental laws, regulations, and policies."

Environmental justice has become a central concern in California, particularly after the passage in 1999 of legislation mandating that the California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) and related agencies and departments administer and enforce their programs in a way that "ensures fair treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income levels, including minority populations and low-income populations." (Public Resources Code [PRC] section 71110(a)). The adoption of environmental justice legislation at the State level places California in a leadership role nationally in environmental justice policymaking. This is due to leadership within State government but also to active organizing by environmental justice organizations and a growing body of research that has demonstrated that many of California's environmental disamenities, including hazardous facilities and toxic air emissions, are disproportionately in lower-income communities of color.

The State of California has enacted two statutes addressing environmental justice: SB 115 (Solis, Chapter 690, Statutes of 1999) and SB 89 (Escutia, Chapter 728, Statutes of 2000). SB 115 established the statewide definition for environmental justice, as well as broad requirements for the Integrated Waste Management Board (now renamed CalRecycle) to participate with Cal/EPA in developing a mission statement and to incorporate environmental justice considerations into all of its programs and activities. As required by SB 89, Cal/EPA established an Interagency Working Group in 2002, consisting of the Secretary for Environmental Protection, the Chairs of the Air Resources Board, Integrated Waste Management Board, and State Water Resources Control Board, and the Directors of Toxics, Pesticide Regulation, Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and Planning and Research, to develop an interagency environmental justice strategy. Cal/EPA is required by statute to report to the Legislature every three years on progress made in its current environmental justice efforts.

In April 2013, Cal/EPA released CalEnviroScreen, a new science-based tool for identifying California communities most burdened by pollution from multiple sources and most vulnerable to its effects. On June 28, 2013, Cal/EPA announced the formation of a new agency-wide Working Group to improve compliance with State environmental laws in California communities most burdened by pollution. The Working Group will utilize CalEnviroScreen to establish priority areas for coordinated compliance and enforcement efforts.

The proposed project will require approval and permits from CalRecycle and the Regional Water Quality Control Board. The environmental justice policies and programs of these two agencies are discussed below.

### CalRecycle

CalRecycle, in its Strategic Plan, has made a commitment to Environmental Justice. The California Integrated Waste Management Board's (CIWMB) 2001 Strategic Plan included the following Goal related to environmental justice: "Continuously integrate environmental justice concerns into all of the Board's programs and activities, including administrative and budgetary decisions" (Goal 6). This goal included the following four objectives: (1) develop an environmental justice strategy with input from stakeholders, especially concerned or impacted communities; (2) educate Board staff on environmental justice strategy and implementation among external stakeholders and concerned or impacted communities; (3) ensure greater public and community participation, including low-income and minority populations, in the development, adoption, and implementation of environmental regulations, policies, and programs; and (4) develop and maintain an information system to support Board efforts to develop and implement its environmental justice strategy.

While CalRecycle's current decision making process does not include an analysis of environmental justice, according to CalRecycle staff (Ohiosumua, July 27, 2012), CalRecycle is committed to reducing or eliminating any disproportionate impacts of pollution identified in any community, including low-income and minority populations.

### **State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB)**

The SWRCB has identified an environmental justice coordinator whose tasks include:

- Assisting the California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) in establishing their environmental justice Program;
- Conduct research on best practices for developing and implementing the SWRCB's Environmental Justice Program;
- Conduct training and develop informational material on environmental justice for all Board appointees, management, and staff;
- Review and incorporate relevant legislation to the environmental justice Program;
- Provide assistance and guidance to the Executive Director relative to the Cal/EPA Public Advisory Committee on environmental justice events and activities; and

Incorporate the SWRCB's bilingual program to ensure full participation by 1 2 all Californians. 3 Consistent with legislative mandates, the SWRCB's environmental justice 4 program goals include: 5 1. Integrating environmental justice considerations into the development, adoption, implementation and enforcement of Board decisions, 6 7 regulations and policies. 2. Promoting meaningful public participation and community capacity 8 9 building to allow communities to be effective participants in Board 10 decision-making processes. 3. Working with the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment to 11 12 improve research and data collection in communities of color and low-13 income populations. 14 4. Ensuring effective cross-media coordination and accountability when 15 addressing environmental justice issues. 16 4.12.2 Impact Analysis and Mitigation Measures 17 4.12.2.1 Thresholds of Significance 18 As noted above, as environmental justice is not an issue area addressed by 19 CEQA, neither the State CEQA Guidelines or the Santa Barbara County 20 Environmental Thresholds and Guidelines Manual provide any standards in 21 determining when an impact to a minority and/or low income population has 22 occurred. Therefore, the following standards used in this analysis were taken from the Federal Highway Administration and documented in the Caltrans 23 24 Standard Environmental Reference Handbook: 25 A disproportionately high and adverse effect on minority and low income 26 populations is considered an effect that would be: 27 Predominantly borne by a minority population and/or low income 28 population; 29 Suffered by the minority and/or low income population and is appreciably more severe or greater in magnitude than suffered by the non-minority 30 and/or non-low income population. 31 32 4.12.2.2 Proposed Tajiguas Resource Recovery Project A summary of 2010 Census data is provided in Table 4.12-1 for the project area 33 34 (Gaviota coast), Santa Barbara County and nearby cities. Note that Census 35 Tract 29.32 encompasses the south coast of Santa Barbara County from west of Goleta (Hollister Avenue/U.S. Highway 101 interchange) to near Gaviota 36 37 State Park.

38

Table 4.12-1. Summary of 2010 Census Data

Geographic Area	Population	Hispanic (%) <sup>1</sup>	Minority (%) <sup>2</sup>	Persons below Poverty Level (%)
Gaviota coast (Census Tract 29.32)	2,499	27.2	33.1	6.3
Santa Barbara County	423,895	42.9	51.2	14.2
City of Goleta	29,888	32.9	44.6	7.8
City of Santa Barbara	88,410	38.0	44.2	14.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The race category of "Hispanic" is not considered a race by the Census Bureau; therefore, one can identify themselves as white and Hispanic or Asian and Hispanic

The Tajiguas Landfill and the proposed Resource Recovery Project is entirely located within Census Tract 29.32. As indicated by 2010 Census data provided in Table 4.12-1, the local population (Tract 29.32) affected by the proposed project has substantially lower percentages of Hispanics, minorities and persons living below the property level, as compared to Santa Barbara County and the City of Goleta. Therefore, the affected population is not considered minority or low income, such that disproportionate impacts would not occur.

Although most impacts would only affect the local population, views of project facilities from U.S. Highway 101 would result in a potentially significant aesthetics impact. Motorists on U.S. Highway 101 represent many populations from southern California, but residents of Santa Barbara County are more likely to be affected by potentially degraded views. Due to the lack of minority and/or low income populations in the area, and large number of populations potentially affected, aesthetics impacts would not adversely affect minority and/or low income populations with greater severity or magnitude.

# 4.12.2.3 Proposed Tajiguas Resource Recovery Project with Optional Comingled Source Separated Recyclables (CSSR) Component

The potential inclusion of CSSR processing into the project would not substantially change environmental impacts, and would not alter the minority and low income status of the affected population. Therefore, the analysis presented in Section 4.12.2.2 is applicable to the proposed project with the CSSR Option.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Minority includes African-American, Asian, American Indian, Hawaiian/pacific islander and Hispanic

## 4.12.2.4 Extension of Life Impacts

As discussed in Section 3.4, project-related diversion of recyclable material and organic waste is anticipated to extend the life of the Tajiguas Landfill by about 10 years. Environmental justice impacts were not studied in the prior Tajiguas Landfill Environmental Documents. Environmental impacts associated with continued operation of the landfill would affect the Census Tract 29.32 population, which has substantially lower percentages of Hispanics, minorities and persons living below the property level, as compared to Santa Barbara County and the City of Goleta. Therefore, current and extended landfill operations would not disproportionately impact minority or low income populations.

#### 4.12.2.5 Decommissioning Impacts

Decommissioning activities would generate short-term, less than significant impacts including air quality, biological resources, hazardous materials, noise, traffic and water resources. However, the affected population is not considered minority or low income, such that disproportionate impacts would not occur.

## 4.12.2.6 Cumulative Impacts of Proposed Resource Recovery Project

Most of the cumulative projects (see Section 3.6) are located within Census Tract 29.32; however, a few are located within the City of Goleta. Census Tract 29.32 and the City of Goleta have lower percentages of Hispanics, minorities and persons living below the property level, as compared to Santa Barbara County. Therefore, these projects (including the proposed Resource Recovery Project) would not disproportionately affect minority or low income populations.