Attachment



External Monitoring Reports

of County Departments, Performed by State, Federal, and Other Outside Agencies

July 1, 2019—June 30, 2020



Department External Monitoring

The County as a whole, and specific County departments, are subject to monitoring by various external entities. The majority of monitoring is performed to ensure state and federal funds awarded to the County are spent in accordance with certain laws and regulations. Instances of non-compliance may result in: 1) requirement of funds returned to the funding agency, 2) reduced funding in future years, and/or 3) higher monitoring costs.

Monitoring can occur at different levels, such as an audit, review, or specific procedures performed on certain processes. Additionally, monitoring periods may vary (i.e. annually, quarterly, or on a one-time basis).

County policy requires all monitoring performed over departments be reported to the Auditor-Controller. This report presents information on monitoring reports received by County departments and reported to the Auditor-Controller during fiscal year (FY) 2019-20. Any reports presented to the County Board of Supervisors separately, such as the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report and the Single Audit Report, are not included in this report. We have not performed audit procedures on the departments' responses regarding their corrective action.

A risk rating is assigned to each of the programs by the department based upon monitoring results. The risk ratings are defined as follows:

Low Risk: Potential for low dollar amount of error or loss, other compensating procedures exist, or minimal program impact.

Moderate Risk: Potential for moderate dollar amount of error or loss (relative to the size of the program), some violation of policy, other compensating procedures may exist to correct issue. When an audit report indicates that a breakdown in compliance occurred, risk will be assessed at a minimum of moderate. Nonadherence to policies and procedures, lack of self-monitoring, and a possible future loss of outside funding due to non-compliance will also be assessed at a minimum of moderate.

High Risk: Potential for large dollar amount of error or loss, significant lack of monitoring or breakdown in compliance, or wide-spread violation of law. Insufficient compensating procedures exist.

A listing of all external monitoring reports assessed as low risk are included on page two. The remaining pages present department-specific monitoring reports assessed as moderate and list recommendations made by the external agency, as well as the corrective action taken by the department. No high risk reports were identified for the reporting period.

Department External Monitoring

List of Low-Risk Reports

The chart below lists program monitoring reports that had either no findings or findings with little or no dollar amounts of error or loss, strong existing compensating procedures, or findings with minimal program impact:

| Department | Programs Monitored | Monitoring Agency | |
|-------------------------|---|--|--|
| Behavioral Wellness | Medi-Cal Specialty Mental Health Services Waiver Program | Behavioral Health Concepts, Inc | |
| County Executive Office | CSAC-Excess Insurance Authority General Liability Program | CSAC – Excess Insurance | |
| District Attorney | Insurance Fraud Grant Program | CA Dept of Insurance | |
| District Attorney | CA Witness Relocation and Assistance Program | Dept of Justice | |
| District Attorney | Human Trafficking Advocacy, Child Advocacy, and Victim Witness Assistance Programs Site Visits | Cal OES | |
| District Attorney | County Victim Services and eSCARS Programs Site Visit | Cal OES | |
| Human Resources | Employment & Workforce Planning Questionnaire | CA Dept of Human Resources | |
| Probation | California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System | CA Dept of Justice | |
| Probation | Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act | CA Sate Auditor | |
| Probation | Automated Criminal History System and FBI's Interstate Identification Index | CA Dept of Justice | |
| Probation | Veteran Treatment Grant | Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration | |
| Probation | Standards and Training for Corrections Program FY 18-19 | Board of State and Community Corrections | |
| Public Health | AIDS Drug Assistance Program | CA Dept of Public Health; Office of AIDS | |
| Public Health | Ryan White Part B HIV Care Program | CA Dept of Public Health; Office of AIDS | |
| Public Health | Targeted Case Management | DHCS Audit & Investigations | |
| Public Health | Medicare Cost Report Annual Review FY 17-18 | National Government Services | |
| Public Health | Medi-Cal FQHC FY 15-16 | DHCS Audit & Investigations | |
| Social Services | CalFresh/Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program | CA Dept of Social Services | |
| Social Services | WIOA– Equal Employment Opportunity Desk Review | CA Employment Development Dept | |
| Social Services | CalWORKS Eligibility Case File Review | CA Dept of Social Services | |
| Social Services | Work Incentive Nutritional Supplement Work Participation Rate | CA Dept of Social Services | |
| Social Services | IHSS State Quality Assurance Review | CA Dept of Social Services | |
| | | | |

Public Works

The Public Works Department received one monitoring report for FY 2019-20. The California Integrated Waste Management Fee Review is presented below.

| Program | Monitoring Agency | Risk Level |
|--|--|---------------|
| California Integrated Waste Management Fee | CA Dept of Tax and Fee Administration | Moderate Risk |

Purpose of Monitoring

To audit the California Integrated Waste Management Fee submitted to the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration (CDTFA) for the period from April 1, 2017 through September 30, 2019.

Findings

Feepayer's initially filed return for the third quarter of 2017 overstated tonnage resulting in an overpayment of \$2,762.45. Feepayer subsequently filed an amended return reducing claimed tonnage and requesting a refund of overpayment. During the course of examination feepayer provided support which identified actual tonnage for the period was less than submitted on the amended return resulting in a claimed refund due to feepayer of \$2,844.80. Audited claim confirms refund due to feepayer of \$2,844.80.

Corrective Action Taken

Updated claim for refund filed with headquarters with lead auditor's recommendation that the revised feepayer claim was correct and the amount of \$2,844.80 for the third quarter of 2017 be approved in full. In addition, the Resource Recovery and Waste Management Division (RRWM) has instituted the following changes (1) the internal deadline for filing returns and submitting claims for payment of fees to CDTFA has been moved up 10 days to allow more time for review of data (2) an additional approval is now required prior to filing. Finally, the RRWM is exploring the use of excel tools to check and automate previously manual processes used to compile data to file returns.



Published by the Office of the Auditor-Controller, Betsy M. Schaffer, CPA @ 805-568-2100 Photos by Mike Eliason