

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: janet koed [janetkoed@yahoo.com]

Sent: Friday, July 02, 2010 3:46 PM

To: sbcob

Subject: Goleta Beach 2.0

Dear Honorable County Supervisors:

We support "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park.

The Parks Department's new plan preserves and expands the beach by over an acre!

It adds recreational facilities like kayak rentals and trails and removes the unpermitted rock seawalls which damage the beach.

By relocating the sewer and utility lines out of the "critical erosion zone" the plan is a long-term solution.

The County's sewer line should be moved all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach and avoid the need to consider a controversial "backstop revetment" seawall which would damage the beach. Goleta Beach 2.0 protects the Park and the environment and is far less costly than prior proposal which have been rejected by the Coastal Commission.

We urge you to support Goleta Beach Park and direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Sincerely,
Janet Koed
344 Cooper Rd.
Santa Barbara, CA.
93109

7/2/2010

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Ana Citrin [anacitrin@cox.net]
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2010 4:38 PM
To: sbcob
Cc: SupervisorCarbajal; Wolf, Janet; Farr, Doreen; Gray, Joni; Centeno, Joseph
Subject: Goleta Beach

Dear Honorable County Supervisors:

I'm writing you to voice my support for "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park. I live in Goleta and use Goleta Beach nearly every weekend, and consider it one of our great community resources. Goleta Beach 2.0 is a forward-thinking approach for preserving this community resource while simultaneously respecting coastal resources and the natural processes that shape our shores.

Some of the reasons to support Goleta Beach 2.0:

- It preserves and expands the beach by over an acre!
- It adds recreational facilities like kayak rentals and trails and removes the unpermitted rock seawalls which damage the beach.
- It works with nature to protect the park and expand the beach unlike prior proposals which spent millions trying to fight nature to save the park.
- It does not cause down-coast beach or bluff erosion.
- It does not require extensive (and polluting) dredging and beach nourishment to mitigate impacts on down-coast sand supplies.
- It plans for the future by moving threatened infrastructure out of the critical erosion zone. The threatened utility lines should be moved inland as far as possible as a long-term solution, and to avoid calls for a controversial seawall or rock revetment. Since the project entails moving the lines inland, they should be moved as far inland as possible – such as to Highway 217 - to avoid future problems.
- The Coastal Commission is expected to embrace Goleta Beach 2.0 because it complies with coastal policies, avoids interrupting sand movement, is environmentally superior and protect the park without damaging the coastline.

An important component of a sustainable plan that I urge you to support is moving the County's sewer line all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach and avoid the need to consider a controversial "backstop revetment" seawall which would damage the beach.

I urge you to support Goleta Beach 2.0 and further to direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Sincerely,
Ana Citrin
7104 Alameda Avenue
Goleta, CA 93117

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: jenny.dugan@lifesci.ucsb.edu

Sent: Friday, July 02, 2010 4:58 PM

To: sbcob

Cc: Brian Trautwein

Subject: Comments on Goleta Beach 2.0

Dear Honorable County Supervisors:

I am a coastal ecologist whose research focuses on the ecology of sandy beach ecosystems. I have over 25 years of research experience on Santa Barbara County beaches, including Goleta Beach County Park.

It is important to appreciate that beaches represent far more than sand for recreation and aesthetics, a fact that is too often overlooked. Beaches are key coastal ecosystems that support wildlife, fish, invertebrates and plants, including rare, endemic and endangered species, and provide valuable ecosystem functions, including water filtration and nutrient cycling.

I support "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park that will preserve this beach ecosystem and give it a chance to recover ecological integrity and function. Much of the south Santa Barbara county coast's beaches are narrow and their dynamics are strongly constrained by seablufts. Beaches backed by sand spits, dunes and estuary mouths, such as Goleta Beach, that have more ability to move and maintain sand and wildlife under changing sea and wave conditions, are few. However, their potential to support ecological communities and provide refuges where marine and wildlife can survive and persist through winter storms and ENSO events makes these few beaches critical for the entire south coast of the County. These beaches, including Goleta Beach, deserve and need extra consideration for conservation, preservation and restoration efforts as they can be the only sources available to replenish many components of intertidal ecological communities to narrower down coast beaches after winter storms.

The Parks Department's new plan preserves and expands the beach by over an acre and allows natural processes and ecological communities to continue. By removing the un-permitted rock seawalls which damage the beach ecosystem, the plan provides an opportunity to restore the beach ecosystem. At the same time it adds recreational facilities, like kayak rentals and trails to enhance the public use of this coastal resource.

By relocating the sewer and utility lines out of the "critical erosion zone" the plan represents a relatively long-term solution. The County's sewer line should be moved all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach and avoid the need to consider any form of "backstop revetment" seawall which could damage the beach ecosystem.

Goleta Beach 2.0 protects the Park, the beach ecosystem and the coastal environment and is far less costly than prior proposal which have been rejected by the Coastal Commission.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. As a coastal ecologist, I strongly urge you to support Goleta Beach Park and direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Sincerely,
Jenifer E. Dugan
Marine Science Institute
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-6150
805-893-2675

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: cris smith [lamb3harry@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2010 7:14 AM

To: sbcob

Subject: Goleta Beach

I have been using Goleta beach for the last 25 years for activities such as swimming, walking kayaking and just being able to stop to watch a sunset. I am deeply concerned that he proposed plan will make Goleta Beach into a beach that will have deep commercial concerns and no longer available for those who wish to just take a walk or bring the kids down for a swim. That we have this stretch of beach that is has free and available parking for quick stops and the longer days for families.

I urge you to leave the beach alone. The proposed pan is unnecessary and outrageously expensive

Cristina Smith

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Betsy R. Cramer [brcramer@cox.net]

Sent: Monday, July 05, 2010 4:24 PM

To: Wolf, Janet

Cc: sbcob

Subject: Preserving Goleta Beach PARK

Dear Supervisor Wolf and members of the Board of Supervisors:

I have studied the handsomely-produced County Parks .pdf. Although I have not been actively involved in this process, I have become interested, read much of the Coastal Commission staff report, all the online accessible articles of the last couple of years. Quite familiar with the Goleta Beach Park, I am very concerned from what I heard and what I read in the "Goleta Beach 2.0 Concept Planning Process." The present "concept" presents many more questions than it does answers.

For starters, looking at the name, it's important to keep in mind it's a County Park, of which the beach is the feature. In the guise of "managed retreat" of the beach, a substantial part of the upland park will be lost, 'though converted, it is claimed, to sand. Even assuming that, over the years, some of it would be lost anyway with a rising sea level, the "2.0" intends a radical change to the usage of the Park.

It's presently one of the few South Coast completely free parks. I've observed and enjoyed it over the years. Along with UCSB student parking, it's used in good part by Goleta area residents, as well as other County residents, probably lower income people, enjoying the simple pleasures of barbecues, pier fishing, family beach gatherings. With the change in the parking time, 10-8 closed, there's lesser student parking. With the removal of approximately 140 spaces, that parking will move eastward, unless parking is charged or by permit, as at the SB Waterfront. Probably the upland grassy area is as well, if not more used as the sandy beach area. Have there been any surveys of actual usage, plus an advisory survey of what the Goleta Valley folks would like to see for their park? There should be such surveys.

As the name indicates, the "2.0" plans for a change of usage, away from the passive, family-oriented present. It's one seemingly based on the Santa Barbara Waterfront, with kayak rentals and various boat storages, UCSB sailing (presently located at a dock off the Sea Landing) and even considering offshore moorings up current from the pier!

The conditions, however, are very different at the Santa Barbara waterfront: not only is there a much longer stretch of beach for people to enjoy, from the Mesa cliffs/Leadbetter Beach to beyond East Beach, room for uncluttered passive beach uses, but there is a Waterfront Department overseeing the harbor and pier usage as well as the facilities, rental fees, safety of property. (The Parks Department would have considerably more work for itself if even some of the plans were in operation. It is not reasonable to count on volunteers for this.) And, of course, the offshore conditions are different with a stronger downcoast current and winds than around and within the SB harbor where there is a reasonably safe kayaking environment. Also very different is that there is no on duty harbor patrol as in Santa Barbara for the regular calls for service.

And the rest: water taxi, offsite parking, bike trails, all interesting, assuming there's sufficient creek water all the time in the summer and there could be built the dock for the "taxi," but these are plans not geared for the present family usage that treasures its free public park. Maybe they all, parents and small children, should bike or hike to the beach but this should be encouraged,

not forced, in my opinion. The park should be reasonably responsive to the needs and wishes of the people, the majority of the users.

Environmentally, why does removing the buried rock revetment, taking away approximately 1/3 of the present parking, contribute to an enlarged and improved County park? It would seem that it would encourage winter storms to cut through and break into the slough on the section closest to UCSB with eventual problems for Ward Mem. Boulevard. Sand migrates and less now enters the system. How would removing a now buried rock barrier contribute to a more geologically stable park? Where the revetments are not presently permitted, permits should be asked for.

The Coastal Commission, as I understand it, denied the proposed offshore permeable pier because of concerns about the bluffs. There was not a decision made to lose the Park in order to protect the eastward bluffs. Buttressing the utilities should be proposed to the Coastal Commission before proposing to move those utilities at great cost and uncertain location.

Before proceeding any further with the EDC-County Parks concept, I hope there will be a survey of the users, a modeling of the processes of the Park with a eye on a retaining wall or revetment for the protection of the utilities. I especially hope there will be a reconsideration of what would amount to a major change in usage, from a passive, gentle family-oriented beach park to an active usage beachfront, with (necessary) for fee services and off site access. Please protect the Goleta Beach Park.

Sincerely yours,

Betsy R. Cramer

(I am a member of the Santa Barbara Harbor Commission and a frequenter of the Goleta Beach area as a volunteer with the SB Wildlife Care Network, but I am not speaking for either of those organizations.)

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Edith Ogella [edithogella@msn.com]

Sent: Monday, July 05, 2010 10:52 AM

To: sbcob

Subject: Goleta Beach

I am unable to attend tomorrow's meeting, but agree with the suggested plan that adds to the mass of the park, and protects the access to UCSB, as well as other facilities located in the area. Current efforts to place sea walls are not viable, and should be ignored in preference to the plans suggested. I also hope that members of the board use the given name: Ward Memorial Blvd. in place of Hwy. 217. The unique character of the South County is that we do not give streets or people numbers, rather, honor them with names.

Sincerely, Edith Ogella, 4868 Rhoads Avenue, Santa Barbara (Noleta), 93111

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Gregory Smith [G.smith@cox.net]
Sent: Sunday, July 04, 2010 11:29 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: Goleta Beach 2.0

Dear Honorable County Supervisors:

I oppose the Goleta 2.0 plan.

It costs too much, takes away access from the beach, and removes current protection in place.

My family has used the beach for thirty years, seen the sand come and go... I suggest we take a conservative watch and wait stance, shepherd our funds during the current fiscal crisis and preserve the beach as a free access playground for Goleta.

It's the only beach we really have here.

Thank you,

Greg Smith
6298 Momouth Ave
Goleta CA 93117

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: tyanbroro@gmail.com on behalf of Bryan Toro [Toro.Bryan@gmail.com]

Sent: Saturday, July 03, 2010 9:24 AM

To: sbcob

Subject: Goleta Beach 2.0

Dear Honorable County Supervisors:

We support "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park.

The Parks Department's new plan preserves and expands the beach by over an acre!

It adds recreational facilities like kayak rentals and trails and removes the unpermitted rock seawalls which damage the beach.

By relocating the sewer and utility lines out of the "critical erosion zone" the plan is a long-term solution.

The County's sewer line should be moved all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach and avoid the need to consider a controversial "backstop revetment" seawall which would damage the beach. Goleta Beach 2.0 protects the Park and the environment and is far less costly than prior proposal which have been rejected by the Coastal Commission.

We urge you to support Goleta Beach Park and direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Sincerely,
Bryan Toro

7/6/2010

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Kathryn Tannenbaum [ktannenbaum@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, July 02, 2010 9:28 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: I Support the New Plan for Goleta Beach

Dear Supervisors,

I support "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park. The Parks Department's new plan preserves and expands the beach by over an acre! It adds recreational facilities like kayak rentals and trails and removes the unpermitted rock seawalls which damage the beach.

By relocating the sewer and utility lines out of the "critical erosion zone" the plan is a long-term solution. The County's sewer line should be moved all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach and avoid the need to consider a controversial "backstop revetment" seawall which would damage the beach.

Goleta Beach 2.0 protects the Park and the environment and is far less costly than prior proposal which have been rejected by the Coastal Commission.

I urge you to support Goleta Beach Park and direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Kathryn Tannenbaum
1318 Anacapa
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Bud Stuart [docky2@cox.net]

Sent: Friday, July 02, 2010 6:10 PM

To: sbcob

Subject: Goleta Beach

We support Goleta Beach 2 and hope you will do the same.

It makes sense-a rare quality nowadays.

Bud and Lynda Stuart

7/6/2010

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Danielle Storz [daniellestorz@gmail.com]

Sent: Friday, July 02, 2010 5:42 PM

To: sbcob

Subject: goleta beach

Dear Honorable County Supervisors:

We support "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park.

The Parks Department's new plan preserves and expands the beach by over an acre!

It adds recreational facilities like kayak rentals and trails and removes the unpermitted rock seawalls which damage the beach.

By relocating the sewer and utility lines out of the "critical erosion zone" the plan is a long-term solution.

The County's sewer line should be moved all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach and avoid the need to consider a controversial "backstop revetment" seawall which would damage the beach.

Goleta Beach 2.0 protects the Park and the environment and is far less costly than prior proposal which have been rejected by the Coastal Commission.

We urge you to support Goleta Beach Park and direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Sincerely,
Danielle Storz
334 East Valerio Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

7/6/2010

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Glenn Bacheller [mchc1256@hotmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2010 9:03 AM

To: sbcob

Subject: Goleta Beach 2.0

Dear sirs:

I will be unable to attend today's hearing but I can not urge you strongly enough to support the 2.0 plan for the following reasons:

1. It protects the park and expands the beach w/o damaging down-coast beaches
2. it is based on sound science from coastal experts and multiple engineering reviews
3. it removes unpermitted rock revetments which cover and erode the beach
4. the sewer & utility lines need to be as far inland as possible to avoid further damage to the beach area

Many thanks for your attention to this plan and the residents of the south coast area no doubt look forward to your enthusiastic support of it.

Glenn Bacheller
830 Arbolado Road
Santa Barbara

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Pat Lodge [losososlodge@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2010 9:35 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: I Support the New Plan for Goleta Beach

Dear Supervisors,

I support "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park. The Parks Department's new plan preserves and expands the beach by over an acre! It adds recreational facilities like kayak rentals and trails and removes the unpermitted rock seawalls which damage the beach.

By relocating the sewer and utility lines out of the "critical erosion zone" the plan is a long-term solution. The County's sewer line should be moved all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach and avoid the need to consider a controversial "backstop revetment" seawall which would damage the beach.

Goleta Beach 2.0 protects the Park and the environment and is far less costly than prior proposal which have been rejected by the Coastal Commission.

I urge you to support Goleta Beach Park and direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Pat Lodge
449 N. Chorro #E
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Linda Porta [heyrun@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 06, 2010 10:00 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: I Support the New Plan for Goleta Beach

Dear Supervisors,

I support "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park. The Parks Department's new plan preserves and expands the beach by over an acre! It adds recreational facilities like kayak rentals and trails and removes the unpermitted rock seawalls which damage the beach.

By relocating the sewer and utility lines out of the "critical erosion zone" the plan is a long-term solution. The County's sewer line should be moved all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach and avoid the need to consider a controversial "backstop revetment" seawall which would damage the beach.

Goleta Beach 2.0 protects the Park and the environment and is far less costly than prior proposal which have been rejected by the Coastal Commission.

I urge you to support Goleta Beach Park and direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Linda Porta
119 PALOMA AVE
Apt H
Venice, CA 90291

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Cynthia Smith [califrnacj@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, July 07, 2010 11:20 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: I Support the New Plan for Goleta Beach

Dear Supervisors,

I support "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park. The Parks Department's new plan preserves and expands the beach by over an acre! It adds recreational facilities like kayak rentals and trails and removes the unpermitted rock seawalls which damage the beach.

By relocating the sewer and utility lines out of the "critical erosion zone" the plan is a long-term solution. The County's sewer line should be moved all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach and avoid the need to consider a controversial "backstop revetment" seawall which would damage the beach.

Goleta Beach 2.0 protects the Park and the environment and is far less costly than prior proposal which have been rejected by the Coastal Commission.

I urge you to support Goleta Beach Park and direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Cynthia Smith
Pasadena ave.
Chanel Islands, CA 93035

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Michael Hewes [mikehewes@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, July 08, 2010 3:25 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: I Support the New Plan for Goleta Beach

Dear Supervisors,

I support "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park. The Parks Department's new plan preserves and expands the beach by over an acre! It adds recreational facilities like kayak rentals and trails and removes the unpermitted rock seawalls which damage the beach.

By relocating the sewer and utility lines out of the "critical erosion zone" the plan is a long-term solution. The County's sewer line should be moved all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach and avoid the need to consider a controversial "backstop revetment" seawall which would damage the beach.

Goleta Beach 2.0 protects the Park and the environment and is far less costly than prior proposal which have been rejected by the Coastal Commission.

I urge you to support Goleta Beach Park and direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Michael Hewes
429 Anacapa Street
ventura, CA 93001

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Sarah Joyal [sjoyal@massaudubon.org]

Sent: Friday, July 09, 2010 10:49 AM

To: sbcob

Dear Honorable County Supervisors:

We support "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park.

The Parks Department's new plan preserves and expands the beach by over an acre, but most importantly, the plan relocates the sewer and utility lines out of the "critical erosion zone."

The County's sewer line should be moved all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach. In this way, Goleta Beach 2.0 protects the Park and the environment. We urge you to support Goleta Beach Park and direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Sincerely,

Sarah Joyal

7/12/2010

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Angela Howe [ahowe@surfrider.org]
Sent: Friday, July 09, 2010 10:56 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: I Support the New Plan for Goleta Beach

Dear Supervisors,

I support "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park. The Parks Department's new plan preserves and expands the beach by over an acre! It adds recreational facilities like kayak rentals and trails and removes the unpermitted rock seawalls which damage the beach.

By relocating the sewer and utility lines out of the "critical erosion zone" the plan is a long-term solution. The County's sewer line should be moved all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach and avoid the need to consider a controversial "backstop revetment" seawall which would damage the beach.

Goleta Beach 2.0 protects the Park and the environment and is far less costly than prior proposal which have been rejected by the Coastal Commission.

I urge you to support Goleta Beach Park and direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Angela Howe
802 Buena Vista
san clemente, CA 92672

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Chris Forrest [fiveftglass@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, July 09, 2010 8:11 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: I Support the New Plan for Goleta Beach

Dear Supervisors,

I support "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park. The Parks Department's new plan preserves and expands the beach by over an acre! It adds recreational facilities like kayak rentals and trails and removes the unpermitted rock seawalls which damage the beach.

By relocating the sewer and utility lines out of the "critical erosion zone" the plan is a long-term solution. The County's sewer line should be moved all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach and avoid the need to consider a controversial "backstop revetment" seawall which would damage the beach.

Goleta Beach 2.0 protects the Park and the environment and is far less costly than prior proposal which have been rejected by the Coastal Commission.

I urge you to support Goleta Beach Park and direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Chris Forrest
2320 Penmar Ave. #3
Venice, CA 90291

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Mohamedali Mukadam [ali.mukadam@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, July 12, 2010 1:56 PM
To: sbcob
Subject: I Support the New Plan for Goleta Beach

Dear Supervisors,

I support "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park. The Parks Department's new plan preserves and expands the beach by over an acre! It adds recreational facilities like kayak rentals and trails and removes the unpermitted rock seawalls which damage the beach.

By relocating the sewer and utility lines out of the "critical erosion zone" the plan is a long-term solution. The County's sewer line should be moved all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach and avoid the need to consider a controversial "backstop revetment" seawall which would damage the beach.

Goleta Beach 2.0 protects the Park and the environment and is far less costly than prior proposal which have been rejected by the Coastal Commission.

I urge you to support Goleta Beach Park and direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Mohamedali Mukadam
17792 cowan st
irvine, CA 92614

Lee-Rodriguez, Nicole

From: Natalie Michal [natt319@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, July 13, 2010 7:03 AM
To: sbcob
Subject: I Support the New Plan for Goleta Beach

Dear Supervisors,

I support "Goleta Beach 2.0" as an innovative plan to protect and enhance Goleta Beach County Park. The Parks Department's new plan preserves and expands the beach by over an acre! It adds recreational facilities like kayak rentals and trails and removes the unpermitted rock seawalls which damage the beach.

By relocating the sewer and utility lines out of the "critical erosion zone" the plan is a long-term solution. The County's sewer line should be moved all the way back to Highway 217 to avoid future sewage spills on the beach and avoid the need to consider a controversial "backstop revetment" seawall which would damage the beach.

Goleta Beach 2.0 protects the Park and the environment and is far less costly than prior proposal which have been rejected by the Coastal Commission.

I urge you to support Goleta Beach Park and direct staff to pursue all options to move the sewer line further inland.

Natalie Michal
1455 Monte Vista Rd
Santa Barbara, CA 93108