de la Guerra, Sheila Public Comment

From:

Cameron Goodman <cgoodman@ppplaw.com>

Sent:

Thursday, October 7, 2021 3:46 PM

To:

sbcob

Cc:

Richardson, Jennifer; Reese, Shannon; Matt Mansi; Ernie Mansi; Mark S. Manion

Subject:

RE: Comment Letter - Board of Supervisors 9/21/2021 Agenda Item A-24

Attachments:

Goodman to BOS 2021.10.07.pdf

Caution: This email originated from a source outside of the County of Santa Barbara. Do not click links or open attachments unless you verify the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

Please see attached follow-up comment letter for submittal to the Board of Supervisors in relation to Departmental Agenda Item No. 3 at the October 12, 2021 meeting. This letter is in addition to the letter we submitted on September 17, 2021, which is currently in the Board packet.

Thank you



PRICE, POSTEL & PARMA LLP

Cameron Goodman Price, Postel & Parma LLP 200 E. Carrillo Street, Suite 400 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 T: 805-962-0011 x120 F: 805-965-3978

E: cgoodman@ppplaw.com Website: www.ppplaw.com

This message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient. It may contain material that is confidential or privileged. Any review or distribution by anyone other than the intended recipient, without the express permission of that person, is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this message but you are not either the intended recipient or authorized to receive it for that person, please advise the sender and delete this message and any attachments without copying or forwarding.

From: Cameron Goodman

Sent: Friday, September 17, 2021 10:13 AM

To: 'sbcob@countyofsb.org' <sbcob@countyofsb.org>

Cc: 'Richardson, Jennifer' < irichardson@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>; Reese, Shannon < sreese@co.santa-barbara.ca.us>;

'Matt Mansi' <matt@aldersgatehome.com>; Ernie Mansi <ernie@aldersgatehome.com>; Mark S. Manion

<MManion@ppplaw.com>

Subject: Comment Letter - Board of Supervisors 9/21/2021 Agenda Item A-24

Hello,

Please see attached comment letter for submittal to the Board of Supervisors in relation to Agenda Item No. A-24 at the September 21, 2021 meeting.

Thank you



PRICE, POSTEL & PARMA LLP

Cameron Goodman Price, Postel & Parma LLP 200 E. Carrillo Street, Suite 400 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 T: 805-962-0011 x120 F: 805-965-3978

E: <u>cgoodman@ppplaw.com</u> Website: <u>www.ppplaw.com</u>

This message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient. It may contain material that is confidential or privileged. Any review or distribution by anyone other than the intended recipient, without the express permission of that person, is unauthorized and strictly prohibited. If you have received this message but you are not either the intended recipient or authorized to receive it for that person, please advise the sender and delete this message and any attachments without copying or forwarding.



Todd A. Amspoker Kristen M. R. Blabey Shannon D. Boyd Timothy M. Cary Melissa J. Fassett Ian M. Fisher Arthur R. Gaudi Cameron Goodman Christopher E. Haskell James H. Hurley, Jr. Eric P. Hvolbøll Mark S. Manion Steven K. McGuire

Our File Number: 23655-1

Counsellors at Law

200 East Carrillo Street, Suite 400 Santa Barbara, CA 93101-2190

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 99 Santa Barbara, CA 93102-0099

www.ppplaw.com

Ph (805) 962-0011 Fax (805) 965-3978

E-mail: cg@ppplaw.com

Timothy E. Metzinger Shereef Moharram Craig A. Parton Kenneth J. Pontifex Hana Princip Paul A. Roberts Douglas D. Rossi Peter D. Slaughter Jeremy D. Stone David W. Van Horne C.E. Chip Wullbrandt Ryan D. Zick

CAMERON PARK OFFICE

3330 Cameron Park Drive, Suite 100 Cameron Park, CA 95682-7652 Ph (805) 962-0011 Fax (805) 965-3978

October 7, 2021

VIA E-MAIL ONLY

Board of Supervisors County of Santa Barbara c/o Clerk of the Board 105 E. Anapamu Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101 sbcob@countyofsb.org

Re: Key Site 3 Residential Subdivision Project – Secondary Access Options

Dear Supervisors:

As you are aware, this firm represents SB Clark, LLC in the development of the Key Site 3 residential subdivision project in Orcutt (the "Project"). This letter is in follow-up to our comment letter dated September 17, 2021.

In our September 17 letter, we suggested that in the event the Board of Supervisors determines that secondary access to the Project along Chancellor Street is not preferrable, our clients would be willing to amend the Project application to propose secondary access along Oakbrook Lane, with Chancellor Street as a fallback option. Specifically, we suggested a three-step revised condition of approval requiring: (1) that our clients first endeavor to obtain an access easement on Oakbrook Lane; (2) in the event our clients are unable to obtain an access easement on Oakbrook Lane, the County would then consider adopting a resolution of necessity in order to exercise eminent domain to establish such secondary access; and (3) in the event our clients are unable to obtain an access easement on Oakbrook Lane and the County does not exercise eminent domain to establish such secondary access, our clients may use Chancellor Street as the secondary access road because they already have an access easement for such purposes.

County of Santa Barbara Board of Supervisors

Re: Key Site 3 Residential Subdivision Project – Secondary Access Options

October 7, 2021

Page 2

The proposed second step—that the County consider adopting a resolution of necessity in order to use eminent domain to establish secondary access along Oakbrook Lane—was initially suggested by the County. However, it is our understanding that the County no longer desires to consider using eminent domain as a means of establishing secondary access to the Project. As a result, in lieu of the three-step process outlined above and in our September 17 letter, we now propose a two-step condition of approval requiring: (1) that our clients first endeavor to obtain an access easement on Oakbrook Lane; and (2) in the event our clients are unable to obtain an access easement on Oakbrook Lane, they may use Chancellor Street as the secondary access road because they already have an access easement for such purposes.

As stated in our September 17 letter, we believe the Project should be approved with secondary access as it is currently being submitted to the Board of Supervisors. However, in the event the Board has concerns about Chancellor Street as the secondary access point, we believe the above (revised) alternatives are a reasonable compromise to allow for Project approval while encouraging secondary access along Oakbrook Lane.

Thank you for your consideration of this comment letter, and we look forward to answering any questions you may have at the hearing on October 12.

Very truly yours.

Cameron Goodman

for PRICE, POSTEL & PARMA LLP

cc: Shannon Reese, Planner (<u>sreese@co.santa-barbara.ca.us</u>)
Jenna Richardson, Deputy County Counsel (<u>jrichardson@co.santa-barbara.ca.us</u>)
Clients (matt@aldersgatehome.com; ernie@aldersgatehome.com)