State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) Checklist for Commercial Cannabis Land Use Entitlement and Licensing Applications

A. Purpose

On February 6, 2018, the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors certified a programmatic environmental impact report (PEIR) that analyzed the environmental impacts of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program (Program). The PEIR was prepared in accordance with the State CEQA Guidelines (§ 15168) and evaluated the Program's impacts with regard to the following environmental resources and subjects:

- Aesthetics and Visual Resources
- Agricultural Resources
- Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions
- Biological Resources
- Cultural Resources
- Geology and Soils
- Hazards and Hazardous Materials

- Hydrology and Water Quality
- Land Use
- Noise
- Transportation and Traffic
- Utilities and Energy Conservation
- Population, Employment, and Housing

The PEIR evaluated the direct and indirect impacts, as well as the project-specific and cumulative impacts, that would result from the implementation of the Program. The PEIR set forth feasible mitigation measures for several significant impacts, which are now included as development standards and/or requirements in the land use and licensing ordinances.

Pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines (§ 15168(c)(4)), the following checklist was prepared to determine whether the environmental effects of a proposed commercial cannabis operation are within the scope of the PEIR.

B. Project Description

Ple	ase provide the following project information.
1.	Land Use Entitlement Case Number(s): 19CUP-00000-00018
2.	Business Licensing Ordinance Case Number(s):
3.	Project Applicant(s): Jason Hillenbrand, Santa Rita Holding Company
4	Property Owner(s): Hughes Land Holding Trust

5. Project Site Location and Tax Assessor Parcel Number(s): ____5423 Santa Rita Road, APN 099-110-060

6. Project Description:

The Proposed Project is a request for approval of a Conditional Use Permit to allow for a total of 2.54 acres of outdoor cannabis cultivation, including 1.88 acres under 14-ft.-tall hoop structures, 0.55 acres of mature cultivation without hoop structures, and 0.11 acres of nursery cultivation. Mature cultivation will take place in raised beds, in the ground, or in 30-gallon pots, and nursery cultivation will be in 4-inch to 1-gallon pots located underneath small hoop structures that will be up to 4-ft.-tall. Hoop structures will be located at least 100 ft. from the top-of-bank of a drainage traversing the site. The cultivation area without hoop structures nearest to this drainage will be setback at least 50 ft. from the top-of-bank. Hoop structure coverings will be removed as needed between November and February. Irrigation will be on a timed-drip system and will utilize soil monitoring and evaporative barriers. The Wildlife Movement Plan will be updated to require the owner/applicant to comply with any subsequent modifications or revisions as required by CDFW and/or USFWS.

Hours of operation will be from 6:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. seven days per week. Plants will be chopped in the field, binned, and then weighed and tagged outside of an existing 120 sq. ft. shed and underneath an existing 575 sq. ft. tractor shade structure that is open on all sides. Cannabis product will then be loaded onto refrigerated trucks and will be transported offsite. During harvests, the refrigerated trucks will be on the property over the course of up to three days as trucks are filled with cannabis and then transported offsite. Trucks that contain cannabis will not be stored onsite overnight. The 120 sq. ft. shed will be used for storage of pest management equipment, nutrients, as well as administrative records and materials and will not require any utility hook-ups. Harvested cannabis will be trucked offsite for processing daily during harvests, and no drying, trimming, curing, or processing will occur onsite. Plant waste will be stored in bins that will be hauled and composted offsite. Roundtrip vehicle trips generated by incoming and outgoing delivery of supplies or product will occur on average once daily.

The operation will require up to three regular employees, who will live in the onsite legal nonconforming 1,096 sq. ft. single-family dwelling. Aside from housing, the dwelling will not be used for any activities, including administration, related to the cannabis operation. The operation will require up to 12 temporary employees during planting and harvesting for a maximum total of 15 employees. Temporary employees will be transported to the site by a labor contractor in carpools or shuttles. There will be up to three harvests per year which will last up to three days each time. There will be three parking spaces onsite for the cannabis operation and two parking spaces onsite for the single-family dwelling.

All exterior light fixtures will be fully shielded, directed down, on a motion sensor, and mounted at a maximum height of 8 feet. The lights will remain illuminated for five minutes after activation. The site is not visible from any public viewing areas or public roads. The Proposed Project includes 2,780 sq. ft. of aromatic landscaping planted along the west property boundary. An 8-ft.-tall deer fence is located around the cultivation areas and will exclude the legal

nonconforming 1,096 sq. ft. single family dwelling and legal nonconforming 216 sq. ft. storage shed, which will not be used as part of the Proposed Project, from the cultivation areas.

The existing five 5,000-gallon water tanks, two 2,500-gallon water tanks, and one 1,500-gallon water tank will remain onsite. Domestic and agricultural water will continue to be provided by Vista Hills Mutual Water Company. Wastewater treatment for regular employees will be provided by an existing onsite septic system and restrooms in the single family dwelling. All sanitation facilities will be provided in compliance with OSHA. Power will be provided by PG&E and a portable generator in the case of an emergency.

There is no grading proposed. The County Sheriff will provide Law enforcement, and County Fire will provide fire protection. The property will be accessed off Santa Rita Road via an existing unpaved 12-ft.-wide private driveway. The property is a 120-acre parcel zoned AG-II-100 and shown as Assessor Parcel No. 099-110-060 located at 2300 Santa Rita Road in the Lompoc area, Fourth Supervisorial District.

C. PEIR Mitigation Measures/Requirements for Commercial Cannabis Operations

The following table lists the specific mitigation measures set forth in the PEIR. The table further includes questions to determine the scope of the potential environmental impacts of a project. This information will be used by staff to determine if subsequent environmental review of a project is warranted.

Please answer all questions set forth in the following table. Planning and Development Department (P&D) staff complete § C.1 and County Executive Office (CEO) staff complete § C.2. If a question does not apply to the proposed cannabis operation, please check the corresponding "N/A" box.

C.1 Mitigation Measures/Requirements for P&D Staff Review

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
Aesthetics and Visual Re	sources	
MM AV-1. Screening Requirements	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.3	Is the proposed cannabis operation visible from a public viewing location? ☐ Yes X No
	Article II § 35-144U.C.3	If so, does the proposed project include implementation of the required landscape and screening plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No X N/A
Agricultural Resources		
MM AG-1. Cannabis Cultivation Prerequisite Ancillary Use Licenses	LUDC §§ 35.42.075.D.3 and -4	Does the proposed project include ancillary cannabis uses (e.g., manufacturing of cannabis products)? ☐ Yes X No

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
	Article II § 35-144U.C.2.a and -3.a	If the proposed project includes ancillary cannabis uses, does the proposed project comply with the minimum cultivation requirements to allow ancillary cannabis uses? ☐ Yes ☐ No X N/A
MM AG-2. New Structure Avoidance of	LUDC § 35.42.075.D.1.b	Does the proposed project site have prime soils located on it? ☐ Yes X No
Prime Soils	Article II § 35-144U.C.1.b	Does the proposed project involve structural development? ☐ Yes X No If the proposed project involves structural development, are the structures sited and designed to avoid prime soils? ☐ Yes ☐ No X N/A
Air Quality and Greenho	use Gas Emissions	
MM AQ-3. Cannabis	LUDC	Does the proposed project include cannabis
Site Transportation	§ 35.42.075.D.1.j	cultivation? X Yes □ No
Demand Management	Article II § 35-144U.1.j	If so, does the project include implementation of the required Transportation Demand Management Plan? X Yes □ No □ N/A
MM AQ-5. Odor Abatement Plan	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.6	This mitigation measure/requirement does not apply to projects in the AG-II zone, unless a
	Article II § 35-144U.C.6	Conditional Use Permit is required for the proposed commercial cannabis operation. Does the proposed project include cannabis cultivation, a nursery, manufacturing, microbusiness, and/or distribution? X Yes □ No If so, does the project include implementation of the required odor abatement plan? X Yes □ No □ N/A
Biological Resources		Describe a second and a describe at a second
MM BIO-1a. Tree Protection Plan	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.8 and Appendix J	Does the proposed project involve development within proximity to, alteration of, or the removal of, a native tree? X Yes □ No
	Article II § 35-144.C.8 and Appendix G	If so, does the project include implementation of the required tree protection plan? X Yes □ No □ N/A

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
MM BIO-1b. Habitat Protection Plan	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.8 and Appendix J	Inland. Will the project result in the removal of native vegetation or other vegetation in an area that has been identified as having a medium to high potential of being occupied by a special-status wildlife species, nesting bird, or a Federal or Statelisted special-status plant species? ☐ Yes ☐ No X N/A If so, does the project include implementation of the required habitat protection plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No X N/A
	Article II § 35-144.C.8 and Appendix G	Coastal. Does the project involve development within environmentally sensitive habitat (ESH) and/or ESH buffers? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A If so, does the project include implementation of the required habitat protection plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
MM HWR-1a. Cannabis Waste Discharge Requirements Draft	LUDC § 35.42.075.D.1.d	Does the proposed project involve cannabis cultivation? X Yes □ No
General Order	Article II § 35-144U.C.1.d	If so, did the applicant submit documentation from the State Water Resources Control Board demonstrating compliance with the comprehensive Cannabis Cultivation Policy? X Yes □ No □ N/A
MM BIO-3. Wildlife Movement Plan	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.8 and Appendix J	Is the proposed project site located in or near a wildlife movement area? X Yes □ No
	Article II § 35-144.C.8 and Appendix G	If so, does the project include implementation of the required wildlife movement plan? X Yes □ No □ N/A
Cultural Resources		
MM CR-1. Preservation MM CR-2.	LUDC § 35.42.075.C.1	Does the proposed project involve development within an area that has the potential for cultural resources to be located within it? X Yes □ No
Archaeological and Paleontological Surveys	Article II §§ 35-144U.C.1 and 35-65	If so, was a Phase I cultural study prepared? X Yes □ No □ N/A If so, did the Phase I cultural study require a Phase II cultural study? □ Yes X No □ N/A
		If so, does the project involve implementation of cultural resource preservation measures set forth in

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
		the Phase II cultural study? ☐ Yes ☐ No X N/A
Hazards and Hazardous	Materials	
MM HAZ-3. Volatile Manufacturing Employee Training Plan	LUDC § 35.42.075.D.4.c	Does the proposed project involve volatile manufacturing of cannabis products? ☐ Yes X No
	Article II § 35-144U.C.3.c	If so, does the project involve implementation of the required Volatile Manufacturing Employee Training Plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No X N/A
Hydrology and Water Qu	ality Impacts	
MM HWR-1. Cannabis Waste Discharge Requirements General Order	See the Biological Resour	ces items, above.
MM BIO-1b. Cannabis Waste Discharge Requirements General Order	See the Biological Resour	ces items, above.
Land Use Impacts		
MM LU-1. Public Lands Restriction	LUDC § 35.42.075.D.1.h Article II § 35-144U.C.1.h	Does the proposed project involve cannabis cultivation on public lands? ☐ Yes X No
MM AQ-3. Cannabis Site Transportation Demand Management		reenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.
MM AQ-5. Odor Abatement Plan	See the Air Quality and G	reenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.
MM TRA-1. Payment of Transportation Impact Fees	County Ordinance No. 4270	Is the proposed project subject to the countywide, Goleta, or Orcutt development impact fee ordinance? X Yes □ No If so, did the applicant pay the requisite fee? X Yes □ No □ N/A
Compliance with Comprehensive Plan Environmental Resource Protection Policies	LUDC § 35.10.020.B	All cannabis applications. Does the proposed project comply with all applicable environmental resource protection policies set forth in the Comprehensive Plan? X Yes □ No

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement	
	CLUP Chapter 3, § 3.1 and Policy 1-4	Coastal cannabis applications. Does the proposed project comply with all applicable coastal resources protection policies set forth in the Coastal Land Use Plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐ Yes ☐ No	
Noise			
MM AQ-3. Cannabis Site Transportation Demand Management	See the Air Quality and G	reenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.	
Transportation and Traf	fic		
MM AQ-3. Cannabis Site Transportation Demand Management	See the Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions items, above. See the Land Use Impacts items, above.		
MM TRA-1. Payment of Transportation Impact Fees			
Unusual Project Site Cha	racteristics and Developm	ent Activities	
Activities and Impacts within the Scope of the Program/PEIR	State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(1)	Does the proposed project involve a project site with sensitive or unusual environmental characteristics, or require unusual development activities, which will result in a significant environmental impact that was not evaluated in the PEIR? Examples of unusual environmental characteristics or development activities which might cause a significant environmental impact include, but are not limited to: • construction of a bridge across a riparian corridor that supports listed species protected under the Federal or California endangered species acts, in order to gain access to a project site; • structural development that cannot be screened from a public viewing location pursuant to the requirements of PEIR mitigation measure MM AV-1 (Screening Requirements); or • development activities that will have a significant impact on cultural resources, which cannot be mitigated to a less-thansignificant level pursuant to the County's Environmental Thresholds and Guidelines Manual (March 2018).	

State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) Checklist for Commercial Cannabis Land Use Entitlement and Licensing Applications

Page 8

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
		☐ Yes X No

LUDC = Land Use and Development Code; Chapter 35, Article 35.1 et seq., of the Santa Barbara County Code Article II = Coastal Zoning Ordinance; Chapter 35, Article II, § 35-50 et seq., of the Santa Barbara County Code CLUP = Santa Barbara County Coastal Land Use Plan

State CEQA Guidelines = California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, § 15000 et seq.

C.1.1 Environmental Document Determination

Check the appropriate box below, based on the responses to the questions and requests for information set forth in the checklist in § C.1, above, and pursuant to the requirements set forth in State CEQA Guidelines §§ 15162 and 15168.

- X All of the environmental impacts of the proposed commercial cannabis operation are within the scope of the PEIR, and a subsequent environmental document is not required to evaluate the environmental impacts of the proposed commercial cannabis operation.
- ☐ The proposed commercial cannabis operation will have environmental effects that were not examined in the PEIR, and an initial study must be prepared to determine whether a subsequent environmental impact report or negative declaration must be prepared.

Gwen Beyeler
Name of Preparer of § C.1

Signature of Preparer of § C.1

Date

August 1, 2021

C.2 Mitigation Measures/Requirements for CEO Staff Review

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement	
Air Quality and Greenho	use Gas Emissions		
MM UE-2a. Energy Conservation Best Management Practices	BLO § 50-10(b)	Does the proposed project include the implementation of the required energy conservation plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
MM UE-2b. Participation in a Renewable Energy Choice Program	BLO § 50-10(b)2.ii	Does the proposed project include participation in a renewable energy choice program to meet the applicable energy reduction goals for the proposed project? ☐ Yes ☐ No	
MM UE-2c. Plan review by the County Green Building Committee	BLO § 50-10(b)2.iii.K	Did the County Green Building Committee review the proposed project? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A If so, does the proposed project conform to the recommendations of the County Green Building Committee? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A	
Utilities and Energy Cons	ervation		
MM UE-2a. Energy Conservation Best Management Practices MM UE-2b. Participation in a	See the Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions items, above. See the Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.		
Renewable Energy Program			
MM UE-2c. Licensing by the County Green Building Committee	See the Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions items, above.		
Unusual Project Site Cha	racteristics and Developm	nent Activities	
Activities and Impacts within the Scope of the Program/PEIR	State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(1)	Does the proposed project involve a project site with sensitive or unusual environmental characteristics, or require unusual development activities, which will result in a significant environmental impact that was not evaluated in the PEIR? Examples of unusual environmental characteristics or development activities which might cause a significant environmental impact include, but are not limited to: • construction of a bridge across a riparian	
		corridor that supports listed species protected under the Federal or California	

State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) Checklist for Commercial Cannabis Land Use Entitlement and Licensing Applications

Page 10

Mitigation Measure/Requirement	Code/Plan Sections*	Requirement
		 endangered species acts, in order to gain access to a project site; structural development that cannot be screened from a public viewing location pursuant to the requirements of PEIR mitigation measure MM AV-1 (Screening Requirements); or development activities that will have a significant impact on cultural resources, which cannot be mitigated to a less-than-significant level pursuant to the County's Environmental Thresholds and Guidelines Manual (March 2018).
		☐ Yes ☐ No

^{*} BLO = Commercial Cannabis Business Licensing Ordinance; Chapter 50, § 50-1 et seq., of the Santa Barbara County Code

State CEQA Guidelines = California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, § 15000 et seq.

C.2.1 Environmental Document Determination

Check the appropriate box below, based on the responses to the questions and requests for information set forth in the checklist in § C.2, above, and pursuant to the requirements set forth in State CEQA Guidelines §§ 15162 and 15168.

examined in the PEIR, and an in	nnabis operation will have environmental effects nitial study must be prepared to determine whether negative declaration must be prepared.	
scope of the PEIR, and a subs	cts of the proposed commercial cannabis operation equent environmental document is not required to proposed commercial cannabis operation.	

Attachment 1

Additional Information for the Proposed Cannabis Activity CEQA Environmental Determination

The following discussion supports the determinations made in the Checklist for the Santa Rita Cannabis Cultivation Conditional Use Permit (Proposed Project), pursuant to the requirements of the State CEQA Guidelines §§ 15168(c) (1) and -(2) state:

- (1) If a later activity would have effects that were not examined in the program EIR, a new Initial Study would need to be prepared leading to either an EIR or a Negative Declaration. That later analysis may tier from the program EIR as provided in Section 15152.
- (2) If the agency finds that pursuant to Section 15162, no subsequent EIR would be required, the agency can approve the activity as being within the scope of the project covered by the program EIR, and no new environmental document would be required. Whether a later activity is within the scope of a program EIR is a factual question that the lead agency determines based on substantial evidence in the record. Factors that an agency may consider in making that determination include, but are not limited to, consistency of the later activity with the type of allowable land use, overall planned density and building intensity, geographic area analyzed for environmental impacts, and covered infrastructure, as described in the program EIR.

The requirements of the State CEQA Guidelines § 15168 and 15162 are set forth below, along with an analysis of the Proposed Project with regard to these requirements. The following analysis supplements the information set forth in the State CEQA Guidelines § 15168 checklist prepared for the Proposed Project.

State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(1)

As discussed below, the PEIR analyzed the environmental impacts of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program. The effects of this particular Project were anticipated and examined in the PEIR and there are no project-specific effects that were not examined in the program EIR. Therefore, no new initial study is required and the PEIR can be relied upon for this Project based upon the checklist prepared pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines 15168(c)(4).

State CEQA Guidelines § 15162

State CEQA Guidelines § 15162 states that when a lead agency has prepared an EIR for a project, no subsequent EIR shall be prepared for that project unless the lead agency determines, on the basis of substantial evidence in the light of the whole record, that certain conditions exist. The specific conditions that warrant the preparation of a subsequent EIR are set forth below, with an analysis of the proposed project immediately following the respective condition.

(1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

The Proposed Project includes a request for a commercial cannabis cultivation activity that was anticipated and evaluated in the PEIR. The Proposed Project site is zoned AG-II, which is one of the zones that was evaluated for proposed cannabis cultivation activities in the PEIR (PEIR page 2-36, Table 2-5). Furthermore, the Lompoc region in which the Proposed Project site is located was one of five regions identified in the PEIR for organizing the data and analyzing the impacts of the Program (Ibid, page 2-5).

As discussed below, the Proposed Project consists of an activity the impacts of which were disclosed in, the PEIR. Outdoor cultivation is a cannabis activity that was anticipated to occur on AG-II zoned lands, such as the AG-II zoned lands which exist in the Lompoc region in which the Proposed Project site is located.

Therefore, the Proposed Project will <u>not</u> result in substantial changes to the Program which will require major revisions of the PEIR, due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

(2) Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

Currently, there are approximately two other land use entitlement applications involving proposed or permitted cannabis activities located within two miles of the project site (Santa Barbara County Interactive Map for Cannabis, available at

https://sbcopad.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=f287d128ab684ba4a87f1b9cf f438f91, accessed on April 12, 2020). The PEIR anticipated that certain areas in which cannabis activities historically have occurred would continue to experience cannabis activities under the Program. Furthermore, the PEIR projected the demand for cannabis cultivation that could occur under the Program (i.e., 1,126 acres of cultivation countywide), based on information that was known at the time the PEIR was prepared. The Program that was analyzed in the PEIR did not include a cap or other requirement to limit either the concentration or total amount of cannabis activities that could occur within any of the zones that were under consideration for cannabis activities (PEIR, pages 3-3, 3-5, 3-12, 3.1-19, and 3.12-26).¹ Although the PEIR did not predict the specific commercial cannabis applications on the properties located on and around the Proposed Project site, the programmatic analysis was broad enough to account for this pattern of development that has resulted from the Program. Therefore, the number and/or location of the

¹ The PEIR states, "...[T]he impact analysis in this EIR assumes that **future cannabis activity licenses would not be limited under the Project**, with the total area permitted to be unincorporated areas Countywide that are under County jurisdiction (excludes incorporated cities, state, federal, and tribal lands) (PEIR, page 3-5, emphasis added)."

commercial cannabis activities that have been either permitted or are currently under consideration within the general area of the Proposed Project site, do not constitute a substantial change with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken.

Furthermore, the potential concentration of cannabis activities near the Proposed Project site will not create new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects evaluated in the PEIR. The PEIR evaluated the cumulative impacts to which cannabis activities, as well as other pending, recently approved, and reasonably foreseeable non-cannabis projects, would contribute (Ibid, page 3-11, Section 3.0.4). The PEIR concluded that unavoidable and significant (Class I) impacts would result from the Program with regard to the following environmental resources or issues:

- Aesthetics and visual resources
- Agricultural resources
- Air quality (including odor impacts)
- Noise
- Transportation and traffic

The Board of Supervisors adopted a Statement of Overriding Considerations concluding that the benefits of the Program outweigh the unavoidable adverse environmental effects identified above.

For this particular Project, the hoops and cultivation will not be visible to any public viewing areas. The Lighting Plan shows that all of the exterior light fixtures will be fully shielded and downward facing. The Project site does not have any prime soils. The site location is not within the vicinity of any residential zones, however the required Odor Abatement Plan includes aromatic landscaping as a means to reduce odor impacts. No processing is included, and the project would not generate noise levels over 65 dbA at the property lines. The proposed Site Transportation Demand Management Plan explains that trips are reduced because the three regular employees will live on site in the employee dwelling. The Proposed Project would be subject to the mitigation measures set forth in the PEIR to reduce the Proposed Project's contribution to these cumulative impacts.

These are no new impacts resulting from a substantial change in the Program. As stated above, the Proposed Project is an activity that was anticipated to result from the Program and, consequently, the impacts associated with the Proposed Project were disclosed in the PEIR. As such, the PEIR analysis of cumulative impacts accounted for the impacts from the Proposed Project.

Therefore, no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the Project is undertaken under the Program which will require major revisions of the PEIR, due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.

(3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, shows any of the following:

(A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;

The PEIR evaluated the direct and indirect impacts of the Program as well as cumulative impacts that would result from the implementation of the Program. More specifically, the PEIR identified the following unavoidably significant (Class I) impacts that would result from the Program:

- Cumulative impacts to aesthetics and visual resources
- Cumulative impacts to agricultural resources
- Project-specific and cumulative impacts to air resources (including odors)
- Project-specific and cumulative noise impacts
- Project-specific and cumulative transportation and traffic impacts

The PEIR also identified the following significant but mitigable (Class II) impacts that would result from the Program:

- Project-specific impacts to aesthetics and visual resources
- Project-specific impacts to agricultural resources
- Project-specific and cumulative impacts to biological resources
- Project-specific impacts to cultural resources
- Project-specific impacts related to hazards and hazardous materials
- Project-specific impacts related to hydrology and water quality
- Project-specific land use impacts
- Project-specific impacts related to utilities and energy conservation

The PEIR identified a number of mitigation measures to reduce the significant impacts that would result from the implementation of the Program. The mitigation measures were included as development standards and other regulations of Chapters 35 and 50 of the County Code, which are applied to commercial cannabis activities resulting from the Program. As shown in Section C of the State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) checklist that was prepared for the Proposed Project, the Proposed Project would be subject to the applicable mitigation measures that were included as development standards and other regulations of Chapters 35 and 50 of the County Code.

As stated above, the PEIR did not assume that there would be a cap or other limitation on activities or location. Therefore, although the PEIR did not predict the specific commercial cannabis applications on the properties located on and around the Proposed Project site, the programmatic analysis was broad enough to account for this pattern of development that has resulted from the Program. Furthermore, the concentration of commercial cannabis activities will not result in a new significant impact which was not disclosed in the PEIR. The cumulative impacts associated with aesthetics and visual resources, agricultural resources, air resources (including odors), noise, and traffic resulting from the Proposed Project and other proposed projects located within proximity to the Proposed Project site were discussed in the PEIR.

The Proposed Project includes a Lighting Plan, Odor Abatement Plan, Water Efficiency Plan, Noise Site Transportation Demand Management Plan, Wildlife Movement Plan, and Tree Protection Plan. As such, the Proposed Project will not have any new impacts which were not discussed in the PEIR, because there is nothing unusual about the proposed development or the project site.

Therefore, there is no new information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the PEIR was certified, which shows that the Proposed Project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the PEIR.

(B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR;

As stated above, the Proposed Project consists of a cannabis activity that was analyzed as part of the Program studied in the PEIR. There are no unique features of the Proposed Project such that the Proposed Project could cause more severe impacts than shown in the PEIR. The PEIR analyzed the impacts of outdoor cultivation on AG-II zoned lots within the Lompoc region. As shown in Section C of the State CEQA Guidelines § 15168(c)(4) checklist that was prepared for the Proposed Project, the Proposed Project complies with the applicable mitigation measures.

Furthermore, the PEIR did not assume that there would be a cap or other limitation on activities or location. Although the PEIR did not predict the specific commercial cannabis applications on the properties located on and around the Proposed Project site, the programmatic analysis was broad enough to account for this pattern of development, and disclosed the corresponding impacts that would result.

Therefore, there is no new information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the PEIR was certified, which shows that significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the PEIR.

(C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; or

There are no mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible that would in fact be feasible and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the Proposed Project which are available at this time for the project proponents to consider.

(D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.

There is no new information which was not known and could not have been known at the time the PEIR was certified that shows any mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR which would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment. Further, the project applicant agrees to adopt all applicable mitigation measures as demonstrated by Section C.1 of the 15168(c)(4) Checklist hereby incorporated into this attachment.

Attachment 2 – Biological Resources Assessment dated August 2020

Attachment 3 -

Biological Resources Assessment Addendum, Tree Protection Plan, Habitat Protection Plan, and Wildlife Movement Plan dated September 2021

Attachment 4 – Board of Supervisors Findings for Approval and Statement of Overriding Consideration Cannabis Land Use Ordinances dated February 6, 2018