



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
AGENDA LETTER

Clerk of the Board of
Supervisors
105 E. Anapamu Street, Suite
407
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
(805) 568-2240

Agenda Number:

**Submitted on:
(COB Stamp)**

Department Name: Planning &
Development
Department No.: 053
For Agenda Of: May 21, 2019
Placement: Administrative, Set
hearing for 6/18/19
Estimated Tme: 1 hour
Continued Item: No
If Yes, date from:
Vote Required: Majority

TO: Board of Supervisors

FROM: Department Planning and Development
Director(s) Lisa Plowman, Director, (805) 568-2086

Contact Info: John Zorovich, Deputy Director, Energy, Minerals & Compliance
Division (805) 568-2519

SUBJECT: Set Hearing to Allocate 2018 and 2019 Coastal Resource Enhancement Fund
(CREF) Grants – All Districts

County Counsel Concurrence:

As to form: Yes

Auditor-Controller Concurrence:

As to form: N/A

Other Concurrence: N/A

As to form: No

Recommended Actions:

That the Board of Supervisors set a hearing on June 18, 2019 to:

- a) Receive staff's recommendations for the 2018 and 2019 CREF awards;
- b) Approve 2018 and 2019 CREF budgeting of funds as proposed in Table 10 on page 9 of the attached staff report, along with stipulations outlined in the *Staff Recommendation* sections for each proposal (Attachment A);
- c) Direct staff to prepare the proposed contractual agreements with non-County grantees, including final grant conditions, and return with contractual agreements for Board consideration and approval, including appropriate CEQA compliance;
- d) Defer \$80,176 of 2018 acquisition monies and \$146,606 of 2019 acquisition monies, for a total of \$226,782 to the Fund Deferral Program;
- e) Extend the 2017 CREF award (\$10,750) to the City of Goleta for acquisition of the Mathilda Drive Parcel (APN 079-554-031) until June 7, 2020 to allow time to complete requirements prior to entering a grant agreement with the County;

- f) Extend the 2016 CREF award (\$207,475) to the Trust for Public Land for acquisition of the Gaviota Marine Terminal property until June 7, 2020 to allow time to complete requirements prior to entering a grant agreement with the County; and
- g) Determine that budgeting CREF funds for the recommended projects does not constitute a “Project” within the meaning of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), pursuant to Sections 15378(b)(5) and 15378(b)(4) of the CEQA Guidelines, because it is an administrative activity of the government and creation of government funding mechanisms or other government fiscal activities, which does not involve any commitment to any specific project which may result in a potentially significant impact on the environment.

Summary Text:

Recommended Actions a - c. This year’s CREF cycle was a combined two-year 2018-2019 CREF funding cycle. By combining the 2018 and 2019 CREF fees, there is \$293,212 available for coastal acquisitions (\$146,606 from 2018 and \$146,606 from 2019) and \$254,337 available for general allocation (\$122,731 from 2018 and \$131,606 from 2019). The County received 10 proposals for this cycle (one proposal has since withdrawn its request). Therefore, of the nine proposals, eight are seeking a total of \$533,627 in general allocation monies and one proposal seeking \$69,250 in acquisition monies. Staff recommends granting awards to eight proposals (one for coastal acquisition and seven for general allocation). Please refer to Table 10 on page 8 in Attachment A for specific staff recommendations for the 2018 and 2019 CREF awards. Appendix 1 of the staff report evaluates each CREF proposal against the established CREF criteria and outlines stipulations for each of the recommended CREF awards.

Recommended Action d. The CREF Guidelines, Section 3.6, the Fund Deferral Program, was established by the Board to provide adequate funding for acquisition projects. The program’s intent is to have half of each year's CREF fees be deferred and allowed to accrue so that, at some point, the Board can fund an appropriate coastal acquisition project. As noted above, \$293,212 (\$146,606 from 2018 and \$146,606 from 2019) is available for coastal acquisition. The County received one request for the available coastal acquisition monies (\$69,250 from the City of Goleta to purchase open space to add to the Sperling Preserve). As indicated in Attachment A, staff recommends that the Board fund \$66,430 towards this project from the 2018 acquisition fund (staff is recommending \$2,820 less than the applicant requests because staff has identified \$2,820 from an additional source of funding). This leaves \$80,176 of 2018 acquisition monies and \$146,606 of 2019 acquisition monies. Staff recommends that these remaining monies, which total \$226,782 be deferred to the Fund Deferral Program and accrue for an appropriate coastal acquisition project in the future.

Recommended Action e. At the June 20, 2017 Board of Supervisors hearing, the Board awarded a \$10,750 CREF grant to the City of Goleta to purchase a 0.25-acre parcel adjacent to the Sperling Preserve Ellwood Mesa open space in the City of Goleta. The award was contingent on the City securing the remaining monies by June 20, 2019. The City has not secured the necessary funds to purchase that property and has submitted a request in this combined 2018-2019 cycle for the remaining monies to complete the purchase of this property. Staff is recommending that the Board fund the City’s 2018-2019 request. If the Board awards the City acquisition monies in this cycle to purchase the property, staff recommends that the Board extend the 2017 CREF award (\$10,750) to June 20, 2020.

Recommended Action f. At the June 20, 2016 Board of Supervisors hearing, the Board awarded a \$207,475 CREF grant to the Trust for Public Land (TPL) towards purchasing the Gaviota Cove property. At the June 20, 2017 Board of Supervisors hearing, the Board extended the 2016 CREF award

until June 7, 2019. That award was contingent on TPL entering into a signed purchase agreement with the landowner, Gaviota Terminal Company (GTC) prior to the June 7, 2019 date, or the grant monies would return to CREF. To date, TPL and GTC have not entered into a signed agreement. GTC has made substantial progress in restoring the site. TPL has recently submitted terms under which it would enter into a purchase agreement with GTC and is meeting with GTC in May 2019 for a decision. An update will be provided to the Board on any progress made at the May meeting between TPL and GTC. Staff recommends that the Board extend the time extension for a signed agreement between TPL and GTC until June 7, 2020.

Recommended Action g. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Section 15378 (b)(4) defines a “Project” as not including the creation of government funding mechanisms. Allocation of CREF awards is a proposed budget allocation and therefore is not considered a “Project.” CEQA Section 15378(b)(5) defines a “Project” as not including administrative activities of governments that will not result in direct or indirect physical changes in the environment.

Background:

The County established CREF to mitigate the environmental impacts of offshore oil and gas development and transportation projects. Mitigation is provided through CREF for impacts to four categories of coastal resources: recreation, tourism, aesthetics, and environmentally sensitive resources. The County must ensure that CREF fees are used to mitigate those impacts, according to conditions of approval on projects and the CREF Guidelines.

On October 2, 2018, the Board of Supervisors directed staff to commence a combined two-year 2018-2019 CREF cycle. The County collected 2018 CREF fees but did not solicit proposals, per the Board’s direction of soliciting proposals every other year while the Point Arguello Project and Santa Ynez Unit are not operating due to the inoperable Plains All-American Pipeline.

Fiscal Analysis:

Budgeted: Yes

CREF creates no fiscal impact on the County general fund budget. Funding for CREF is budgeted on page D-272 of the fiscal year 2018-19 budget in the Coastal Mitigation Budget Program, and is fully supported by funds in the Coastal Resource Enhancement Fund. Administration of CREF includes updates of the annual status report, solicitation and evaluation of grant proposals and recommendation of grant awards to the Board of Supervisors, preparing, monitoring and enforcement of CREF grant contracts, preparation and monitoring of the CREF budget, reassessing CREF fees, and responding to inquiries.

If granted a CREF award, capital improvements in Proposal #4, for Lookout Park may lead to additional maintenance costs in future years.

Attachments:

A: Combined two-year 2018 and 2019 CREF Cycle Staff Report

Attachment A

Combined Two-Year
2018-2019 CREF Cycle Staff Report

**Combined 2018-2019 CYCLE
COASTAL RESOURCE ENHANCEMENT FUND
(CREF)**

**Board of Supervisors Hearing
June 18, 2019**

**County of Santa Barbara
Planning & Development Department
Energy, Minerals & Compliance Division**

Table of Contents

Background.....	1
Future Revenues.....	3
Evaluation Process.....	3
Combined 2018-2019 CREF Cycle.....	4
Proposals Recommended for Funding.....	7
Fund Deferral.....	8
Table 10: Staff Recommendations	9
Appendix 1 – Evaluations of Year 2019 CREF Proposals.....	10
Project #1 – Vehicle for Field Trips.....	11
Project #2 – Implementing Lessons Learned from the Refugio Oil Spill Project	13
Project #3 – Treating Induncations of Ailing Seabirds.....	16
Project #4 – Lookout Park East End Renovation... ..	19
Project #5 – Habitat Restoration in Carpinteria Salt Marsh.....	21
Project #6 – Marine Protected Areas.....	22
Project #7 – Mathilda Drive Parcel Acquisition	25
Project #8 – Jalama Coastal Trail and Beach Access.....	28
Project #9 – Interactive Tidepool Project.....	30
Project #10 –Archaeological Research and Excavation	33
Appendix 2 – 1988-2017 CREF Awards by District.....	36

BACKGROUND

The County established the Coastal Resource Enhancement Fund (CREF) through conditions of approval when granting permits for the Point Arguello, Point Pedernales, Santa Ynez Unit, Gaviota Oil Terminal, and Molino Gas projects. These permits require payment of mitigation fees to CREF for the life of each project. (The Gaviota Oil Terminal and Molino Gas projects, since dismantled, do not contribute to CREF anymore.) The fund represents one of several measures that the County applied to help mitigate significant adverse impacts to coastal recreation, coastal visual aesthetics, coastal tourism, and environmentally sensitive coastal resources to the maximum extent feasible. Since impacts on these projects could not be mitigated entirely through direct measures, the fund offsets these impacts by enhancing coastal resources through various strategies not directly tied to the projects. Allocation of grants or loans from CREF must be directed at mitigating the specific types of impacts for which the permit conditions were crafted to address.

Since 1988, the Board of Supervisors has awarded 314 CREF grants for a total of \$23,021,900. Table 1 shows the distribution of past CREF dollars among the various categories (i.e., coastal acquisitions, capital improvements, education, equipment and planning and research). Prior to 1990, the CREF Guidelines rated capital projects as the highest priority use of CREF. In 1990, the Board amended the criteria to add coastal acquisitions as a higher priority use of CREF and devoted at least one half of each year's CREF fees to such acquisitions. Between 2007 and 2014, the Board of Supervisors amended the CREF Guidelines in regards to the percentage of CREF fees allocated to acquisitions from 0% to 65%. Since 2015, the percentage has remained at 50%.

Public agencies, municipalities, special districts, and non-profit organizations may compete for CREF awards. Table 2 illustrates the five categories of previous CREF grantees, and Tables 3 and 4 show which cities and County agencies received grants, respectively.

Table 1: CREF Allocations by Type of Project

PROJECT CATEGORIES	DOLLAR AMOUNT	PERCENTAGE
Acquisitions	\$10,533,687	46%
Capital Improvements	\$8,707,435	37%
Planning & Research	\$2,585,047	11%
Educational	\$1,053,305	5%
Equipment	\$142,426	< 1%
Total	\$23,021,900	

Table 2: CREF Allocations by Type of Grantee*

GRANTEE	AMOUNT	PERCENTAGE
County Agencies	\$10,391,150	45%
Non-Profit Agencies	\$10,074,358	44%
Cities	\$1,808,031	8%
Educational Institutions	\$740,861	3%
State & Federal Agencies	\$7,500	<1%
Total	\$23,021,900	

* Some projects have partnerships between a Non-Profit Agency and a Governmental Agency.

Table 3: Total CREF Allocations to Cities

CITY	AMOUNT	PERCENTAGE
Santa Barbara	\$602,931	33%
Carpinteria	\$559,379	31%
Goleta	\$423,595	23%
Lompoc	\$142,126	8%
Santa Maria	\$55,000	3%
Guadalupe**	\$25,000	1%
Total	\$1,808,031	

** The City of Guadalupe co-partnered with non-profit agencies on various CREF awards for a total of \$170,000 which is figured into the non-profit category in Table 2.

Table 4: Total CREF Allocations to Santa Barbara County Departments

COUNTY DEPT.	AMOUNT	PERCENTAGE
Community Services/Parks	\$5,763,611	55%
P&D/Long Range Planning	\$2,741,600	26%
Public Works	\$1,346,389	13%
County Administrator	\$281,162	3%
General Services	\$120,000	1%
Ag. Commissioners Office	\$90,388	<1%
Third District Supervisor	\$45,000	<1%
Fish & Game Commission	\$3,000	<1%
Total	\$10,391,150	

FUTURE REVENUES

Impacts and corresponding fee amounts are to be reassessed at five-year intervals unless circumstances allow a mid-year reassessment. As impacts may not actually occur as predicted by the environmental analysis used for the initial CREF assessments, fee amounts are to be reassessed throughout the duration of the remaining oil and gas projects that currently contribute to CREF. In May of 2016, the Board of Supervisors approved a mid-year reassessment of the Point Arguello Unit and the Santa Ynez Unit for the years 2015-2017 because these two facilities ceased production and subsequently removed all oil from their platform and pipeline facilities in response to the Plains All-American Pipeline shutdown. Impacts associated with the risk of an oil spill from these two facilities have therefore been greatly reduced, and potential impacts correspondingly reduce the assessment of CREF fees while the facilities are non-operational. (Point Pedernales Unit has not been reassessed since it is not affected by the shutdown of the Plains All-American Pipeline.) In the summer of 2017, the Board of Supervisors approved the seventh five-year (2018-2022) assessment of payments.

Additional grant revenue becomes available for re-allocation in future years if previously approved CREF awards do not materialize or move forward in a timely manner. In such cases, these awards revert back to the uncommitted CREF balance for reallocation in subsequent cycles.

EVALUATION PROCESS

Staff annually solicits and evaluates proposals for CREF awards, then submits recommendations to the Board of Supervisors for consideration in a duly noticed public hearing. The Board directed staff to solicit proposals every other year while the Point Arguello Project and Santa Ynez Unit are not operating. Once production is resumed, staff will begin soliciting on an annual basis again.

Staff follows two steps to evaluate the proposals: (1) determine the extent to which each proposal meets the eight Board-approved CREF criteria, and (2) determine the competitive advantage of each proposal over other proposals.

The following criteria guide CREF recommendations:

Criterion 1. *Enhancement projects must be located in the coastal area or have a coastal relationship, and must be consistent with the County's Local Coastal Program and Comprehensive Plan or other applicable local coastal/general plans. Enhancement projects should be located within geographical proximity to oil and gas onshore/offshore development activities while still providing for the broadest public benefit.*

Criterion 2. *Projects should compensate for coastal impacts due to oil and gas development, specifically for sensitive environmental resources, aesthetics, tourism, and negative effects on coastal recreation in the County.*

Criterion 3. *Projects should provide a level of broad public benefit.*

Criterion 4. *The intent of the CREF program is to fund coastal acquisition and capital improvement projects; therefore, projects which offer coastal acquisition and capital improvements will receive higher priority than whose projects which do not.*

Criterion 5. *Projects should utilize matching funds and/or in-kind services to the maximum extent possible.*

Criterion 6. *Projects should be self-supporting or should require minimum on-going County operations/maintenance costs once the project is completed and implemented.*

Criterion 7. *Projects to be funded should lack other viable funding mechanisms to complete the project.*

Criterion 8. *The feasibility of implementing and completing the project shall be considered. Projects with a high probability of success should be given preference.*

Along with the above criteria, staff may also consider the following factors in determining its recommendations for CREF funding:

- (a) the time-critical importance of the proposal compared to other competing proposals;
- (b) the relative ranking which the applicant gives a particular proposal, if submitting more than one proposal;
- (c) future investments, beyond on-going operations and maintenance that may be required by the County if the proposal is implemented;
- (d) performance on previous CREF grants;
- (e) timing of the CREF request in relation to the anticipated commencement of the project (i.e., the CREF request may be premature);
- (f) the extent to which a proposal compliments or conflicts with other similar ongoing projects in the community (particularly projects funded with CREF grants); and
- (g) benefits distributed throughout the County.

COMBINED TWO-YEAR 2018-2019 CREF CYCLE

Amount of Funds Available. The combined 2018-2019 cycle represents the 29th CREF cycle. The County collected CREF fees totaling \$898,700 (\$449,350 in both 2018 and 2019). Table 6 below shows how the CREF fees are allocated. Specifically, a total of \$312,276 is allocated toward the Hollister Ranch Access Program and \$38,875 is set aside for staff’s administration costs for this two-year cycle. Additionally, \$293,212 is allocated toward coastal acquisitions and \$254,337 is set aside for general allocation proposals.

Table 6: 2018/2019 CREF Fee Allocations

Year	Hollister Ranch Access Program	Acquisition	General Allocation	Administrative Costs	Total CREF Fees
2018	\$156,138	\$146,606	\$122,731	\$23,875	\$449,350
2019	\$156,138	\$146,606	\$131,606	\$15,000	\$449,350
Total	\$312,276	\$293,212	\$254,337	\$38,875	\$898,700

Amount of Funds Requested. The County received ten proposals for the combined 2018-2019 cycle, (one proposal has since withdrawn its request). Eight proposals are seeking a total of \$533,627 in general allocation monies and one proposal is seeking \$69,250 in acquisition monies for a total of \$602,877. Tables 7 and 8 show the types of proposals received and applicants, respectively, in the 2018-2019 cycle. Table 9 lists the proposals, applicants, amounts requested, and types of proposals.

Table 7: Types of Proposals in the 2018-2019 CREF Cycle

CATEGORIES	AMOUNT
Capital Improvements	\$291,000
Education	\$152,075
Equipment	\$70,552
Acquisitions	\$69,250
Planning & Research	\$20,000
Total	\$602,877

Table 8: Types of Applicants in the 2017 CREF Cycle

CATEGORIES	AMOUNT
Non-Profit Agencies	\$242,627
County Agencies	\$166,000
Educational Institutions	\$125,000
City Agencies	\$69,250
Total	\$602,877

Table 9: 2018-2019 CREF Proposals

DISTRICT	NO.	PROPOSAL TITLE	APPLICANT	AMOUNT REQUESTING	TYPE OF PROPOSAL
County-Wide	1	Vehicle for Field Trips	NatureTrack	\$13,400	Equipment
	2	Implementing Lessons Learned from the Refugio Oil Spill Project	Santa Barbara Channelkeeper	5,000	Educational / Operational Costs
	3	Treating Inundations of Ailing Seabirds	Santa Barbara Wildlife Care Network	57,152	Equipment
1st District	4	Lookout Park East End Renovation	County of Santa Barbara Community Services Department	\$166,000	Capital Improvements
	5	Habitat Restoration in Carpinteria Salt Marsh	Channel Islands Restoration	Withdrawn	
1st/2nd/3rd Districts	6	Marine Protected Areas	Santa Barbara Channelkeeper	\$10,000	Educational / Operational Costs
3rd District	7	Mathilda Drive Parcel Acquisition	City of Goleta	\$69,250	Acquisition
	8	Jalama Coastal Trail and Beach Access	Santa Barbara County Trails Council	\$20,000	Planning & Research
	9	Interactive Tidepool Project	Cabrillo High School Aquarium / Lompoc Unified School District	\$125,000	Capital Improvements
	10	Archaeological Research and Excavation	Guadalupe-Nipomo Dunes Center	\$137,075	Educational
Total Requested				\$602,877	

PROPOSALS RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING

In this cycle, nine proposals are seeking a total of \$602,877. Eight proposals are seeking a total of \$533,627 in general allocation monies and one proposal is seeking \$69,250 in acquisition monies. Table 10 on page 9 shows staff's recommendations, giving full or partial grants to eight applicants. These eight awards would provide benefits in a timely manner to different communities and the coastal environment throughout the County.

Criterion #4 of the CREF Guidelines states that the higher priorities of CREF are coastal acquisitions and capital improvements that enhance coastal resources. Staff recommends funding for the one proposal that seeks acquisition monies. The City of Goleta is requesting \$69,250 for purchasing 0.25 acres of open space and adding it to the Sperling Preserve in Goleta. This amount, along with a \$10,750 CREF award from the 2017 cycle, would allow the City to complete the purchase. Staff is recommending that the Board award \$66,430 towards this request. The reason for the \$2,820 less than the City is requesting is because staff has identified \$2,820 from monies the Planning & Development Department has remaining from a mitigation fund for the purposes of acquiring and protecting Monarch Butterfly habitat that could be used for the acquisition. (For more details, see staff's evaluation for Project #7 - Mathilda Drive Parcel Acquisition in Appendix 1 of this staff report.) In addition, staff recommends that the Board extend the \$10,750 grant from the 2017 cycle to allow the City to purchase the property by June of 2020.

Staff recommends partial funding for two capital improvement type projects. The first is \$60,000 towards the Lookout Park East End Renovation. The County Community Services Department would use this money, along with other monies secured to make improvements to a bluff top park in the Summerland area that provides both horizontal and vertical coastal beach access. The second is \$85,331 towards the Interactive Tidepool Project. The Lompoc Unified School District would use this money to replace and improve upon the Cabrillo High School Aquarium's Touch Tank exhibit.

The following five proposals that staff is recommending are not the higher priorities of CREF, but still have benefits for coastal resources:

- \$20,000 for the Jalama Coastal Trail and Beach Access project. This is a planning & research type project, which will plan for horizontal and vertical beach access along the County's newly acquired land adjacent to Jalama Beach.
- Two educational type projects:
 - \$4,000 for the Marine Protected Areas project. Specifically, money would go towards developing curriculum for the Santa Barbara Channelkeepers youth ocean fieldtrips.
 - \$35,430 for the Archaeological Research and Excavation project to help the Dune's Center expand and improve its sphinx exhibit.
- Two equipment-purchase type projects:
 - \$13,400 towards purchasing a vehicle so that Naturetrack can expand its K-12 fieldtrips to coastal destinations; and
 - \$36,176 towards purchasing a vehicle and equipment to help the Santa Barbara Wildlife Care Network treat and transport oiled seabirds.

An evaluation of each proposal appears in Appendix 1. The *Staff Recommendation* section of each evaluation contains preliminary conditions that staff believes necessary prior to the award of each proposal. Staff recommends that the Board of Supervisors acknowledge these conditions as general direction to staff and grantees when preparing final grant agreements, or as basic conditions on grants awarded to County departments. Conditions imposed on awards are necessary to provide sufficient safeguards for the required use of CREF monies.

FUND DEFERRAL

In addition to CREF awards, staff recommends \$80,176 of 2018 acquisition monies and \$146,606 of 2019 acquisition monies be deferred to the Fund Deferral Program. The CREF Guideline's Section 3.6 Fund Deferral Program was established by the Board to provide adequate funding for acquisition projects. The program's intent is to have half of each year's CREF fees be deferred and allowed to accrue so that, at some point, the Board can fund an appropriate coastal acquisition project. There is \$146,606 available from each the 2018 and 2019 acquisition funds. As stated above, staff is recommending \$66,430 for the Mathilda Drive acquisition. These monies would be provided by the 2018 CREF acquisition monies. Therefore, staff recommends the remaining 2018 acquisition monies of \$80,176, and the full \$146,606 from the 2019 acquisition monies (totaling \$226,782) be deferred to the Fund Deferral Program.

Table 10: Staff Recommendations for the Year 2018-2019 CREF Cycle

PROPOSAL NO. / TITLE	APPLICANT	AMOUNT REQUEST	2018 GENERAL ALLOCATION	2018 ACQUISITION	2019 GENERAL ALLOCATION	2019 ACQUISITION
No.1- Vehicle for Field Trips	NatureTrack	\$13,400	\$13,400			
No. 3 - Treating Inundations of Ailing Seabirds	Santa Barbara Wildlife Care Network	\$57,152			\$36,176	
No. 4 - Lookout Park East End Renovation	Community Services Department	\$166,000			\$60,000	
No. 6 - Marine Protected Areas	Santa Barbara Channelkeeper	\$10,000	\$4,000			
No. 7 - Mathilda Drive Parcel Acquisition	City of Goleta	\$69,250		\$66,430		
No. 8 - Jalama Coastal Trail and Beach Access	Santa Barbara County Trails Council	\$20,000	\$20,000			
No. 9 - Interactive Tidepool Project	Cabrillo High School Aquarium	\$125,000	\$85,331			
No. 10 - Archaeological Research and Excavation	Guadalupe-Nipomo Dunes Center	\$137,075			\$35,430	
TOTAL RECOMMENDED			\$122,731	\$66,430	\$131,606	0
AMOUNT AVAILABLE			\$122,731	\$146,606	\$131,606	\$146,606
AMOUNT REMAINING			0	\$80,176	0	\$146,606

Appendix 1

Evaluations of Year 2018-2019 CREF Proposals

PROJECT # 1 VEHICLE FOR FIELD TRIPS

County-Wide
NatureTrack
Requests \$13,400
Total Project Costs: \$26,800

Staff Recommendation: Fund the full request of \$13,400 from the 2018 general allocation funds. The applicant requests half the costs of the vehicle because only half of the fieldtrips are to coastal destination and, therefore, the necessary CREF coastal nexus pertains to half of the vehicle's use.

Summary of Proposal: The applicant requests \$13,400 in CREF funds to purchase half the costs of a Ford Transit Connect vehicle so that the applicant can increase the number of field trips it provides for its program titled "Fostering a Lifelong Fascination with Nature." The applicant's program provides outdoor environmental education field trips during the school day for K-12 students and teachers throughout Santa Barbara County. Currently, the applicant has one Ford Transit Connect vehicle, which holds field trip equipment and supplies and is utilized for every field trip. With a second Ford Transit, it would allow the applicant to operate concurrent field trips, accommodating more students (estimating 600-plus the first year and continuing to grow each year thereafter). The proposed budget includes purchasing the van, decorating it with the applicant's logo and installing shelves to hold equipment.

Background: NatureTrack's statement of purpose is two-fold: to encourage students to embrace the natural world with respect and wonder, inspiring them to be stewards of our natural resources; and to prepare school-aged students with the attitudes, leadership skills and habits for lifelong learning. Teachers choose a location that is closely aligned with their in-classroom curriculum, and specify what they would like NatureTrack docents to emphasize throughout the field trip. Field trip locations include both inland and coastal areas. There is no cost to schools or students; the applicant's program pays to transport students and teachers from schools to field trip locations. NatureTrack is an all volunteer nonprofit with more than 100 volunteer docents, ranging from college students to senior citizens, including but not limited to former teachers, biologists, engineers, doctors, attorneys, geologists, naturalists, pilots, and ship captains.

Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:

The eight CREF criteria can be found on pages 3-4 of the staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn't satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.

- (+) **Criterion # 1, Coastal Nexus.** The applicant requests CREF monies to cover half the cost of a vehicle to assist children on field trips. Of the 19 field trip locations that the applicant visits, 10 of them are coastal related (Ocean Beach Park/Santa Ynez River Estuary, Gaviota State Beach, Refugio State Beach, El Capitan State Beach, Arroyo Hondo Preserve, Haskell's Beach, More Mesa Beach, Coal Oil Point Reserve/Devereux Slough, Arroyo Hondo Beach, and Carpinteria

Tar Pit Parks). The proposal is considered to have the necessary coastal nexus since CREF monies would only go towards half the cost of the vehicle and approximately half of the destinations the vehicle would be used for are along the coast.

- (+) **Criterion #2, Coastal Resource Enhancement.** The proposal enhances coastal recreation and environmentally sensitive resources by taking students on field trips to coastal destinations. As stated in *Criterion #1*, the proposal meets the criterion because the CREF monies would be paying for half the cost of the vehicle, and half of the field trips would be to the coast. The curriculum of the coastal field trips includes the intertidal zone, watersheds, shore birds and other sea life, tide pools, erosion, sedimentation, sand movement, weather patterns, plant and animal adaptation, habitats, geology, and ecology in general.
- (+) **Criterion #3, Broad Public Benefit.** The applicant's outdoor field trip program has participating schools and field trip locations in all five Santa Barbara County Supervisorial Districts, and field trips are available to all students regardless of income. Currently, 57% of the participating schools are from the north county and 43% are from the south county. The proposed new vehicle would allow the applicant to reach more students and teachers each year. With one vehicle, the applicant's program increased from 600 students the first year to over 4,000 students currently. The applicant hopes the second vehicle allows the program to grow in the same manner.
- (-) **Criterion #4, Higher Priority - Capital Improvements and Coastal Acquisition.** The proposal is considered an equipment purchase, which does not satisfy the higher priority uses of CREF.
- (+) **Criteria #5 and 7, Matching Funds and Viable Funding Mechanisms.** The applicant has secured 50% of the vehicle costs from the Henry Mayo Newhall Foundation. The applicant states that volunteers will build shelving in the new vehicle to hold field trip equipment and supplies; the in-kind value of installing the shelving is \$1,000.
- (+) **Criterion #6, Ongoing County Costs.** The project would not require any ongoing County operational or maintenance costs. The vehicle maintenance and operation would be funded by the applicant program's general operating expenses. Since 2011, the applicant states it has been fully funded every year, often with a modest surplus remaining at the end of each fiscal year.
- (+) **Criterion #8, Feasibility of Successfully Implementing Project.** The proposal is to obtain one-half of the funding necessary for purchasing a vehicle for the applicant's outdoor field trip program. Staff believes that it has a high probability of being completed since it has already secured the remaining monies to purchase the vehicle. The applicant already has the same type of vehicle for field trip purposes and it is serving the program well. Staff believes the applicant can use the purchased vehicle successfully since it has been providing the program for over eight years.

Other Considerations: Within two weeks of opening its reservation system, the applicant reached its maximum capacity for field trips (4,400 students) for the 2018-19 school year. There was a waiting list of 750 students and 25 teachers. In addition, this is the first CREF award the applicant has sought in its 8 years of existence.

PROJECT # 2
IMPLEMENTING LESSONS LEARNED
FROM THE REFUGIO OIL SPILL PROJECT

County-Wide
Santa Barbara Channelkeeper
Requests \$5,000
Total Project Costs: \$17,500

Staff Recommendation: Deny request. Even though this proposal is ranked first of the applicant's two proposals submitted, staff believes the applicant's other proposal enhances coastal resources more appropriately. In addition, it appears that most of the activities in this proposal constitute ongoing operational costs, which CREF does not fund.

Summary of Proposal: The applicant is requesting CREF monies to help fund its "Implementing Lessons Learned from the Refugio Oil Spill" project. The project would implement recommendations addressing lessons learned from the spill that have come out of "after action" reports prepared by various agencies (see *Background* section below). Specifically, the applicant requests that CREF monies pay for the applicant's staff time to:

- Train in Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER) and Community Emergency Response Team (CERT) to develop the certification required to participate as an affiliated volunteer in future oil spill responses.
- Participate in the Los Angeles/Long Beach Area Contingency Plan committee meetings. The area committee is comprised of representatives from local, state, tribal and federal levels to develop the Area Contingency Plan (ACP), which is mainly a response plan for stakeholders. At these meetings, the applicant would stay abreast of spill response planning in Santa Barbara County and ensure that recommendations from the after action reports are incorporated into the ACP.
- Attend workshops and review and comment on the update to the County's Oil Spill Contingency Plan and regulations being developed to implement Assembly Bill 864, which would require best available technology on oil pipelines.
- Track and participate in the NRDA process. NRDA documents and quantifies the environmental injuries caused by the spill.
- Serve on the steering committee and help organize a symposium that Sea Grant plans to host in Santa Barbara to discuss lessons learned from the Refugio spill and opportunities to apply them to improve future spill preparedness and response.
- Conduct other public outreach on oil-related issues through the applicant's website, newsletters, social media, and its online Oil Spill Resource Guide.
- Survey tar balls, twice a month, to document baseline data to show what constitutes "normal" tar ball coverage on area beaches caused by natural seeps. This data would be submitted to the California Department of Fish and Wildlife to facilitate future oil spill detection.

Applicant's Priority Ranking: The applicant ranks this proposal first of two submitted.

Background: The Refugio Oil Spill occurred on May 19, 2015. In mid-2016, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), the US Coast Guard (USCG), and Santa Barbara County each released “after action” reports outlining numerous recommendations for how these agencies and other entities should incorporate and address lessons learned from the spill. Some of the key recommendations from these reports highlight the need for: (1) better transparency, information sharing and engagement with non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and the public at large; (2) improved volunteer training and management; (3) baseline data on oiling from natural seeps in the region; (4) capacity building for NGOs in oil spill preparedness and response planning; and (5) greater awareness and utilization of local knowledge, expertise and assets in spill response.

The applicant unsuccessfully sought a CREF grant in the 2017 cycle for a similar proposal. In that evaluation, staff determined that many of the proposal activities were considered on-going operational costs.

Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:

The eight CREF criteria can be found on pages 3-4 of the staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn't satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.

- (+) **Criterion # 1, Coastal Nexus.** The proposal's objective is to ensure that the applicant, oil spill response agencies, and Santa Barbara County as a community are better prepared and can respond more effectively in order to minimize the environmental and economic damage caused by any future oil spills in the Santa Barbara Channel. The applicant proposes to serve as a go-to organization that the community can turn to for information, expertise and assets to support effective response to future oil spills. The proposal has the necessary coastal nexus.
- (+/-) **Criterion #2, Coastal Resource Enhancement.** The proposal could enhance environmentally sensitive coastal resources by helping with offshore, near shore and beach oil spill responses, potentially reducing the impacts to coastal resources. However, organizations at all levels are involved in oil spill responses. It is unknown how much the applicant's activities can enhance these efforts, and thus enhance environmentally sensitive coastal resources.
- (+) **Criterion #3, Broad Public Benefit.** The proposal could benefit Santa Barbara County residents as the proposal's objective is for the applicant to serve as a go-to organization that the community can turn to for information during an oil spill in the Santa Barbara Channel.
- (-) **Criterion #4, Higher Priority - Capital Improvements and Coastal Acquisition.** It appears that more than half the proposal costs would go towards on-going operational costs and the remaining could be considered education. Neither of these categories satisfies the higher priorities of CREF. In addition, CREF does not fund ongoing costs.
- (+) **Criteria #5 and 7, Matching Funds and Viable Funding Mechanisms.** The applicant seeks approximately 30% of the proposal costs from CREF. It has secured the remaining monies from Patagonia (\$2,500), the Campbell Foundation (\$5,000), and individual donors (\$5,000).

- (+) **Criterion #6, Ongoing County Costs.** There would be no ongoing County costs. The applicant states that it would continue to seek monies from long-time foundation funders and individual donors to support its ongoing work.

- (+) **Criterion #8, Feasibility of Successfully Implementing Project.** Since the applicant has been performing many of the proposal activities for over two years, it is considered that the applicant can continue to perform these activities successfully.

Other Considerations: During the Refugio oil spill, the applicant participated on CDFW Refugio Incident conference calls, participated as an observer with collecting tar samples on beaches from Orange County to Santa Barbara County, received Liaison Officer daily updates and provided volunteer information to the community. The applicant states that it has its own specialized equipment useful to spill response and oil monitoring efforts, including a seaworthy 31-foot boat, high quality underwater photo and video camera gear, water and sediment monitoring equipment, SCUBA gear, a kayak, and access to volunteer pilots and airplanes. In addition, the applicant states it has established relationships with many of the key players involved in spill response and with several scientists involved in the scientific monitoring that will inform the calculation of damages from the Refugio spill. The applicant collaborates and learns from other Waterkeeper organizations that have been involved in responding to oil spills.

PROJECT # 3 TREATING INUNDATIONS OF AILING SEABIRDS

County-Wide
Santa Barbara Wildlife Care Network
Request \$57,152
Total Project Costs: \$59,652

Staff Recommendation: Fund a partial request of \$36,176 from the 2019 general allocation funds, contingent on the applicant securing the remaining monies to complete the proposal. Specifically, staff recommends the funds shall be allocated as: 1) \$12,176 towards 10 net-bottom ventilated enclosures, 10 heating pads, 40 syringes and 4 industrial tub tables with temperature and pressure control; and 2) \$24,000 towards the truck. Staff considers the methyl soyate as ongoing operational costs, which CREF does not fund. Staff recommends funding 50% of the equipment costs (minus the methyl soyate) and 75% of the truck costs since the equipment and truck would also be used for transporting and treating non-coastal related wildlife. (See *Other Considerations*, below)

Summary of Proposal: The applicant requests funds to purchase: 1) equipment to aid large number of oiled and ailing birds (\$25,152 requested); and 2) a truck with camper and heat regulation (\$32,000) to safely transport birds to the International Bird Rescue facility in San Pedro (see *Background* section below). Specific equipment to be purchased includes: 10 net-bottom, ventilated enclosures for washed birds, 10 heating pads to prevent hypothermia and aid rehabilitation, 20 methyl soyate to clean feathers, 40 syringes for administering anti-inflammatories and painkillers, and four industrial tub tables, with temperature and pressure control, for oil washing and rinsing.

Background: The applicant rescues and rehabilitates injured wildlife, including oiled birds. During the event of an oil spill, like the 2015 Refugio Incident, the applicant's Seabird Care Center is activated by the Oiled Wildlife Care Network (OWCN), who provides much-needed supplies to handle an influx of oiled birds. The applicant does not have the OWCN-provided supplies for use outside the event of a spill. In 2018, the applicant received 106 birds that were oiled by primarily naturally occurring systems (e.g., oil seeps). For these occurrences, the applicant stabilizes, cares, and transports the birds to the International Bird Rescue facility in San Pedro, California, since it does not have the necessary supplies. The applicant states that volunteers have declined to drive the birds down to San Pedro as they feel they cannot safely drive while breathing in the fumes that emanate from the oiled birds.

The applicant has received seven CREF grants in the past:

- \$1,580 in the 2000 cycle to purchase an above ground pool, a baby scale to weigh birds, an ultraviolet light, a freezer, and an aviary.
- \$1,037 grant in the 2004 cycle to purchase a net to discourage visiting birds to the existing sea bird facility.
- \$31,800 in the 2005 cycle for a Seabird Care Center.
- \$120,000 in the 2006 cycle for a Seabird Care Center.
- \$150,000 in the 2007 cycle for a Seabird Care Center.
- \$191,000 in the 2010 cycle for a Seabird Care Center.

- \$6,039 in the 2014 cycle to purchase three small specialized pelagic pools with filtration systems, two soft-sided and bottomed portable holding pens, three veterinary dryers, and two adjustable capture nets to care for pelagic birds.

In addition, the applicant relinquished a \$25,000 grant from the 1998 CREF cycle towards a wildlife care center since it could not commence the project within the allotted two years.

Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:

The eight CREF criteria can be found on pages 3-4 of the staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn't satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.

- (+/-) **Criterion # 1, Coastal Nexus.** A portion of the proposal contains a strong coastal nexus by purchasing equipment that would help the applicant rehabilitate and transport injured or oiled seabirds. The coastal nexus is considered a partial nexus as the applicant is seeking CREF funds to purchase equipment that would also be used for rehabilitating and transporting non-coastal wildlife, too. (See *Other Considerations*, below)
- (+/-) **Criterion #2, Coastal Resource Enhancement.** This proposal would enhance environmentally sensitive coastal resources, specifically various seabird species. The coastal nexus is considered a partial nexus, as stated in *Criterion #1*, since the applicant is seeking CREF funds to purchase equipment that would also be used for rehabilitating and transporting non-coastal wildlife. (See *Other Considerations*, below)
- (+) **Criterion #3, Broad Public Benefit.** This proposal will benefit injured and oiled seabirds. In addition, the sight of an injured or oiled bird affects most people; knowing the birds were being cared for would have a broad public benefit.
- (-) **Criterion #4, Higher Priority - Capital Improvements and Coastal Acquisition.** The proposal is to purchase equipment, which does not satisfy the higher priority of CREF. Staff considers a very small portion of the proposal's costs - methyl solyate (\$800, which represent 1% of total costs) - as operational costs/items.
- (-) **Criteria #5 and 7, Matching Funds and Viable Funding Mechanisms.** The applicant is requesting 100% of the budget from CREF. The applicant stated that \$2,500 for increased insurance for staff and volunteers would be secured through donors. However, staff considers that as operational costs and not part of the proposal.
- (+) **Criterion #6, Ongoing County Costs.** There would be no ongoing County operations or maintenance involved with this proposal. The applicant has been successfully operating its facility for over 25 years. The applicant states that its operating and maintenance budget would come from its annual fund-raising program.
- (+) **Criterion #8, Feasibility of Successfully Implementing Project.** Staff anticipates that the applicant will complete the project successfully since the proposal is simply to purchase equipment. The applicant has successfully completed seven CREF grants in the past.

Other Considerations: The applicant states that the equipment would be used for seabirds and non-seabirds. The applicant estimates that 64%-72% of the truck's use would be used for transporting oiled birds/wildlife to the International Bird Rescue facility in San Pedro. It estimates that the other 28%-36% of the truck's use would be for local trips and that some of the local trips would also be to transport seabirds. Therefore, staff considers half of the equipment costs (minus the methyl soyate) as having 50% coastal nexus and 75% of the truck being used for coastal nexus trips. Therefore, 50% of the equipment costs (minus methyl soyate) is \$12,176 and 75% of the truck costs is \$24,000, which are reflected in staff's recommendations.

PROJECT # 4
LOOKOUT PARK EAST END RENOVATION

1st District
County of Santa Barbara, Community Services Department
Request \$166,000
Total Project Costs: \$619,000

Staff Recommendation: Fund a partial request of \$60,000 from the 2019 general allocation funds, contingent on the applicant securing the remaining monies to complete the proposal. Staff does not recommend the full request amount since the request equates to 65% of the total amount of general allocation funding available in the combined 2018-2019 cycle. There are other worthy proposals to fund in this cycle.

Summary of Proposal: The applicant requests money towards construction costs to renovate the east end of Lookout Park in order to provide improvements to increase passive coastal recreational opportunities. The renovations would remove existing auxiliary park sheds and building pads, sections of existing asphalt and the concrete drainage swale. Improvements would include installation of decomposed granite trails, natural stone benches, planting and irrigation systems, grassy and permeable paving picnic areas with BBQs, trellises and recreational site amenities such as concrete ping pong tables and a bocce ball court. Additional site improvements would include relocation of the EV charging station and upgrading the concrete site drainage swale to natural rock percolation to deter erosion and reduce hardscape.

Background: Lookout Park is in Summerland, an unincorporated community of the County, which offers free beach access parking and contains an off-leash dog beach. A park ranger residence and maintenance area was originally located at the east end of the park, but after elimination of the park ranger residence program at non-remote and non-camping County parks in 1995, the ranger residence building was removed in 2013. In 2018 a reduced-sized maintenance area was relocated to the west end of the park in order to screen the area from public views. This opened up the east end of the park for the worked outlined in this proposal.

The applicant has received three CREF grants in the past associated with Lookout Park:

- \$30,000 grant in the 1994 cycle to construct a wheelchair accessible paved pathway and bathroom.
 - \$40,000 grant in the 2007 cycle to remove 1.7 acres of Arundo donax.
 - \$10,000 grant in the 2010 cycle to follow-up on the Arundo eradication, pull weeds and plant native plants.
-

Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:

The eight CREF criteria can be found on pages 3-4 of the staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn't satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.

- (+) **Criterion # 1, Coastal Nexus.** The proposal has a coastal relationship. The proposal would enhance a coastal bluff top park with vertical beach access.
- (+) **Criterion #2, Coastal Resource Enhancement.** The proposal enhances coastal recreation and coastal tourism by providing public amenities at a coastal bluff top park.
- (+) **Criterion #3, Broad Public Benefit.** The applicant states that Lookout Park serves over 330,000 visitors a year. The park provides direct beach access, day use services, such as grassy BBQ and picnic areas, coastal overlooks, a restroom, and a dog wash station. The park also provides recreational activities such as horseshoes, a sand volleyball court and a tot lot. The proposal would add trails, benches, planting, picnic areas, trellises and concrete ping pong tables and a bocce ball court, which would benefit the visitors at the park.
- (+) **Criterion #4, Higher Priority - Capital Improvements and Coastal Acquisition.** The proposal is considered a capital improvement, which satisfies the higher priority of CREF.
- (+) **Criteria #5 and 7, Matching Funds and Viable Funding Mechanisms.** The applicant is seeking 27% of the project's funding from CREF. The County Board of Supervisors appropriated \$54,000 in Community Service Area (CSA) 11 funds towards design of the Project. These secured funds represent almost 10% of the matching funds. Additional funds that the applicant will be asking the Board to appropriate towards project construction include: \$221,000 in CSA 11 funds and \$148,000 in Development Impact Fee funds. In addition, the applicant offers \$30,000 as in-kind services for project management.
- (+/-) **Criterion #6, Ongoing County Costs.** Ongoing County costs already occur at Lookout Park. The new improvements would slightly increase the ongoing costs at the Park.
- (+) **Criterion #8, Feasibility of Successfully Implementing Project.** Staff believes that the applicant can successfully implement the project once it secures all the monies. The applicant has received many CREF grants in the past to improve various coastal parks, all of which have been completed successfully.

Other Considerations: None.

PROJECT # 5
HABITAT RESTORATION IN CARPINTERIA SALT MARSH

1st District
Channel Islands Restoration
Requests \$39,336
Total Project Costs: \$74,336

The applicant withdrew the proposal.

PROJECT # 6 MARINE PROTECTED AREAS

1st, 2nd and 3rd Districts
Santa Barbara Channelkeeper
Requests \$10,000
Total Project Costs: \$91,415

Staff Recommendation: Fund a partial request of \$4,000 from the 2018 general allocation fund, specifically for the applicant to hire a consultant to prepare the supplemental Seafari curriculum. This particular activity is considered educational and not operating costs (see *Criterion #4*, below) and the applicant has secured the remaining monies to complete this specific activity. Staff does not recommend the full request because it considers most of the proposal's activities listed below as ongoing operational costs, which CREF does not fund.

Summary of Proposal: The applicant is requesting CREF monies to increase public awareness, support, stewardship and recreation in the 18 Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) located off of Santa Barbara County, including the Channel Islands. Specifically, the applicant is requesting that CREF monies pay for the applicant's staff time to:

- **Supplemental Seafari Curriculum:** Develop supplemental educational materials for the "Seafari" educational and live-stream cruises that align with science standards. The curriculum would be used before and after the cruise lessons. The "before" curriculum would prepare the students for what they will learn and experience on the cruise while the "after" curriculum would reinforce and assess what they learned.
- **Outreach:** Promote MPA awareness and stewardship through a wide variety of outreach efforts, including distributing MPA informational brochures and maps at community events and through coordination with local hotels and ocean-related businesses; disseminating MPA-related news, events and opportunities for involvement through the applicant's website, newsletters, email and social media; and delivering presentations about MPAs to various youth and community groups and dive clubs.
- **Boat Cruises and live-streaming to classrooms:** Provide free "Seafari" educational cruises on the applicant's boat to underserved youth in the community, and teach about MPAs and marine conservation. The applicant is in the final stages of developing the capacity to live-stream underwater Seafaris directly to classrooms, reaching more students.
- **Recreation and Eco-Tourism:** Partner with local ocean recreation and eco-tourism businesses to host SCUBA diving excursions to local MPAs.
- **MPA Dive Map:** Prepare/create a dive map of an MPA at Anacapa Island, which will highlight underwater areas of interest to improve accessibility for divers and snorkelers. Specifically, the applicant would conduct several SCUBA dives in the MPA to delineate a dive "trail" with GPS points and to pinpoint and video-document underwater features of interest within the MPA. Short video clips would be edited for each feature of interest to be highlighted on the map. The applicant would design and make laminated copies of the map's dive trail.
- **Monitoring:** Continue to implement the MPA Watch Program, which trains and engages volunteers and interns to conduct standardized shore-based surveys in and around the Campus

Point, Naples, and Kashtayit MPAs to observe and document potential MPA violations as well as other human activities (i.e. recreation).

- **Restoration:** Conduct routine marine debris surveys from the applicant's boat, particularly after major rain events, and removing any debris it encounters.

Applicant's Priority Ranking: The applicant ranks this proposal second of two submitted.

Background: In an effort to protect marine life and habitats from pollution and over-fishing, the California's Marine Life Protection Act (MLPA) of 1999 mandated the creation of a network of MPAs. The California Fish and Game Commission established 36 new MPAs off the southern California coast in 2010, five of which are located offshore of Santa Barbara County (at Point Conception, Kashtayit/Gaviota, Naples, Campus Point and Goleta Slough). Added to the existing MPAs established around the Channel Islands in 2002, the Santa Barbara Channel is home to a total of 18 MPAs, making it a focal point for marine conservation.

Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:

The eight CREF criteria can be found on pages 3-4 of the staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn't satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.

- (+) ***Criterion # 1, Coastal Nexus.*** The proposal's objective is to increase public awareness, support, stewardship and recreation in the 18 MPAs off of Santa Barbara County, including the Channel Islands. This constitutes a coastal nexus.
- (+) ***Criterion #2, Coastal Resource Enhancement.*** The proposal would enhance coastal recreation, coastal tourism, and environmentally sensitive coastal resources by increasing public awareness, stewardship and recreation in the 18 MPAs through its many activities outlined in the proposal. The applicant would enhance stewardship of environmentally sensitive coastal resources through the educational curriculum it plans to develop.
- (+) ***Criterion #3, Broad Public Benefit.*** The proposal would benefit local students and residents and tourist who are interested in diving off of the Channel Islands.
- (-) ***Criterion #4, Higher Priority - Capital Improvements and Coastal Acquisition.*** Most of the proposal costs are considered on-going operational costs, except for supplemental Seafari curriculum and preparation of a new dive map, which are considered educational. CREF does not fund ongoing costs. Educational proposals are not considered CREF's higher priorities.
- (+) ***Criteria #5 and 7, Matching Funds and Viable Funding Mechanisms.*** The applicant seeks 11% of the proposal costs from CREF. It has secured \$30,000 from the Resources Legacy Fund, \$30,000 from the Marisla Foundation, \$5,000 from the UCSB Coastal Fund, and \$16,415 from individual donors.
- (+) ***Criterion #6, Ongoing County Costs.*** There would be no ongoing County costs. The applicant states that it would continue to seek monies from long-time foundation funders and individual donors to support its ongoing work.

- (+) **Criterion #8, Feasibility of Successfully Implementing Project.** Since the applicant has developed curriculum previously, it is considered that the applicant can continue to perform these activities successfully. The applicant has created dive maps for the Naples, Campus Point and Anacapa Island MPAs.

Other Considerations: As stated above, most of the proposal costs are considered on-going operational costs, except for supplemental Seafari curriculum and preparation of a new dive map, which are considered educational. Staff recommended funding for only the supplemental Seafari curriculum because it appears to have a broader public benefit than the Anacapa dive map.

PROJECT # 7
MATHILDA DRIVE PARCEL ACQUISITION

3rd District
City of Goleta
Requests \$69,250
Total Project Costs: \$82,500

Staff Recommendation: Fund a partial request of \$66,430 from the 2018 acquisition fund. Staff is recommending \$2,820 less than the applicant is requesting because staff has identified \$2,820 from an additional source of funding (see *Criteria #5 and 7, Matching Funds and Viable Funding Mechanisms*, below).

The award would be contingent on the following:

- The applicant shall secure all necessary funds to complete the purchase.
- The purchase price shall not exceed the fair market value.
- Escrow shall close within one year from the time the grant is approved.
- The applicant shall hold title in part or whole to assure sufficient financial responsibility associated with land management and liability.
- Any transfer of property ownership must be approved by County.
- The applicant shall record a conservation easement, stipulating that all the purchased land shall be dedicated in perpetuity to habitat preservation and passive recreation. Specific passive recreational uses shall be limited to those uses that do not:
 - impact the onsite habitats; and/or
 - conflict with others who passively recreate.
- The property itself cannot be used as collateral for any loans, including loans required to purchase the property.
- If the applicant default on any loan used to purchase the subject property, the applicant must donate the property and any improvements to a solvent governmental agency or non-profit agency. If applicant cannot find a willing owner to own and manage the property, then applicant may sell the property, provided it refund this CREF award to the County within 60 days of sale.

Summary of Proposal: The applicant requests a grant to help fund the purchase of a 0.25-acre parcel, adjacent to and north of the Sperling Preserve Ellwood Mesa open space in the City of Goleta. There are no structures on the site and no existing street access. The site is covered in non-native grassland and eucalyptus woodland; a wetland and Monarch Butterfly and raptor habitats exist on site. The 0.25-acre parcel is designated as an Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Area (ESHA) in the City of Goleta's General Plan/Coastal Land Use Plan. Once acquired, the parcel would be added to the adjacent Sperling Preserve. The property was appraised at \$80,000 in 2015.

Background: There are 18 separately owned parcels that total 7.77 acres in an area north of the Sperling Preserve Ellwood Mesa that the City seeks to buy and add to the Sperling Preserve. The Goleta Community Plan states that the 18 parcel sites are located next to ecosystems of regional importance and are "key components of remaining local blocks of coastal open space which experience heavy public use." The City

has successfully acquired seven of the 18 parcels, three of which have received CREF funds. Four CREF grants with a total of \$423,595 have been awarded towards these parcels:

- \$300,000 grant in the 2007 cycle towards a one-acre site;
- \$64,845 grant in the 2010 cycle towards a 0.25-acre site;
- \$48,000 grant in the 2015 cycle towards a 0.33-acre site; and
- \$10,750 grant in the 2017 cycle towards this proposed 0.25-acre site.

Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:

The eight CREF criteria can be found on pages 3-4 of the staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn't satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.

- (+) **Criterion # 1, Coastal Nexus.** The proposed property has a coastal nexus. Past documents identify the parcel as being important because it is located next to coastal ecosystems with regional importance. In addition, a designated wetland, Monarch Butterfly and raptor habitats exist on site. Preservation of this habitat is consistent with the City's General/Local Coastal Plan and the Goleta Community Plan.
- (+) **Criterion #2, Coastal Resource Enhancement.** The proposal would enhance coastal recreation, tourism and environmentally sensitive coastal resources. A designated wetland, Monarch Butterfly and raptor habitats exist on site. An informal trail on the site helps connect the residential area to the north to the Sperling Preserve to the south.
- (+/-) **Criterion #3, Broad Public Benefit.** Purchasing the 0.25 acres may benefit present and future users of this site. The development potential of this site is considered low with all the environmental constraints on the site; therefore, the likelihood of this property being developed without this purchase is low.
- (+) **Criterion #4, Higher Priority - Capital Improvements and Coastal Acquisition.** The proposal is a coastal acquisition, which satisfies the higher priority of CREF.
- (-) **Criteria #5 and 7, Matching Funds and Viable Funding Mechanisms.** The applicant seeks 97% of the total purchasing costs from CREF. The Board awarded a \$10,750 CREF grant in 2017 towards this proposal. The applicant offers 3% from itself for closing costs. The applicant is not seeking additional monies from any other sources and has not secured any monies towards this property in two years.

The Planning & Development Department (P&D) has \$2,820 remaining for funding acquiring and protecting Monarch butterfly habitats and staff recommends that it be used towards this proposal. P&D had \$106,822 available for the purpose of acquiring and protecting Monarch butterfly habitat pursuant to the conditions of approval for two past development projects that required applicants to contribute money to mitigate the impacts to the Monarch butterfly (Santa Barbara Club Resort and Spa/Hyatt 86-DP-046 and Nesbitt Structure 95-RMM-003). On November 7, 2017, the Board of Supervisors awarded the City of Goleta \$104,002 of the \$106,822 to purchase one of the 18 parcels described above in the area north of the Sperling Preserve Ellwood Mesa (see *Background*,

above). The 0.25-acre parcel was added to the larger nearby Sperling Preserve and a Conservation Easement was recorded to protect onsite Monarch butterfly habitat. The remaining amount for Monarch butterfly habitat restoration that P&D still holds is \$2,820.

- (+) **Criterion #6, Ongoing County Costs.** The applicant states that the properties would be maintained with the applicant's current management of the adjoining Sperling Preserve. There would be no ongoing County costs.
- (+/-) **Criterion #8, Feasibility of Successfully Implementing Project.** The applicant has received an offer-to-sell letter from the property owner and the agreed upon purchase price is \$80,000. Staff believes that the property can be acquired if this CREF grant is awarded. The applicant has purchased five properties similar to this proposal.

Other Considerations: An updated appraisal was prepared in early 2015. The parcel is zoned residential but is designated as Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Areas in the City of Goleta's General Plan/Local Coastal Plan. The parcel's environmental constraints include a designated wetland, which would restrict development to a minimum 100 feet outward on both sides of the centerline of the wetland area. Monarch Butterfly habitat is also located on site, which requires a 50-foot setback. In addition, a small portion of the site is within a floodway and Mathilda Drive terminates approximately 850 feet from the parcel. The appraisal in 2008 noted environmental constraints and concluded that "Physical development of the site would be very difficult being a small single lot." The 2015 appraisal notes physical constraints to developing the parcel and reports that "This property has an uneven topography and is not adjacent to a developed parcel. The lack of street access, utilities on site and usable land have a negative impact on the value of this site..."

PROJECT # 8
JALAMA COASTAL TRAIL AND BEACH ACCESS

3rd District
Santa Barbara County Trails Council
Requests \$20,000
Total Project Costs: \$85,000(Planning & Permitting)
(\$660,000 including construction)

Staff Recommendation: Fund full request of \$20,000 from the 2018 general allocation, contingent on: 1) the applicant securing all additional funds to complete the Environmental Planning & Permitting Phase; and 2) the County Community Services Department approval of the proposal's design prior to commencing the environmental review.

Summary of Proposal: The applicant requests CREF monies to assist with planning for a Jalama Coastal Trail and beach access on property being acquired by the County adjacent to Jalama Beach County Park. The County recently accepted an offer to dedicate 36 acres of land south of Jalama Beach, an approximate ¾-mile bluff top area that lies between the ocean and Jalama Beach Road. Specifically, the CREF funds would be used to prepare planning documents (i.e., environmental documents and biological studies) needed to secure a Coastal Development Permit for construction of the improvements. The planning documents would help secure additional permits required by the Army Corps of Engineers, California Department of Fish and Wildlife and California Regional Water Quality Control Board.

Specific planning improvements include:

- A new coastal access trail and short stairway down a steep eroded canyon known as “the crack” to provide coastal and beach access to the popular surf break Tarantula’s.
- A ¾-mile long segment of the California Coastal Trail along the newly acquired bluff top lands.
- Up to 50 new coastal access parking spaces proximate to the new coastal access trail and short stairway.

Background: Jalama County Park encompasses 23 acres along the coast, 60 miles northwest of Santa Barbara and 25 miles southwest of Lompoc. The Park currently provides approximately 110 day-use coastal access parking spaces, and the only public campground in the northern portion of the County. The Park’s 110 day-use coastal access parking spaces are typically filled to capacity early in the day during peak periods, which include the summer season, most weekends and during times of major surf swells. During these times, long lines of cars wait for extended periods of time for coastal access parking to become available. In addition, surfers have historically trespassed across formerly private lands to access the beach during periods of high surf.

Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:

The eight CREF criteria can be found on pages 3-4 of the staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn’t satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.

- (+/-) **Criterion # 1, Coastal Nexus.** The proposed project has a coastal nexus in that it would plan to provide vertical and horizontal coastal access. However, since the proposal is for planning and permitting, and not for construction, it is uncertain if the accesses would be constructed.
- (+/-) **Criterion #2, Coastal Resource Enhancement.** The proposal could enhance coastal recreation and tourism by providing parking for beach access and formalizing a trail along a coastal bluff top and down to the beach. However, since the proposal is for planning and permitting, and not for construction, it is uncertain if the accesses would be constructed.
- (+) **Criterion #3, Broad Public Benefit.** Jalama Beach is one of only four coastal access points in the entire north County, an area with almost 200,000 residents. As noted in the *Background* section above, Jalama Beach coastal access parking spaces are typically filled to capacity early in the day during the summer, most weekends and during times of major surf. The extra parking and coastal access trails would alleviate the crowds at Jalama Beach or having people turned away from going to the beach due to the lack of parking.
- (+/-) **Criterion #4, Higher Priority - Capital Improvements and Coastal Acquisition.** This proposal is a planning project, which does not satisfy the higher priorities of CREF. However, it is the necessary first step towards a capital improvement project, which is one of the higher priorities of CREF.
- (+) **Criteria #5 and 7, Matching Funds and Viable Funding Mechanisms.** The applicant seeks 24% of the proposal's environmental planning and permitting budget costs from CREF. The applicant is seeking both planning and construction monies and seeks \$80,000 from the California Coastal Conservancy and seeks \$500,000 from the Coastal Commission's Violation Remediation Account. In addition, the applicant offers \$9,400 as in-kind services for trail planning and project and environmental review.
- (-) **Criterion #6, Ongoing County Costs.** The applicant would provide 5 years of trail maintenance. However, the County would be involved with ongoing maintenance of the project.
- (+/-) **Criterion #8, Feasibility of Successfully Implementing Project.** Staff believes the applicant can successfully complete the project if the County's Community Services Department approves of the plans and if the applicant can secure the funding. The applicant has successfully completed trail construction projects, including the Franklin Trail, trails at Midland School and Orcutt Hills and realignment of Jesusita Trail.

Other Considerations: The Community Services Department, Parks Division is supportive of the applicant's proposal to use towards planning and environmental review. CSD has been working with the applicant on the concept of this proposal for a number of months, in conjunction with the land acquisition. The Board of Supervisors approved the land acquisition on April 2, 2019.

PROJECT # 9
INTERACTIVE TIDEPOOL PROJECT

3rd District
Cabrillo High School Aquarium/Lompoc Unified School District
Requests \$125,000
Total Project Costs: \$250,000

Staff Recommendation: Fund a partial request of \$85,331 from the 2018 general allocation fund, contingent on the applicant securing the necessary funds to complete the project. Staff does not recommend the full request amount since the request equates to 49% of the total amount of general allocation funding available in the combined 2018-2019 cycle. There are other worthy proposals to fund in this cycle.

Summary of Proposal: The applicant proposes to design and install an Interactive Tidepool exhibit at the Cabrillo High School Aquarium. The exhibit would be 400 square feet in size and would replace the existing 18-year old touch tank installed in 2001. The design includes acrylic components with rockwork to simulate local tidepool environments. The new exhibit will have varied heights and wheelchair access. The exhibit will consist of two display levels. The lower level will house animals found in deeper coastal waters like spiny lobsters, benthic sharks, and small fish like juvenile Garibaldi. This portion of the exhibit will be viewable through clear acrylic windows framed by rockwork. The higher level will house hardy intertidal animals like sea stars, urchins, anemones, and small crabs with which guests can interact. A new filtration system that supplies saltwater throughout the aquarium would also be purchased and installed. The new life support design will filter 4,000 total gallons of saltwater replacing an aging smaller system designed for 2,000 gallons. In addition, an existing mural of the local tide pools that is located on the two walls behind the proposed exhibit's location will be expanded to more closely integrate with the physical exhibit.

Background: Since 1986, the Cabrillo High School aquarium has been educating high school students and visitors of all ages about the marine environment. In 2002, the applicant completed construction of a new building to house the aquarium at Cabrillo High School. The school aquarium currently has 25 existing exhibits. Students (K-12th grade) from several districts, college students and the general public all visit the aquarium. The school aquarium allows for a hands-on experience of the various marine life habitats, from the shallow water rocky tidal habitat to the deep waters.

The Board of Supervisors has awarded the applicant six CREF awards in the past for a total of \$459,144:

- \$100,000 in the 1994 cycle (\$29,000 went towards exhibits), \$77,943 in the 1998 cycle, and \$123,335 in the 2000 cycle to construct the high school aquarium;
 - a \$11,724 grant in the 1995 cycle to implement an outreach program for the aquarium; and
 - a \$71,142 grant in the 2001 cycle to purchase and install various technology and media equipment.
 - a \$75,000 grant in the 2014 cycle to expand the aquarium space by 1,776 square feet to accommodate a shark exhibit and a cold water marine organism exhibit.
-

Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:

The eight CREF criteria can be found on pages 3-4 of the staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn't satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.

- (+) **Criterion #1, Coastal Nexus.** The proposal has a strong coastal nexus; students and visitors to the Cabrillo High School Aquarium are educated about the marine environment through hands-on and visual stimulating aquarium tanks.
- (+) **Criterion #2, Coastal Resource Enhancement.** The project enhances coastal recreation by teaching students and the general public about the marine environment, and in so doing, heightens the sensitivity and enjoyment of the marine habitat. Secondly, the project enhances tourism in the Lompoc region and contributes indirectly towards enhancing coastal environmentally sensitive habitats via education.
- (+) **Criterion #3, Broad Public Benefit.** Thousands of students from Lompoc and Santa Maria and visitors from all over visit the Cabrillo High School aquarium. The school aquarium opens its doors to visitors free of charge and states that it holds over 7,000 visitors annually. The Cabrillo High School aquarium has gained state and national recognition: the United State's President's Environmental Youth Award, the California School Boards Association Golden Bell Award, Best of Show and the People's Choice Awards at California State University of San Luis Obispo Science Fair, and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Environmental Hero Award. See *Other Considerations* below, describing the support for the proposal.
- (+) **Criterion #4, Higher Priority - Capital Improvements and Coastal Acquisition.** This project is considered a capital improvement, which satisfies the higher priority use of CREF (capital improvements and acquisitions).
- (+) **Criteria #5 and 7, Matching Funds and Viable Funding Mechanisms.** The applicant seeks 50% from CREF. It secured \$76,550 from various individuals, organizations, and the Coastal Commission. The applicant offers \$27,395 as in-kind services for project development, electrical work, installation of exhibit, etc. In addition, it is seeking monies from the Towbes Foundation, Chumash Foundation, and NOAA.
- (+) **Criterion #6, Ongoing County Costs.** The project would not require any additional ongoing County operational or maintenance costs. The applicant has successfully operated the aquarium for over 17 years.
- (+) **Criterion #8, Feasibility of Successfully Implementing Project.** Staff believes the project will be completed successfully once funded. The applicant has consulted with exhibit design experts from the Monterey Bay Aquarium regarding the proposal to ensure a successful exhibit. In addition the applicant has successfully completed six CREF projects in the past.

Other Considerations: The applicant states that the Aquarium has over seventy-five partnerships with the business community, industrial community, students, staff, and local experts. The application contains 18 support letters from various individuals and organizations, including Senator Hannah-Beth

Jackson , Congressman Salud Carbajal, Assembly Member, 35th District Jordan Cunningham, Dr. Susan Salcido with Santa Barbara County Superintendent of Schools, Jack O'Connell, California Superintendent of Public Instruction, Chris Nobley, NOAA Superintendent, Vandenberg Air Force Base, staff at Lompoc Unified School District, teachers at Cabrillo High School, Lompoc Valley Chamber of Commerce, Healthy Lompoc Coalition, and City of Lompoc.

PROJECT # 10
ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESEARCH AND EXCAVATION

3rd District
Guadalupe-Nipomo Dunes Center
Requests \$137,075
Total Project Costs: \$390,075

Staff Recommendation: Fund a partial request of \$35,430 from the 2019 general allocation fund, contingent on the applicant securing the necessary funds to complete the project. Staff does not recommend the full request amount since the request equates to 54% of the total amount of general allocation funding available in the combined 2018-2019 cycle. There are other worthy proposals to fund in this cycle.

Summary of Proposal: The applicant request funds to complete the excavation, preservation and restoration of a plaster-of-paris sphinx body from *The Ten Commandments* 1923 movie set, which is now partially buried at Guadalupe Dunes, along the northern coast of Santa Barbara County. The applicant states that further excavation would remove the remaining sphinx pieces from the dunes and unite them with previously excavated artifacts. After excavation, the applicant would restore the sphinx. Exhibition costs of this sphinx are not included in this CREF request; they are included in the applicant's fundraising for a new Dunes Center space (see *Other Considerations* below).

Background: Cecil B. DeMille filmed the movie, *The Ten Commandments*, at the Guadalupe Dunes in 1923 and then buried his movie set in the dunes. The movie set was 120 feet high by 720 feet long. Archaeological excavations of the movie set have been taking place during the 1990's, 2012, 2014, and 2017. Because of the movie set's archaeological element, the Guadalupe-Nipomo Dunes meets the criteria for the National Register of Historic Places.

The applicant received two CREF grants in the past to excavate, renovate and exhibit a sphinx (see 2014 and 2016 CREF grants below). While excavating with the first CREF grant, the team found another buried sphinx that was preserved in better condition. The applicant's excavation team turned its attention to the sphinx that was better preserved but could only excavate half of the sphinx with its budget. A second CREF grant went towards excavating, restoring, and exhibiting the head and chest of the other half of the sphinx body from the 2014 grant.

The Dunes Center is a visitor educational and research center supporting the Guadalupe-Nipomo Dunes. The Dunes Center (and the Nature Conservancy) has received a number of CREF grants in the past for a total of \$407,822, as follows:

- \$33,222 grant in the 1994 cycle to update the Guadalupe Dunes master plan;
- \$120,000 grant in the 1995 cycle to design and fabricate exhibits and displays for the Dunes Center;
- \$5,000 grant in the 1996 cycle to purchase an interpretative trailer;
- \$22,500 grant in the 1999 cycle to develop and implement an educational package for teachers and students to visit the Dunes Center;

- \$22,000 grant in the 1999 cycle to produce a 20-minute video of the Guadalupe-Nipomo Dunes; and,
- \$21,500 grant in the 2001 cycle to create an interactive computer program about the life history of Guadalupe Dune's land and sea mammals.
- \$80,000 in the 2014 cycle to excavate a sphinx body, which was partially buried at Guadalupe Dunes and was from *The Ten Commandments* 1923 movie set.
- \$76,000 in the 2015 cycle to fabricate and install four exhibits and create a public open space at the Dunes Center.
- \$27,600 in 2016 cycle towards excavating, restoring, and exhibiting the head and chest of the other half of the sphinx body from the 2014 grant.

In addition, the Board awarded a \$50,000 grant in the 1994 cycle and a \$166,836 grant in the 2000 cycle to construct a building to house exhibits. The Dunes Center returned the awards to CREF because the Center could not secure the additional monies to complete the project.

Satisfaction of CREF Criteria:

The eight CREF criteria can be found on pages 3-4 of the staff report. The symbol (+) means the proposal satisfies the criterion; the symbol (-) means it doesn't satisfy; and the symbol (+/-) means it partially satisfies.

- (+/-) **Criterion #1, Coastal Nexus.** Although the historic movie set was filmed at the Guadalupe Dunes, staff believes the proposed retrieval of portions of the movie set does not have a direct relation to coastal resources. However, this exhibit draws visitors to the Dunes Center (see *Criterion #3, below*) and that exposes visitors to other coastal related exhibits at the Center. In addition, the Board of Supervisors has awarded two CREF grants in 2014 and 2016 to the applicant for this project, affirming its belief that a coastal nexus exists.
- (+/-) **Criterion #2, Coastal Resource Enhancement.** Although the historic movie was filmed at the Guadalupe Dunes, staff believes the proposed retrieval of portions of the movie set does not enhance coastal resources. However, this exhibit draws visitors to the Dunes Center (see *Criterion #3, below*) and that exposes visitors to other coastal related exhibits, enhancing coastal recreation, coastal tourism and environmentally sensitive coastal resources.
- (+) **Criterion #3, Broad Public Benefit.** The applicant states that the Dunes Center serves many visitors a year, especially local residents, tourists, and school groups. It serves a community that is economically and educationally disadvantaged. The previous sphinx excavation project is exhibited at the Dunes Center and helps draw visitors to the area, thereby economically benefiting the community of Guadalupe. Since displaying the excavations, the annual visitors to the Dunes Center has increased by 300%.
- (-) **Criterion #4, Higher Priority - Capital Improvements and Coastal Acquisition.** This project is considered educational, which does not satisfy the higher priorities of CREF (capital improvements and acquisitions).
- (-) **Criteria #5 and 7, Matching Funds and Viable Funding Mechanisms.** The applicant seeks 100% of the proposed costs from CREF. The applicant offers approximately \$8,000 as in-kind services

from volunteers helping with excavation of the sphinx. CREF has funded \$106,700 towards this project in the past.

- (+) **Criterion #6, Ongoing County Costs.** The project would not require any ongoing County operational or maintenance costs. The applicant states that the main reason people visit the Dunes Center are because of the Ten Commandments movie set exhibits. The restoration of the movie set is very important to the ongoing operations of the Dunes Center.
- (+/-) **Criterion #8, Feasibility of Successfully Implementing Project.** The applicant has successfully completed seven CREF grants in the past, including two grants for the project. Staff believes that the applicant has the ability to successfully complete the excavation of the sphinx; however, the applicant needs to secure funding as it is seeking 100% of the budget from CREF.

An additional factor of consideration is that the project is time critical and is more likely to be completed successfully the quicker it is completed. This is because of the fact that the longer the piece sits exposed to the elements, the more difficult it is to complete the project due to the deterioration.

Other Considerations: The applicant states that it holds a curation agreement with Santa Barbara County to house artifacts from the 1923 movie set for Santa Barbara County residents into perpetuity for educational and exhibition purposes.

The applicant is currently running a \$5 million capital campaign to retrofit and restore the historic 1912 building known as the “Far West Tavern.” The “Far West Tavern” building was donated to the Dunes Center. The facility is ten times larger than the current location, and closer to the heart of Guadalupe’s downtown area.

Appendix 2
1988-2017 CREF Awards by District

Table 1: First District¹

Project Name	Adjusted Amount	Approved	Type
Andree Clark Bird Refuge	\$ 170,000	1988	Cap. Improve. ²
Andree Clark Bird Refuge Wetlands Margin Restore Project	30,901	2014	Cap. Improve
Carpinteria Swimming Pool	150,000	1988	Cap. Improve.
Carpinteria Salt Marsh Land Acquisition	83,000	1990	Acq. ³
Carpinteria Salt Marsh Land Acquisition	150,000	1993	Acq.
Carpinteria Salt Marsh Land Acquisition	25,000	1995	Acq.
Carpinteria Salt Marsh Nature Park Interpretative Signs	38,500	2002	Cap Improve.
Carpinteria Salt Marsh, Basin I and So. Marsh Improve. Plan	50,000	2003	Cap Improve.
Santa Barbara Zoo – Sea Lion Exhibit	25,000	1990	Cap. Improve.
Santa Barbara Harbor Boat Launch	150,000	1990	Cap. Improve.
Carpinteria Bluffs Appraisals	20,000	1991	Acq.
Carpinteria Bluffs Appraisals	15,000	1992	Acq.
Carpinteria Bluffs Appraisals	15,000	1997	Acq.
Carpinteria Bluffs Acquisition	100,000	1998	Acq.
Carpinteria Bluffs Acquisition	350,000	1999	Acq.
Carpinteria Bluffs Restroom/Storage Facility	30,000	2004	Cap. Improve.
Carpinteria Bluffs III Acquisition	144,750	2017	Acq.
Carpinteria Creek Appraisals	5,000	1992	Acq.
Loon Point Beach Access Easement	2,872	1990	Acq.
Loon Point Beach Access Easement Realignment	65,519	1994	Cap. Improve.
Lookout Park Accessibility Modifications	30,000	1994	Cap. Improve.
Lookout Park Arundo Removal	40,000	2007	Cap. Improve.
Lookout Park Arundo Removal	8,500	2010	Cap. Improve.
Carpinteria Lions Community Building	25,000	1995	Cap. Improve.
Oceanview Park (Careaga) Acquisition	200,000	1995	Acq.
Channel Drive/Butterfly Beach Stair Refurbishment	27,000	1995 (1999 ⁴)	Cap. Improve.
Pedestrian Improvements at Butterfly Beach	0	2005	Cap. Improve.
Coastal Bikeway, North Jameson Lane	95,000	1995	Cap. Improve.
Summerland Greenwell Park Improvements, Phase 1	20,000	1996	Cap. Improve.
Phase 2	16,000	2001	Cap. Improve.
Seed Storage/Demonstration Garden	10,000	2005	Cap. Improve.
Bikeway Studies: Santa Claus Lane/Carp. Ave & Ortega Hill	50,000	1996	Cap. Improve.
Hammonds Meadows Beach Access Stairs	10,500	1996	Cap. Improve.
Ocean Recreation Center	60,000	1997	Cap. Improve.
Rincon Beach Access	29,000	1997	Cap. Improve.
Rincon Beach Day Use Area Planning	28,500	2001	Cap. Improve.
Rincon Beach Day Use Area Implementation	7,720	2002	Cap. Improve.
Rincon Beach Day Use Area, Phase I	37,037	2003	Cap. Improve.
Rincon Beach Day Use Area, Phase II	40,000	2006	Cap. Improve.
Rincon Beach Day Use Area, Phase II	92,000	2007	Cap. Improve.
Rincon Creek Arundo Removal	20,000	2010	Cap. Improve.
Finney Street Beach Access	21,413	1997	Cap. Improve.
Surfrider Extension Trail	6,440	2000	Acq.

< Table Continues >

¹ Grants listed reflect the district boundaries in effect at the time of award.²Capital improvement³Acquisition⁴Reallocated in the 1999 cycle

Project Name	Adjusted Amount	Approved	Type
Santa Claus Lane Preliminary Beach Access	\$ 26,000	2000	Acq.
Santa Claus Lane Beach Access, Phase I	22,500	2004	Acq.
Santa Claus Lane Streetscape Plan	73,889	2010	Plan/Rsch
Santa Claus Lane Streetscape Plan	69,559	2011	Plan/Rsch
Santa Claus Lane Streetscape Plan	96,404	2012	Plan/Rsch
Santa Claus Lane Street Engineering Plans	10,000	2017	Plan/Rsch
Design Guidelines for Hwy 101 Landscaping and Structures	10,000	1998	Plan/Rsch. ⁵
Carpinteria Creek Watershed Outreach	14,671	2002	Edu ⁶
Carpinteria-Rincon Coastal Multi-Use Trail, Feasibility Study	49,622	2003	Plan/Rsch
Harbor Seal Sanctuary Improvement	12,629	2004	Cap. Improve.
Lifeguard Facility at Ash Avenue/Beach	20,000	2005	Cap. Improve.
Carpinteria Old Town (Palm to Linden) Trail Segment	24,500	2006	Plan/Rsch
Franklin Trail	0	2007	Cap. Improve.
Summerland Community Plan Update	68,791	2010	Plan/Rsch
Circle G Ranch Fish Passage Restoration Project	42,417	2015	Cap Improve.
Total	\$ 3,035,634		

⁵Planning & Research

⁶Education

Table 2: Second District⁷

Project Name	Adjusted Amount	Approved	Type
Arroyo Burro Beach, Tot Lot	\$ 0	1988	Cap. Improve.
Parking Lot	50,000	1991	Cap. Improve.
Parking Lot Appraisals/Negotiations	6,000	1996	Acq.
Coastal Overlook	26,300	1998	Cap. Improve.
Wheelchair Accessible Coastal Overlook	14,762	2002	Cap. Improve.
Pampas Grass Removal	21,888	2003	Cap. Improve.
Estuary Restoration	12,930	2005	Cap. Improve.
Estuary Restoration	75,000	2006	Cap. Improve.
Beach Restrooms	402,500	2013	Cap. Improve.
Tide Pool Care Interpretative Sign	2,500	2014	Edu.
Sea Center, Renovation/Expansion	115,000	1988	Cap. Improve.
Touch Tank Shade Canopy	23,523	1994	Cap. Improve.
Wharf Improvements	50,000	2003	Cap. Improve.
Shark Exhibit	13,000	2005	Cap. Improve.
Generator for Aquariums	52,925	2009	Cap. Improve.
Coastal Immersion Zone Exhibits	30,000	2014	Cap. Improve.
Marine-Themed Interpretative Signs	10,000	2017	Cap. Improve.
SB City College Improvements, La Playa Stadium Renovation	150,000	1990	Cap. Improve.
Restoration of Chumash Point	15,000	1992	Cap. Improve.
West Campus Walkway	19,470	1995	Cap. Improve.
Bikeway	0	1997	Cap. Improve.
More Mesa Vehicle Restriction	3,649	1992	Cap. Improv
Goleta Beach, Parking Lot	28,274	1990	Cap. Improve.
Revetment	0	1992	Cap. Improve.
Fireline	202,500	1993	Cap. Improve.
Master Plan	55,000	1993	Plan/Rsch.
Irrigation	70,000	1994	Cap. Improve.
Pier Structural Rehabilitation	90,000	1994	Cap. Improve.
Restrooms	37,500	1997	Cap. Improve.
Carrying Capacity	15,000	1999	Plan/Rsch.
Coastal Data Collection	36,500	2001	Plan & Rsch.
Winter Sand Berm, Phase I	15,000	2003	Cap. Improve.
Coastal Data Collection	55,000	2004	Plan & Rsch.
Coastal Data Collection	63,700	2005	Plan & Rsch.
Goleta Slough Mouth Hydrologic Modeling Study	30,000	2014	Plan & Rsch.
Los Marineros Marine Education	20,000	1992	Edu.
Los Marineros Marine Education Expansion	11,723	1995	Edu.
Santa Barbara Waterfront Aquatic Park Dredging	15,000	1992	Cap. Improve.
Santa Barbara Waterfront Aquatic Park Dredging	0	2001	Cap. Improve.
Los Banos del Mar Pool	15,000	1992	Cap. Improve.
Los Banos del Mar Pool	30,000	1993	Cap. Improve.
Oral History of Santa Rosa Island	9,250	1993	Edu.
Douglas Family Preserve (Wilcox Property) Acquisition	1,000,000	1994	Acq.
Los Positas Park Master Plan	50,000	1995	Plan/Rsch.

< Table Continues >

⁷ Grants listed reflect the district boundaries in effect at the time of the award.

Project Name	Adjusted Amount	Approved	Type
Los Positas Park Expansion/Acquisition	175,000	1995	Acq.
Los Positas Park Expansion/Acquisition	25,000	1997	Acq.
Los Positas Park Expansion/Acquisition	325,000	1998	Acq.
Santa Barbara Maritime Museum, Museum Construction	\$ 30,000	1996	Cap. Improve.
-- Auditorium Construction	15,172	1998	Cap. Improve.
-- Outreach Library	8,850	1999	Cap. Improve.
-- Increase Visibility Project	0	2004	Edu.
-- Surf Exhibit	50,000	2007	Cap. Improve.
-- Presentation Wall Upgrade	30,000	2014	Cap. Improve.
-- History of Oil Exhibit	10,000	2017	Edu.
Santa Barbara County Veterans Memorial	20,000	1996	Cap. Improve.
Lower Westside Bikeway	29,720	1997	Cap. Improve.
South Coast Watershed Resource Center (WRC)	50,000	2000	Cap. Improve.
WRC & Arroyo Burro Firehydrant/Underground Utilities	29,883	2001	Cap. Improve.
WRC Improvements and Exhibits	19,861	2003	Edu
Shoreline Drive Enhancement	50,281	2000	Cap. Improve.
Shoreline Park Stairs Beach Access	30,000	2002	Cap. Improve.
Shoreline Park Improvements	40,000	2010	Cap. Improve.
Audubon Goleta Slough Restoration	15,500	2000	Cap. Improve.
Atascadero Mutt Mitt Stations	4,800	2002	Cap. Improve.
Atascadero Creek Trail Bridge Decking (near Patterson Ave.)	5,118	2004	Cap. Improve.
Atascadero Creek Trail Bridge Decking (near Turnpike Road)	19,000	2006	Cap. Improve.
Shade Structure for Native Plants ⁸	15,000	2002	Cap. Improve.
Lifeguard Towers at Arroyo Burro, Goleta, and Jalama Beaches ⁹	57,505	2002	Cap. Improve.
San Jose Creek Bikeway	0	2004	Cap. Improve.
Santa Cruz Island Habitat Restoration	40,000	2014	Cap. Improve.
The Story of California's Channel Island Film	30,000	2015	Edu
Arroyo Burro Creek Acquisition	300,000	2015	Acq.
Total	\$4,369,584		

⁸ Benefits both the Second and Third Districts.

⁹ Benefits both the Second and Third Districts.

Table 3: Third District¹⁰

Project Name	Adjusted Amount	Approved	Type
Isla Vista, Camino Corto Acquisition	\$ 550,000	1988	Acq.
Isla Vista Redevelopment Agency -- \$250,000 Loan	0	1991	Acq.
Del Playa Land Swap	10,300	1996	Acq.
Blufftop Acquisition	57,500	2001 (2005) ¹¹	Acq.
Blufftop Acquisition	493,159	2003 (2005) ¹²	Acq.
Camino Corto Master Plan & Implementation	17,355	1994	Plan/Rsch.
Camino Corto and Del Sol Vernal Pool Reserve	30,311	1996	Cap. Improve.
Camino Corto and Del Sol Vernal Pool Reserve – Irrig.	30,000	1997	Cap. Improve.
Estero Park Lathhouse for Propagating Natives	24,000	1998	Cap. Improve.
Pescadero Blufftop Improvement	25,000	1999	Cap. Improve.
Del Playa Pelican Park – Water Meter	10,000	2001	Cap. Improve.
Camino del Sur Stairway Improvements	25,000	2001	Cap. Improve.
Bathrooms, Preliminary Planning & Permitting	30,000	2003	Cap. Improve.
Blufftop Acquisition	215,350	2005	Acq.
Improvements to Three Beach Accesses	210,000	2006	Cap. Improve.
Improvements to Walter Capps Park	54,305	2007	Cap. Improve.
Improvements to Walter Capps Park	130,800	2008	Cap. Improve.
Improvements to Walter Capps Park	90,125	2009	Cap. Improve.
Goleta Valley Transfer Development Rights	10,500	1988	Plan/Rsch.
Goleta Beach Slough Revetment	100,000	1988	Cap. Improve.
Santa Barbara Shores/Ellwood Mesa/Sperling Preserve			
Acquisition (SB Shores)	1,000,000	1988	Acq.
Acquisition (SB Shores)	140,000	1991	Acq.
Improvements	280,000	1991	Cap. Improve.
Improvements	49,981	1991	Cap. Improve.
Improvements	201,724	1991	Cap. Improve.
Debt Repayment (on Santa Barbara Shores loan)	115,217	1996	Acq.
Improvements	46,351	1997	Cap. Improve.
Regional Plan	50,000	2000	Plan/Rsch.
Regional Plan	31,599	2002	Plan/Rsch.
Acquisition (Ellwood Mesa/Sperling Preserve)	367,963	2004	Acq.
Acquisition (Ellwood Mesa/Sperling Preserve)	50,000	2005	Acq.
Trails Habitat Restoration Plan	35,000	2014	Plan/Rsch.
North Campus Open Space Public Access Design	18,000	2016	Cap Improve.
Doty Property Acquisition	300,000	2007	Acq.
Mathilda Drive I Parcel	64,845	2010	Acq.
Ellwood Drive Parcel	48,000	2015	Acq.
Mathilda DriveII Parcel	10,750	2017	Acq.
More Mesa Appraisal and Hazardous Waste Survey	25,000	1990	Acq.
More Mesa Management Plan	10,000	1991	Plan/Rsch.
Mission Santa Ines and Its Harbors Project	8,723	1995	Edu.
Phase II – El Capitan Bikeway and Trail	50,000	1996	Cap. Improve.

< Table Continues >

¹⁰ Grants listed reflect the district boundaries in effect at the time of the award.¹¹ Reallocated in the 2005 cycle¹² Reallocated in the 2005 cycle

Project Name	Adjusted Amount	Approved	Type
Gaviota Creek Fish Passage	50,000	1991 (1996) ¹³	Cap. Improve.
Gaviota Creek Fish Passage	20,000	1993 (1996) ¹⁴	Cap. Improve.
Gaviota Creek Fish Passage	30,000	1996	Cap. Improve.
Conservation Efforts Along the Gaviota Coast, Phase IV	\$ 14,452	1994	Plan/Rsch.
Phase V	25,000	1995	Edu.
Gaviota Coast Resource Study	20,000	1997	Plan/Rsch.
Gaviota Coast Resource Study	27,000	2000	Plan/Rsch.
Agricultural Conservation Easement Appraisals	32,810	1997	Acq.
Easement Fund	25,000	1998	Acq.
Easement Fund	100,000	1999	Acq.
Easement Fund	303,268	2000	Acq.
Easement Fund	204,732	2002	Acq.
Suitability/Feasibility Study	10,000	1999	Plan/Rsch.
Suitability/Feasibility Study	15,000	2002	Plan/Rsch.
Facilitation of Common Ground Process	15,000	1999	Plan/Rsch.
Facilitation of Common Ground Process	45,000	2003	Plan/Rsch.
Arroyo Hondo Ranch Acquisition	208,929	2001	Acq.
Gaviota Ranch/Brinkman Estate Conservation Easement	0	2003 (2005) ¹⁵	Acq.
Gaviota Ranch/Brinkman Estate Conservation Easement	0	2005	Acq.
Gaviota Cove Acquisition	207,475	2016	Acq.
Jalama Beach County Park Expansion	616	1996	Acq.
Jalama Beach Restrooms	280,000	2014	Cap. Improve.
Jalama Beach Restrooms	170,752	2015	Cap. Improve.
Jalama Beach Restrooms	100,000	2016	Cap. Improve.
Coronado Acquisition	43,005	1998	Acq.
Coronado Acquisition and Restoration	25,000	1999	Acq.
Coronado Butterfly Preserve Revitalization Project	23,000	2015	Plan/Rsch.
Ponds and Aviaries -- Animal Hospital	0	1998	Cap. Improve.
San Jose Creek Class I Bike, Planning	74,266	1998	Cap. Improve.
Snowy Plover & Coastal Access Pilot Program	24,989	2001	Edu.
Ocean Beach Boardwalk	48,007	2003	Cap. Improve.
Surf Beach Snowy Plover Docent Wind Shelter	0	2004	Cap. Improve.
Gaviota Village Property	1,360,938	2008	Acq.
Gaviota Coast Plan	280,710	2010	Plan/Rsch.
Gaviota Coast Plan	260,580	2011	Plan/Rsch.
Gaviota Coast Plan	296,497	2012	Plan/Rsch.
Gaviota Coast Plan	273,000	2013	Plan/Rsch.
Ocean Meadows Acquisition	438,500	2010	Acq.
Ocean Meadows Acquisition	311,500	2011	Acq.
Guadalupe Dunes Bypass Road	224,346	2012	Cap. Improve.
Rancho Guacamole Fish Passage Restoration	0	2014	Cap. Improve.
Gaviota Creek Fish Passage	10,000	2017	Plan/Rsch.
Cabrillo High School Aquarium	75,000	2014	Edu.

< Table Continues >

¹³Reallocated in the 1996 cycle

¹⁴Reallocated in the 1996 cycle

¹⁵ Reallocated in the 2005 cycle

Project Name	Adjusted Amount	Approved	Type
Dunes Center Heritage Exhibit	80,000	2014	Edu.
Dunes Center Exhibition Project	76,000	2015	Edu.
Dunes Center Archaeological Research and Excavation	27,600	2016	Edu.
Coal Oil Point Reserve Conservation and Education Center	23,000	2016	Cap. Improve.
Baron Ranch Trail Realignment	20,000	2017	Cap. Improve.
Total	\$10,917,830		

Table 4: Fourth District¹⁶

Project Name	Adjusted Amount	Approved	Type
Leroy Park Recreational Center	\$ 75,000	1988	Cap. Improve.
Leroy Park Recreational Center	75,000	1990	Cap. Improve.
Leroy Park Recreational Center	75,000	1991	Cap. Improve.
Point Sal Acquisition	125,000	1988	Acq.
Point Sal Road Reopening, Alternative Analysis Report	50,000	2005	Plan/Rsch
Ocean Park Improvements	400,000	1988	Cap. Improve.
Ocean Park Improvements	100,000	1990	Cap. Improve.
Host Site	16,896	1999	Cap. Improve.
Mission Vieja Site Acquisition	50,000	1990	Acq.
Burton Mesa Management Plan	19	1988	Plan/Rsch.
Burton Mesa Management Plan	76,320	1992	Plan/Rsch.
Burton Mesa Management Plan	40,000	1994	Plan/Rsch.
Burton Mesa Acquisition	281,162	1996	Acq.
Burton Mesa Acquisition	72,691	1996	Acq.
Burton Mesa Acquisition	210,000	1997	Acq.
Cabrillo High School Aquarium, Construction	100,000	1994	Cap. Improve.
Construction	77,943	1998	Cap. Improve.
Construction	123,335	2000	Cap. Improve.
Outreach Program	11,724	1995	Edu.
Technology/Media Exhibit	71,142	2001	Edu.
Santa Ynez River Enhancement Plan ¹⁷	36,088	1995	Plan/Rsch.
Surf Beach Pedestrian Crossing	120,000	1997	Cap. Improve.
	25,000	1998	Acq.
Santa Ynez River Open Space/Park			
Burton Mesa Chaparral Garden	2,271	2000	Cap. Improve.
Guadalupe Dunes Vehicle Barrier to Protect Snowy Plovers	13,450	2002	Cap. Improve.
Guadalupe Dunes Tractor	89,000	2004	Equipment
Lompoc Aquatic Center	67,126	2002	Cap. Improve.
Dunes Center, Exhibit Hall/Visitor Center	0	2003 (2005) ¹⁸	Cap. Improve.
Exhibit Hall/Visitor Center	0	2005	Cap. Improve.
Pioneer Space Center's Coastal Display	11,942	2004	Equipment
The Natural Ways Exhibit at La Purisima	63,531	2006	Cap. Improve.
Total	\$2,459,640		

¹⁶ Grants listed reflect the district boundaries in effect at the time of the award.

¹⁷ Benefits both the Third and Fourth Districts.

¹⁸ Reallocated in the 2005 cycle

Table 5: Fifth District¹⁹

Project Name	Adjusted Amount	Approved	Type
Waller Park Water Conservation	\$ 125,000	1988	Cap. Improve.
Allan Hancock Theater Expansion	175,000	1990	Cap. Improve.
Peregrine Falcon Reintroduction	5,000	1992	Plan/Rsch.
S.M./Guadalupe Dunes Bikeway Study	30,000	1992	Plan/Rsch.
General Plan Amendment	374	1996	Plan/Rsch.
Construction of Bikeway, Phase IV	0	1997	Cap. Improve.
Guadalupe Dunes County Park, Kiosk Staffing	0	1993	Edu.
Management Plan Update	33,222	1994	Plan/Rsch.
Trailer	5,000	1996	Cap. Improve.
Phase II, Master Plan for Road Repairs	23,705	1996	Plan/Rsch.
Implementation Plan	104,065	1998	Cap. Improve.
Implementation Plan	22,935	1999	Cap. Improve.
Guadalupe Dunes Education Center, Construction	0	1994	Cap. Improve.
Construction of Exhibit Hall	0	2000	Cap. Improve.
Exhibits	120,000	1995	Edu.
Ecosystem Education Unit Package	22,500	1999	Edu.
Video of Dunes	22,000	1999	Edu.
Land & Sea Mammals Interactive Computer Program	21,500	2001	Edu.
Santa Maria Valley Discovery Museum, SEA IT!	24,550	1994	Edu.
SEA IT! Phase II	13,444	1997	Edu.
Ocean Supermarket Exhibit, Phase I	20,000	2002	Edu.
Ocean Supermarket Exhibit, Phase II	79,000	2005	Edu.
Marine Exhibit, Phase I	115,000	2004	Cap. Improve.
Marine Exhibit, Phase II	47,750	2006	Cap. Improve.
Tide & Seek Exhibit	45,000	2007	Cap. Improve.
Belly of the Whale, Phase I	75,000	2008	Cap. Improve.
Belly of the Whale, Phase II	55,099	2009	Cap. Improve.
Marine-Themed Exhibits	25,000	2017	Edu.
Point Sal Appraisals	5,000	1995	Acq.
Point Sal Acquisition	33,415	1999	Acq.
Pioneer Park	25,000	1996	Acq.
Santa Maria YMCA Pool	0	1997	Cap. Improve.
Santa Maria Valley Beautiful Earth Week	10,000	1998	Edu.
Salmon & Trout Educational Program	3,000	1998	Edu.
Guadalupe Community Park Ball Fields	25,000	1998	Cap. Improve.
Van for the Environmental Education on Wheels	0	1999	Edu.
Van for the Environmental Education on Wheels	16,500	2001	Edu.
Marine Science Curriculum, Pilot Program	8,332	2000	Edu.
Santa Maria Natural History Museum, Exploring the Seashore	26,000	2001	Edu.
-- Sand & Sea Learning Area	30,000	2004	Cap. Improve.
-- From the Beginnings Under the Sea	50,000	2006	Cap. Improve.
-- Shore bird Collection Exhibit	12,309	2010	Edu.
Davey Brown/Munch Creek Fish Passage Project	19,818	2017	Plan/Rsch
Total	\$ 1,474,518		

¹⁹ Grants listed reflect the district boundaries in effect at the time of the award.

Table 6: Grants Benefiting Three or More Districts

Project Name	Adjusted Amount	Approved	Type
Earth Day 1990	\$ 10,000	1990	Edu.
Earth Day 1995	10,000	1995	Edu.
Open Space and Recreation Element	50,000	1991	Plan/Rsch.
Coastal Access Implementation Plan	30,000	1992	Plan/Rsch.
Offers to Dedicate Coastal Access	37,843	1996	Plan/Rsch.
South Coast Water Quality – Education Component	26,000	1998	Edu.
California Central Coast Birding Trail	0	1998	Cap. Improve.
Snowy Plover Video	8,930	1998	Edu.
SB Wildlife Care Network, Upgrades to Seabird Facility	1,580	2000	Cap. Improve.
Seabird Net Enclosure	1,037	2004	Equipment
Seabird Care Compound	31,800	2005	Cap. Improve.
Seabird Care Compound	120,000	2006	Cap. Improve.
Seabird Care Compound	150,000	2007	Cap. Improve.
Seabird Care Compound	191,000	2010	Cap. Improve.
Pelagic Bird Care Equipment	6,039	2014	Equipment
Waves on Wheels Van	25,000	2001	Edu.
Marine Mammal Rescue Project	24,408	2004	Equipment
Marine Mammals Rescue Project	10,000	2005	Equipment
Marine Mammals Public Awareness	16,057	2017	Edu.
Santa Barbara Beaches Hazards Removal Project	0	2004	Cap. Improve.
West of the West: Tales from Channel Islands – Curriculum	15,000	2016	Edu.
Total	\$764,694		

Table 7: Amounts Allocated by Districts²⁰

District	Amount
First	\$3,035,634
Second	\$4,369,584
Third	\$10,917,830
Fourth	\$2,459,640
Five	\$1,474,518
Three or More Districts	\$764,694
Total	\$23,021,900

²⁰ Grants listed reflect the district boundaries in effect at the time of the award.