

1

Ramirez, Angelica

Public Comment - Group 2

From: S T <tsbecker069@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, August 15, 2021 9:20 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Revised public comment letter, Departmental Agenda item #1, BOS meeting of 8/17/21
Attachments: letter.pdf



Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Please find attached a revised public comment letter to replace the public comment letter I sent to the Clerk of the Board on 8/14/21 @ 12:24 PM. The revised public comment letter is in PDF format, to replace the original letter that was not formatted in PDF.

Thank you

Tom Becker
tsbecker069@gmail.com

Date: 8/14/21

To: 100+ organizations and persons who are parents of children enrolled in K-12 schools in California or who represent parents of children enrolled in K-12 schools in California.

From: Tom Becker, Buellton, CA

Subject: Rate of COVID-19 infections in vaccinated and unvaccinated teachers.

This letter is being sent to 100+ organizations and persons who represent parents of children enrolled in K-12 schools and parents of students who have expressed concerns about COVID-19 infections in K-12 schools.

There is increasing scientific evidence that persons who have been vaccinated against COVID-19 and variants are likely to become infected with COVID-19 and transmit that infection to other persons, including school children. Among the vaccinated persons capable of transmitting COVID-19 to children are teachers employed by k-12 schools and school districts.

Scientific evidence of recent COVID-19 outbreaks is showing that upwards of 75% of people testing positive during COVID-19 outbreaks are vaccinated persons. A recent COVID-19 outbreak in Martha's Vineyard, Massachusetts during the week of August 8-14 resulted in vaccinated persons accounting for 50%+ of COVID-19 cases.

It is not unreasonable to scientifically conclude that at least 2% of vaccinated persons tested for COVID on any given day will test positive.

Using that reasonable 2% positivity rate, School districts that mandate weekly COVID tests for all teachers, regardless of vaccination status, may have at least 2% of vaccinated teachers testing positive for COVID every week. By the end of 2021, at least 33% of all vaccinated teachers may have tested positive for COVID. By the end of the school year in May/June, at least 70% of all vaccinated teachers may have tested positive for COVID.

At this moment, I am aware of only 2 school districts in California that mandate weekly COVID testing for all teachers, regardless of vaccination status: The Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD), with 25,000+ teachers, and the Santa Barbara Unified School District (SBUSD).

The LAUSD began universal mandatory COVID testing on August 2. The SBUSD will begin testing on August 16.

The LAUSD has already compiled extensive information on weekly COVID infection rates among vaccinated and unvaccinated teachers and has transmitted that information to the responsible public health departments. LAUSD has so far failed to provide that information to me, and at this time I cannot find that information on the LAUSD website.

As far as the SBUSD, several people have contacted the Santa Barbara County Public Health Department (SBCPHD), requesting information on COVID safety. In all the cases that I am aware of where requests were made to SBCPHD, those requests for information were declined or not responded to. It is questionable that SBCPHD will provide information on COVID infected teachers to concerned parents or the general public.

On August 17, the issue of COVID safety will come before the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors (SBCBOS). I have attached to this letter a public comment I submitted to the SBCBOS, and the response I received from my elected representative. It is becoming increasingly obvious that elected representatives, school districts and public health departments are not interested in answering important health and safety questions submitted to them by the public, and in particular questions submitted by parents of school children.

It is imperative that the LAUSD immediately release the statistics on COVID-19 infection rates for vaccinated and unvaccinated teachers to the parents of children enrolled in the LAUSD. The LAUSD has those statistics and has absolutely no valid legal or scientific reason to block the release of that information to parents of students enrolled in the LAUSD, or to the general public. The release of those statistics will not violate the privacy of any teacher, since personal information will not be released.

It is also imperative that the SBUSD release teacher infection rates statistics, for both vaccinated and unvaccinated teachers, to the parents of children enrolled in the SBUSD as soon as those statistics are compiled.

Thank you

Tom Becker

Buellton, CA

tsbecker069@gmail.com

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Justin Shores <j_shores@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 8:55 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: 21-00716 Public Comment

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,

Please consider the following laws when moving forward.

Requiring a Covid vaccine or face mask(which are medical experiments) as a condition to participate in society is UNLAWFUL COERCION according to CA law. California Laws PROHIBIT this:

- 24170: This is the **Protection of Human Subjects in Medical Experimentation Act**

- 24171: The Legislature hereby finds and declares ... **the right of individuals to determine what is done to their own bodies.**

- 24172 This is the "experimental subject's bill of rights," and states that individuals...

(j) Be given the opportunity to decide to consent or not to consent to a medical experiment without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, coercion, or undue influence on the subject's decision.

Federal Laws also prohibit this:

The Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution states, "The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated."

Any person who violates your rights can be held liable under Title 18 USC Section 242, deprivation of rights. Penalty for this crime can include life in prison or death penalty.

Title III of the U.S. Civil Rights Act prohibits discrimination based on medical condition (i.e. unvaccinated) in private businesses such as grocery stores, banks, restaurants, hotels, retail stores and all public facilities

Any person who violates your rights can be held liable under Title 18 USC Section 242, deprivation of rights. Penalty for this crime can include life in prison or death penalty.

It is ILLEGAL to require proof of vaccination as a condition for entry or service to any private business or public establishment.

Thank you for your consideration.

Justin Shores
Goleta

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Laurie PUNCHES <laketahoelogcabin@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 12:06 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Vaccine and mask mandates

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I ask you as a grandparent to not put these unconstitutional and unscientific measures in our children which have a high probability of long term affects. 24170, 24171 and 2417 Laurie PUNCHES Frim Santa Batbars San Vicente retirement mobile home park soace 183 806-962-6911

Sent from my iPhone

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Pastor Jerry Scheidbach <pastor@baptistlighthouse.org>
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 12:14 PM
To: sbcob
Cc: Dr. Jerry Scheidbach
Subject: Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors: Comment for Tuesday's meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

I am Pastor Dr. Scheidbach, residing at 4876 Bethany Lane, Santa Maria, CA 93455.

I'm writing to express my concern about policies that coerce participation in a medical experiment.

Every enlightened society agrees using government power to coerce citizens to participate in medical experimentation is a violation of human rights—a la Nuremberg.

It should be obvious that any governing body that uses it's power to compel any private company to coerce citizens to participate in a medical experiment is nevertheless culpable for violating the Nuremberg code. It is disingenuous and facetious to say this somehow gets around prohibitions against government coercion.

Unless private companies are truly independent and free of any compulsion from government, it is the government that is the root source of coercion to compel compliance contrary to the law.

The laws in question are in part:

Requiring a Covid vaccine (which is a medical experiment) as a condition to participate in society is UNLAWFUL COERCION according to CA law:

- 24170: Protection of Human Subjects in Medical Experimentation Act - 24171: The Legislature hereby finds and declares ... the right of individuals to determine what is done to their own bodies.

- 24172 This is the "experimental subject's bill of rights," and states that individuals...

(j) Be given the opportunity to decide to consent or not to consent to a medical experiment without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, coercion, or undue influence on the subject's decision.

I would like to add two questions:

Are the CDC records indicating a 99.987% recovery for healthy persons and above 95% recovery for those considered at risk true or false? If true, why are we taking such extreme measures? If false, why are we allowing ourselves to be manipulated by an organization that is either incompetent, or driven by some hidden agenda that is averse to the public interest?

I'm sure you are aware that a panel of doctors conducted a scientific study to ascertain the effectiveness of Hydroxychloroquine and found it was not efficacious and in fact potentially harmful for use as a COVID treatment. This study was published in the prestigious medical publication called the Lancet. Many in our government medical establishment cited the study and used it as the basis for extraordinary measures such as prohibiting its use or even its sale in America. The Lancet withdrew the article from their publication when it was revealed the doctors involved in this

study knowingly committed fraud. Why did not the “medical establishment” responsible for making decisions critical to the health and safety of the people they serve not bother to verify this study before they began implementing measures that literally got between doctors and their patients and very likely resulted in extended misery and death for many patients? Was it a matter of incompetence? If so, why are we trusting our lives into the hands of such incompetent “experts”? Is it a matter of Trump-hatred? If our medical professionals are making decisions that affect our health and lives allowing their decisions to be controlled by political or personal animus they should be relieved of their positions immediately. No one can be faulted for suspicion there is some other agenda at work here that is not in the interests of the people. We can only speculate regarding motive, but that the study was found to be fraudulent is beyond question. How can we trust our medical establishment professionals when they follow bad science, and/or use “science” as a tool of subversion?

I hope you will reconsider any and all policies that implicate our County government in violations of our human rights. This appeal is inclusive of all public officials responsible for exercising the authority vested in them by the people to protect not only the health but also the rights of our citizenry.

Yours very truly,

Dr. Jerry Scheidbach,
Pastor, Lighthouse Baptist Church
Santa Maria, CA

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Catherine Sayers <lovegod1021@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 2:16 PM
To: pastor@baptistlighthouse.org; sbcob
Cc: Dr. Jerry Scheidbach
Subject: Re: Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors: Comment for Tuesday's meeting

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Yes, I agree 100% what you said.

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

On Mon, Aug 16, 2021 at 12:14 PM, Pastor Jerry Scheidbach <pastor@baptistlighthouse.org> wrote:

I am Pastor Dr. Scheidbach, residing at 4876 Bethany Lane, Santa Maria, CA 93455.

I'm writing to express my concern about policies that coerce participation in a medical experiment.

Every enlightened society agrees using government power to coerce citizens to participate in medical experimentation is a violation of human rights—a la Nuremberg.

It should be obvious that any governing body that uses it's power to compel any private company to coerce citizens to participate in a medical experiment is nevertheless culpable for violating the Nuremberg code. It is disingenuous and facetious to say this somehow gets around prohibitions against government coercion.

Unless private companies are truly independent and free of any compulsion from government, it is the government that is the root source of coercion to compel compliance contrary to the law.

The laws in question are in part:

Requiring a Covid vaccine (which is a medical experiment) as a condition to participate in society is UNLAWFUL COERCION according to CA law:

- 24170: Protection of Human Subjects in Medical Experimentation Act - 24171: The Legislature hereby finds and declares ... the right of individuals to determine what is done to their own bodies.

- 24172 This is the "experimental subject's bill of rights," and states that individuals...

(j) Be given the opportunity to decide to consent or not to consent to a medical experiment without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, coercion, or undue influence on the subject's decision.

I would like to add two questions:

Are the CDC records indicating a 99.987% recovery for healthy persons and above 95% recovery for those considered at risk true or false? If true, why are we taking such extreme measures? If false, why are we allowing ourselves to be manipulated by an organization that is either incompetent, or driven by some hidden agenda that is averse to the public interest?

I'm sure you are aware that a panel of doctors conducted a scientific study to ascertain the effectiveness of Hydroxychloroquine and found it was not efficacious and in fact potentially harmful for use as a COVID treatment. This study was published in the prestigious medical publication called the Lancet. Many in our government medical establishment cited the study and used it as the basis for extraordinary measures such as prohibiting its use or even its sale in America. The Lancet withdrew the article from their publication when it was revealed the doctors involved in this study knowingly committed fraud. Why did not the "medical establishment" responsible for making decisions critical to the health and safety of the people they serve not bother to verify this study before they began implementing measures that literally got between doctors and their patients and very likely resulted in extended misery and death for many patients? Was it a matter of incompetence? If so, why are we trusting our lives into the hands of such incompetent "experts"? Is it a matter of Trump-hatred? If our medical professionals are making decisions that affect our health and lives allowing their decisions to be controlled by political or personal animus they should be relieved of their positions immediately. No one can be faulted for suspicion there is some other agenda at work here that is not in the interests of the people. We can only speculate regarding motive, but that the study was found to be fraudulent is beyond question. How can we trust our medical establishment professionals when they follow bad science, and/or use "science" as a tool of subversion?

I hope you will reconsider any and all policies that implicate our County government in violations of our human rights. This appeal is inclusive of all public officials responsible for exercising the authority vested in them by the people to protect not only the health but also the rights of our citizenry.

Yours very truly,

Dr. Jerry Scheidbach,
Pastor, Lighthouse Baptist Church
Santa Maria, CA

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Rebecca Spears <rlspears76@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 2:16 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: Written Comment to the Board of Supervisors

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Legal Information:

Requiring a Covid vaccine (which is a medical experiment) as a condition to participate in society is UNLAWFUL COERCION according to CA law. California Laws PROHIBIT this:

- 24170: This is the Protection of Human Subjects in Medical Experimentation Act - 24171: The Legislature hereby finds and declares ... the right of individuals to determine what is done to their own bodies.
- 24172 This is the "experimental subject's bill of rights," and states that individuals...
- (j) Be given the opportunity to decide to consent or not to consent to a medical experiment without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, coercion, or undue influence on the subject's decision.

Ramirez, Angelica

From: Jan Bommerez <lifestylecoaching101@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 16, 2021 3:36 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: no mandatory vaccinations

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Requiring a Covid vaccine (which is a medical experiment and not FDA approved) as a condition to participate in society is UNLAWFUL COERCION according to CA law. California Laws PROHIBIT this:

- 24170: This is the Protection of Human Subjects in Medical Experimentation Act - 24171: The Legislature hereby finds and declares ... the right of individuals to determine what is done to their own bodies.

- 24172 This is the "experimental subject's bill of rights," and states that individuals...

(j) Be given the opportunity to decide to consent or not to consent to a medical experiment without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, coercion, or undue influence on the subject's decision.

Since there are therapeutic remedies for Covid (Ivermectin, HCQ, and others) the emergency authorization for the injections should be withdrawn. Furthermore the number of people with vaccine damage and even loss of life is staggering. The vaccination for the so-called Mexican flu was stopped after 58 fatalities - now the number of deaths after vaccination runs into the tens of thousands if not hundreds of thousands (less than 10% get reported).

It is unlawful and immoral to enforce this dangerous medical experiment on healthy people.

Will the county compensate for the vaccine injuries?

Please use your conscience!

Jan Bommerez, Santa Barbara