

#3

Ramirez, Angelica

Public Comment- Group 1

From: Ashley Endy <ashleyendy@hotmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 6, 2021 10:41 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Decker Commercial Greenhouse – Public Comment – 2/9/21



Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Attn: Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I am a resident and property owner on Greenfield Way, very close to the proposed commercial "green house" of the Decker's. My husband and I have huge concerns.

Our residential neighborhood consists of beautiful homes, small barns, and maybe a few animals. There is nothing commercial and shouldn't be. We walk our neighborhood daily with our children and are extremely concerned with additional traffic that a commercial facility will bring.

A facility of this size and use will damage our property values in the area. I am a realtor and can speak firsthand to the fact that active buyers will not buy in our area because of the history of this parcel. It is a HUGE problem.

Please do the right thing and deny this outrageous request.

Kindly,

Ashley and Tom Endy

886 Greenfield Way, Solvang

Ramirez, Angelica

From: tlaux@windblade.com
Sent: Sunday, February 7, 2021 9:04 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Decker Greenhouse - Public Comment - 2/9/21

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

We understand the appeal for the Decker Greenhouse is still be persistently pursued.

In an email sent to Mr. David Villalobos in October of last year (copied below the dotted line), we outlined our objects and the situation of the residential neighborhood surrounding the intended Decker site.

The key points have not changed materially and, if anything, have been reinforced.

The Fredensborg Canyon and College Canyon Roads and environment are not in any way suitable for operations nor traffic associated with any commercial entity.

Narrow streets and limited infrastructure that allow and support a thriving residential neighborhood surrounded by other residential neighborhoods is incongruous with the proposed greenhouse.

As offered below, there are alternative sites in the Santa Ynez valley well-suited and encouraged for these types of green houses, etc.

What is puzzling to us is why there is such a concerted effort to substantially change the nature of the Fredensborg Cyn / College Cyn neighborhood.

We strongly encourage this matter to be put to rest with a "no" vote and decision.

Best regards,

Tom & Karen Laux
930 College Canyon Rd.
Solvang, CA 93463
+1-805-448-5289
tlaux@windblade.com

From: tlaux@windblade.com <tlaux@windblade.com>
Sent: Saturday, October 3, 2020 7:45 AM
To: 'dvillalo@co.santa-barbara.ca.us' <dvillalo@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>
Subject: Appeal of 19LUP-00000-00469 - Public Comment (10/7/20)

Santa Barbara County Planning Commission
Subject: Appeal of 19LUP-00000-00469 - Public Comment (10/7/20)
Email: dvillalo@co.santa-barbara.ca.us (David Villalobos)

Dear Mr. Villalobos,

With this email, we formally offer our opinion about the appeal for repurposing the referenced residential property on Fredensborg Canyon Road.

Any sort of commercial operation in a zoned residential area is **completely inconsistent** with the existing neighborhood populated by working families with young children, mature adults enjoying their retirement years and working professionals.

Inserting a commercial operation into the midst of this residential neighborhood full of children, working families and retirees on a 'flag lot' makes no sense whatsoever.

The neighborhood, nor the road, nor the surrounding infrastructure are structured for commercial operations.

The negative impact of additional traffic, noise, light pollution at night, etc. would destroy his residential zoned area and negatively impact property values leading to a direct (negative) impact on property taxes.

The property owner can find many commercially zoned properties elsewhere in Santa Ynez Valley. These could accommodate readily the proposed business. This would be preferable rather than destroy the intention and ambiance of a residential zoned neighborhood.

We strongly oppose to any commercial operation in our neighborhood.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Best regards,
Tom & Karen Laux
930 College Canyon Road
Solvang, CA 93463
+1-805-448-5289
tlaux@windblade.com

Ramirez, Angelica

From: stefanmazur <smazur_93463@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 7, 2021 3:34 PM
To: sbcob
Cc: diane_93463@yahoo.com
Subject: Decker Greenhouse-Public Comment-2/9/21

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Attention: County Board of SupervisorS

Request: Deny the Appeal, Case No. 20APL-00000-00028
Deny the Project, Case No. 19LUP-00000-00469

My husband Stefan and I live at 1128 Fredensborg Cyn Rd. in a community of six homes. We have addressed the issue of the Decker Greenhouse Projects on a number of occasions where I spoke via telecon on behalf of my husband and neighbors, written letters, and attended several BOS meetings. We find ourselves addressing the same issue once again with Mr. Decker's appeal. Our response against the project then and now remains firmly the same with regard to the following issues.

Our properties are adjacent to the Decker operation and share a common aquifer. The concern once again is the fire danger posed by the large amount of water to be consumed by this grow which would directly affect all in our community with regard to capacity and quality i.e. Fredensborg Canyon and beyond (Ladan, Sunrise Village, and the College Canyon areas). In a time of drought and considering the horrific fire season in California, it would be folly to add to the fire danger in the canyon with the attending wind factor. For Mr. Decker to consume such a large amount of common water is in itself unacceptable in principle. Couple that with the fact that the Decker son gerrymandered the well which at times denied water or lowered the water pressure for the three parties who share the well with him. Not only is this a fire hazard but a quality of life issue. Mr. Decker is the designated well master for the four-party shared well.

As we have previously stated, the project's incompatibility to the surrounding area(s) (15,648 sq. ft. in scope), its negative impact on noise, nightlight intrusion, odor, daily commercial traffic, power requirements on the grid and water availability add up to an abysmal outcome for all concerned.

It is our sincere hope that you, the Board of Supervisors, will find in our favor and deny the applicant's appeal and project to safeguard what is the beautiful, rural and so-far unscathed Fredensborg Canyon.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Diane and Stefan Mazur

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Karen MacKain <klmackain@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 7, 2021 5:48 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Decker Greenhouse - Public Comment - 2/9/21

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To - Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

It has come to my attention (as well as others in our Fredensborg Canyon neighborhood) that the Decker property residents are appealing the decision of the Planning Commission in denying their Commercial Greenhouse Project.

I have lived in the Fredensborg Canyon neighborhood for over 13 years. It is an area of single family homes, some with modest pastures accommodating horses and other small animals. It is filled with neighbors playing with their children, neighbors walking dogs, and neighbors bicycle riding and walking the canyon road.

It IS NOT an area that would be conducive to any type of commercial operation, no matter how small.

Please support us in preserving the neighborhood we all work so hard to maintain and enjoy. Please DENY the DECKER COMMERCIAL GREENHOUSE PROJECT.

Thank you for your consideration of our opinions.

Karen MacKain

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Annette Jacobs <annettemariejacobs@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 7, 2021 9:20 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Decker Greenhouse Public Comment 2/9/21

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

We are writing to request the Board deny the appeal of Mr. Decker in regards to Case No. 20APL-00000-00028.

Mr. Deckers application to build a 15,648 square foot greenhouse is inconsistent and incompatible with the neighborhood. Fredensborg Canyon has been developed over the decades as a rural residential neighborhood with some small hobby farms and horse barns. There is currently no commercial agriculture facilities within the Canyon and the size of the proposed greenhouse would drawf any structure that now exists.

The approval of Mr. Deckers appeal and subsequent construction of the greenhouse would dramatically change the current makeup of the Canyon neighborhood. The construction would most probably lower property values, not just of the immediately surrounding properties but for the entire Canyon. The Canyon is now viewed as a bucolic rural residential neighborhood where children can play outside and ride their bikes up and down the canyon road. The roadway is a safe place to take long walks while enjoying the peace and quiet and lovely views. To allow a commercial construction of this magnitude will change the entire character of the Canyon and be totally incongruent with the what now exists.

During two Planning Commission meetings Mr. Decker has not been able to produce one resident of at the Canyon to support his proposed construction. Instead over 100 neighbors have signed correspondence in opposition to the construction. This fact needs to be carefully considered because it is clear the public is strongly giving the opinion, as the Planning Commission did, that this construction is inconsistent under LUDC Section 35.82.110.E.

Stephen and Annette Jacobs
1690 Fredensborg Way
Solvang

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Arnold Shapiro <arnoldjshapiro@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 7, 2021 11:07 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Fwd: DECKER COMMERCIAL GREENHOUSE ON FREDENSBORG CYN.

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Subject: DECKER COMMERCIAL GREENHOUSE ON FREDENSBORG CYN.

TO: SB COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

As a resident of the Fredensborg Canyon neighborhood since 2007, my neighbors and I have written several letters in the past in opposition to any commercial development of the Decker property. Thankfully, each time it was voted upon by various entities in SB County, it was voted down. Apparently, it is coming up for a vote again tomorrow, February 9, attempting to repeal the "No" vote of the Planning Commission.

Fredensborg Canyon is a 100% residential neighborhood and we are opposed to all the negative aspects of what the Decker Greenhouse project would do to our quiet neighborhood. The residential road is one of children playing, lots of residents walking and dog walking, with no sidewalks or street lights. It is not conducive to any commercial growing business and all the additional traffic and activities it would bring to the Fredensborg Canyon neighborhood. There is not one resident in our entire area that wants or supports this commercial enterprise.

We urge you to support the residents who surround the Decker Commercial Greenhouse Project and reject their appeal. Thank you for your support.

Arnold Shapiro

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Julie Jacobsen <juliemjacobsen@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 8, 2021 9:55 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Decker Greenhouse - Public Comment 2/9/2021

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Attn: Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for taking the time to review my letter of opposition to the project being proposed by Steve Decker at 988 Fredensborg Canyon Road. I strongly believe Mr. Decker's large scale commercial project is completely incompatible with every surrounding property in the neighborhood. There is no other property like the one he is determined to attain with absolute disregard for his neighbors. Fredensborg Canyon is a road and a gathering place for children to ride their bikes and for people to enjoy walking their dogs with very little traffic. There is no sidewalk or bike lane on this narrow road. Mr. Decker and his proposals have become a neighborhood nuisance and I ask that you uphold the denial of his permit.

Thank you,
Julie Jacobsen

Sent from my iPhone

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Patrick Cavanaugh <pat654@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, February 8, 2021 10:16 AM
To: sbcob
Cc: boylemdc@aol.com; susawill6667@gmail.com; markinfanti@gmail.com;
jeffwjacobsen@gaikl.com
Subject: Decker Greenhouse – Public Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors:

I am owner of a home on Nysted Drive in the Sunrise Village development on the north side of Solvang. I live within a short distance of the proposed site of the "Decker Greenhouse" project. For your consideration, I respectfully submit these comments urging Board affirm its denial of the project:

1. While the project is technically outside the city limits of Solvang, one side of the project abuts Solvang's city limits, and, as can be seen in the aerial picture of the project which accompanied recent News-Press coverage of the Board's earlier denial of Mr. Decker's application, the other three sides of the proposal project all abut private residential properties in a well-established community of single family homes and ranchettes;
2. The roadway serving this project, Fredensborg Canyon Road, is essentially a dead-end requiring the predictably significant truck traffic to and from the greenhouse to go south through the Solvang Community, including the narrow, winding two-lane portion of the road through Fredensborg Canyon adjacent to the Atterdag Village Retirement Community or Viborg Road through the Sunrise Village development;
3. As a large and significant greenhouse for growing vegetables and other plants, the project is conflict with the fundamental character and culture of the Solvang which is so important to continued success as a tourist destination;
4. While the "Danish Community" culture is a significant component of the tourist attraction to the Solvang, it is recognized that wine grapes and other agricultural commodities are important components of the Santa Ynez Valley economy. This project could fit into that economy well, but be much better suited in any of the many other sites which would not conflict so directly with an established residential community in this neighborhood of Solvang.

I urge you deny this application for a permit of this project on this particular site for the reasons noted above. Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Patrick D. Cavanaugh
945 Nysted Drive
Solvang, CA 93463

805-350-9331

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Cyndee Bryant-Quinn <cbryant011@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, February 8, 2021 11:31 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Decker Greenhouse- Public comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Supervisors,

Not trying to be cute, but this hearing may have been more appropriately held last Tuesday, February 2nd on "Ground Hog Day".

I feel as though I am an extra in the Bill Murray film "Ground Hog Day", where we keep waking up to yet another attempt to build a Marijuana grow facility in my backyard. Under the guise of a commercial greenhouse.

There is no good reason to plant such a facility in a quiet neighborhood where good people have moved to raise their children free of drugs, gangs, and pollution. Perhaps Mr. Decker should sell his property and move out to a location where he will be more welcome. Perhaps surrounded by other grow facilities. We are not trying to dash his dream, but we will not be happy with him and his proposal in our safe neighborhood.

On other occasions I have mentioned that his son has reimagined the common well, that is shared with three other land owners. I have seen the receipts, and he was sourcing parts from Ace Hardware, Home Depot, etc. There is no warranty for his work and often times a household on his common well has come to us for water. She is 91! They have chased away all the reputable well companies including A&A. They will not work on their well. So we worry about fire. Would there be water if needed?

In closing. On a clear night we can still view the beautiful night sky without the overbearing lights of a big city or commercial greenhouse. Fredensborg Canyon is still a place where people can safely walk with their children, jog or walk their dogs. And we would like to keep it this way.

Respectfully,

Patrick Quinn and Cyndee Bryant-Quinn
Concerned nearby neighbors

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Julie Glenn <mommajay1124@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 8, 2021 11:46 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Decker Greenhouse – Public Comment – 2/9/21

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I am writing today to urge you to deny the Commercial Greenhouse Project that is proposed in a lovely rural area. I am extremely concerned about the traffic issues this will generate in a residential area. There will be large trucks and increased employee traffic coming and going. Please support the local residents and vote no.

Regards,
Julie Glendinning
Solvang Resident

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Gay Infanti <ginfanti@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, February 8, 2021 1:28 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Deny Applicant's Appeal of the Decker Greenhouse Project Case Number 20APL-0028.
Third District

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in support of your Staff's recommendation to deny the appeal of the Decker Greenhouse Project, Case #20APL-0028. I live in Sunrise Village, which borders on the Decker's proposed greenhouse site. This location has already been determined to be inappropriate for a commercial-size greenhouse of 15,000 sq. feet. Whether it is used to grow cannabis or vegetables commercially, a project of this nature is wrong for any location surrounded by existing residential development. The location is completely surrounded by homes, which are subject to adverse effects from the greenhouse project including noise, light pollution, and unsafe traffic on small access rural roads. In addition, the greenhouse would be accessed by employees using a private driveway shared by adjacent homes and get its water from a private well shared by those homes. There is also the likelihood that the neighboring residential property owners would experience decreased property values due to the presence of this project. Clearly, your staff is correct that the project is incompatible with this proposed location.

Thank you in advance for taking this letter into your consideration before reaching a decision on this appeal.

Very truly yours,

Gay Infanti
920 Nysted Drive
Solvang, CA 93463
(805) 691-9777

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Gene & Michele Boyle <boylemdc@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, February 8, 2021 1:47 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Decker Greenhouse - Public Comment - 2-9-21

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Supervisors:

I am alarmed at the thought of a vegetation commercial enterprise here in our quiet Solvang neighborhood. I live on Viborg Road just 10 houses from the property that Mr. Decker is seeking a permit.

I walk on these roads frequently and know that the road is not wide enough to accommodate trucks for such a business. I am also alarmed about the extra parking needed, lights, and noise. There are families with children who live on this road. It would be unsafe for them. If a business like this moved in, it would decrease our property value as it would not be a quiet, peaceful neighborhood.

I would hope there is another location far away from a residential neighborhood for such a business.

I strongly urge you to not approve Mr. Decker's application.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Michele D. Callian-Boyle

1856 Viborg Road, Solvang

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Jeff Jacobsen <jeffwjacobsen@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 8, 2021 1:55 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Public Comment - Decker Greenhouse 2.9.21

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter. I along with my wife and three boys live next door to the Appellant. Today is hopefully the final act of what has been nearly a two and half year nuisance to our neighborhood and for the hundreds of people represented in the public comments.

As the Planning Commission unanimously ruled, the Commercial Greenhouse project proposed is incompatible with adjacent properties. There was lengthy discussion at the Planning Commission hearing on two separate occasions regarding this portion of the Santa Ynez Community Plan. The plan states that the County should support "appropriate agricultural expansion." It's impossible to argue that appropriate agricultural expansion could allow for a 16,000 square foot glowing greenhouse with daily traffic mere feet from a neighbor's primary residence roughly one sixth the size.

The Agricultural Advisory Committee also followed up in public comment to clarify that their initial letter did "not have the benefit of having heard all of the public comment and deliberation that has taken place related to this project" and the hundreds of impacted neighbors thank them for that clarification. Appropriate agricultural expansion should also be somewhat financially feasible for the stated crop. The Appellant in testimony to the Planning Commission struggled to discuss a potential crop as he has never farmed a vegetable crop to my knowledge and at one point mentioned tilapia. This would also not entail a costly cannabis air scrubber that will 'reduce 85% of the cannabis odor' per the plan set. Denying this permit would not set any agricultural precedent as the Appellant alleges. This application itself is unprecedented.

The next goal to "preserve agriculture" would have been arguable in 1988 when the Appellant's parcel was granted a lot split by the County and reduced in size by 75% to create four "single family residences". Shoehorning in a commercial scale operation at this stage would upend more than 30 years of residential use on all adjacent parcels and the surrounding area and severely damage the fair economic expectations of hundreds of neighbors. The earlier mentioned neighbor closest to the proposed facility, at 994 Fredensborg, was recently in escrow and had an offer rescinded upon the buyers discovery of Mr. Decker's application and the uncertainty of outcome our neighborhood is grappling with. The economic damage posed by this application is real and it's fundamentally unfair to ask the residents to bear that burden when the Planning Commission has laid out clear grounds to deny this project.

The summarizing point of the Planning Commission is that "New development shall be compatible with adjacent agricultural lands". Mr. Decker's application glaringly fails in this regard and we ask that you deny this appeal and concur with the Planning Commission decision to deny this permit outright and please side with your constituents to preserve our neighborhood.

Jeff Jacobsen
1000 Fredensborg

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Gene & Michele Boyle <boylemdc@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, February 8, 2021 2:16 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Decker Greenhouse -- Public Comment -- 2/9/21

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Attention--

Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

Dear Supervisors:

I am a Solvang resident on Viborg Road. We enjoy a beautiful, quiet community made up largely of private homes, small horse farms, farmlets, and low-traffic volume.

I would be very unhappy indeed if that were changed moving in the direction of any kind of commercialization. In fact, I am adamantly opposed to the establishment of a vegetable growing facility on or near to 988 Fredensborg Canyon Road and the increased noise, traffic, and lighting that would accompany the aforementioned vegetable grow facility.

Quality of life is paramount!

Respectfully,

Eugene J. Boyle, Jr.

1856 Viborg Road, Solvang, CA

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Gaye Rogowski <grogowski@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, February 8, 2021 2:40 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Vegetable Facility - 988 Fredensborg Canyon Road
Attachments: SB County Planning Commission - 2.8.21_000056.pdf

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Gaye S. Rogowski
GSR-Accounting/Tax Service
1851 Ringsted Drive
Solvang, CA 93463
Registered Tax Return Preparer
Member National Society of Accountants
805.686.4546 – Office
805.456.1647 – Fax
805.403.4079 – Cell
grogowski@verizon.net

Santa Barbara County Planning Commission:

I am writing to you in opposition to the proposed vegetable facility located at 988 Fredensborg Canyon Road, Solvang in Santa Barbara County. I live only one parcel away from the proposed vegetable facility and strongly disagree with allowing an operation of this kind in this residential community.

I am cosigned with the opposition group from Fredensborg Canyon and ask that the Planning Commission deny this application. This facility is proposing 14,000 square feet of translucent panels facing south behind which is horticultural lighting to extend daylight hours. I am also opposed to the increased traffic implication that would result with operating Monday – Saturday. This is a small county road (doesn't even have a white divided line down the middle!) and cannot and would not handle this degree of traffic. In addition, the lack of neighborhood compatibility for a facility of this kind is completely unacceptable!

Thank you for your consideration.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Gaye S. Rogowski". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial 'G'.

Gaye S. Rogowski

February 8, 2021

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Matthew Bieszard <mpbieszard@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 8, 2021 3:06 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Decker Greenhouse – Public Comment – 2/9/21- Case No. 20APL-00000-00028 & deny the project, Case No.19LUP-00000-00469

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Attn: Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I request that you deny the appeal of Case No. 20APL-00000-00028 & deny the project, Case No. 19LUP-00000-00469 on the grounds that it is incompatible with the neighboring properties that are small and residential in nature.

Additionally, greater commercial traffic coming to the property from the main artery of Fredensborg Cyn Road will be highly unsafe as this road already suffers from a lack of sidewalk and many families, horseback riding and dog walkers hugging the side to keep out of the way of residential traffic.

A large commercial greenhouse would be the first of its kind in the area and will undoubtedly be a visual pollutant not to mention night light pollutant.

This seems like a way to navigate around the denied cannabis project at this location and lay the groundwork to grow without county approval in the future.

Thank you for your consideration,

Matthew Bieszard
Resident on Adobe Creek Road, Solvang

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Vincent Lugli <rvlugli@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 8, 2021 3:39 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Subject: Decker Greenhouse – Public Comment – 2/9/21

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

RE: 1800LUP-00000-00458 Opposition

To: Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors,

I am the landowner of 994 Fedensberg Canyon Road Solvang & 991 College Canyon Road Solvang Ca 93463.

My properties are adjacent to the subject property on two sides.

I recently listed my house and 5-acre parcel at 994 Fredensberg Canyon Road "for sale".

Shortly after the house went on the market, an offer was made and accepted. The buyer was excited to purchase the house and acreage for their daughter and family to live in.

As required by real estate regulations, I had the responsibility to provide the buyer with several reports and disclosures within an allotted amount of time.

Towards the end of last week, I provided the disclosure reports to the buyer.

Upon receiving the report regarding Mr Decker's appeal of the planning commissions denial of his proposed commercial project, the buyer promptly "Cancelled" the purchase. Their Broker cited the reason to be the overwhelming size of the structure that would be positioned 20 feet above and looking down on the house that they were purchasing.

They were also concerned with the amount of traffic by employees travelling on the shared driveway which ends at the houses front yard.

Respectably,
Russell Lugli

Ramirez, Angelica

From: susadoodle@gmail.com
Sent: Monday, February 8, 2021 4:38 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Decker Greenhouse - Public Comment 2/9/21

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Attention: Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors

I am a home owner on Viborg Rd on the north side of Solvang. The proposed Decker Green House is within a short distance of my home.

I am requesting that you not approve this project for the following reasons:

1. The significant increase in truck traffic from this project will impact the already congested and overcrowded Solvang roads. Trucks will have to use the narrow, winding two-lane Freedensborg Canyon and then pass by the Atterdag Retirement Community and Solvang School to get to Highway 246, that is already heavily traveled and is often at a standstill. Or the trucks will take the back route through the residential neighborhood of Sunrise Village using Viborg Rd.
2. Freedensborg Canyon Rd is not suited or safe for commercial traffic.
3. The scope of this project is in conflict with the character of the private residential properties of single family homes and ranchettes that surround the project on three sides.

Respectfully,

Susan C Williams
1951 Viborg Rd
Solvang, CA 93463
805-245-0626