

Ramirez, Angelica

Public Comment - Group 3

From: Frank & Christine Forsyth <fncforsyth@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 4, 2021 6:18 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Decker Greenhouse – Public Comment – 2/9/21 Attn: Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

LATE
DIST

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To Whom it may concern,

We are writing today regarding the proposed plan/appeal for the Decker Greenhouse at 988 Fredensborg Road. My husband and I own a home in Sunrise Village, we live on the north end of the street and our house faces the west. This proposed project is distressing for several reasons, but the most glaring issue we have is that it is completely out of place within the neighborhood that we call home. The size, traffic, light pollution, noise are entirely incompatible with the area. Fredensborg Canyon is used daily by children riding bikes, people out for walks, walking pets etc because it is a small road that is not heavily travelled.

I appreciate the due diligence the county took to deny the project originally and to recognize that a facility of this nature would adversely affect the community, specifically inhabitants who live nearby, and who enjoy the area that we have bought into and call home. The loss of property value would be devastating, especially when we have worked so hard to improve our house to increase the value.

Please consider the affects this property would have on our community and neighborhoods and we respectfully request that you deny the appeal, Case No. 20APL-00000-00028 & deny the project, Case No. 19LUP-00000-00469.

Kind Regards,
Frank and Christine Forsyth
954 Skagen Dr.
Solvang

Ramirez, Angelica

From: John Padfield <jp@sbceo.org>
Sent: Friday, February 5, 2021 10:22 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Decker Greenhouse Appeal

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Supervisors,

My request is that you ***Deny the appeal***, Case No. 20APL-00000-00028 & also ***Deny the project***, Case No. 19LUP-00000-00469

- a. total **lack of compatibility with adjacent properties**. (Size 15,648 s.f., type, intensity of use (**commercial** with daily traffic), vs rural neighborhood traffic
- b. There will be a **major nightlight intrusion** for all of us living on College Cyn. Rd, Fredensborg Cyn. Rd & streets in Solvang's Sunrise Village neighborhood.
- c. Why would a greenhouse for growing "vegetables" require a state-of-the-art odor air purifier system? This is used for **cannabis** not normal vegetable farming!
- d. The Planning Commission held hearings & discussed all issues that are now being raised in the appeal.
- e. This proposed project is just **not suited** for the rural country-like neighborhood desired by the applicant. **Denial** is a must to preserve our local living environment and to also not set a precedent for future, large scale, incompatible commercial operations.

Thank you very much for your time in reading my comments.

Respectfully Submitted,

John & Julie Padfield
935 College Canyon Road
Solvang, CA 93463