Ramirez, Angelica

General Public Comment

From:

K T < ktamazon@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Monday, February 15, 2021 4:31 PM

To:

sbcob

Subject:

Public Comment for 2-16-21

Attachments:

Katie Mickey BOS public comments 2021-2-17.docx

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I request that my public comment be put into the record and provided to the County Supervisors. I plan to read tomorrow during public comment.

Katie Mickey
805 682-0114

Safe Technology for Santa Barbara County has been in dialogue with you since Dec 2021, requesting protection from the multiple issues surrounding Wireless Telecom Facilities:

- They combust and are unsafe in our high fire zones
- 20% lower property values
- · unattractive aesthetics
- Thousands scientific studies indicate that they cause biological harms
- No monitoring of power
- Installation feet of homes.

Prior to December 2019, SB County's telecom code prohibited small cell antennas in residential zones and mixed zones and required proof of gap in service. We want those protections restored.

SafeTechSBC looks forward to a 1 hour meeting with county counsel, the BOS, their staff, P & D and public works with the nations' number one telecom attorney, Andrew Campanelli. He has written protective ordinances throughout the US.

See link: Campanelli's explanation to the development Board of Pittsfield Massachusetts explaining the authority granted to local government, through the interplay of the Telecommunications Act subparagraph 332C7A and ruling of the United States First Circuit Court of Appeals, on what Cities can do to ensure they retain their authority regarding placement of cell antennas in neighborhoods. https://www.activistpost.com/2021/02/lawyer-reveals-how-communities-can-retain-their-authority-regarding-cell-towers-antennas-and-5g-installation.html

Article 332C7 A gives local government the authority to enact protections in their code including:

- Spatial distancing from residences, unless no other feasible alternative exists
- Requiring applicants to prove a gap in service.

We look forward to your meeting with Mr Campanelli to discuss the legal analysis and answer questions regarding how "Your Hands are Not Tied!"

Katie Mickey