County of Santa Barbara

105 Anapamu Street, Santa Barbara

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	16-00087 **Version:** 2		
Type:	Agenda Item	**Status:**	Passed
File created:		**In control:**	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
On agenda:	2/16/2016	**Final action:**	2/16/2016

Title: HEARING - Consider the applicant appeal (case no. 15APL-00000-00023, filed by Steve Amerikaner, agent for the Montecito Retirement Association) of the Montecito Planning Commission's action to require a Focused Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Casa Dorinda Master Plan CUP Revision Project, Case Nos. 14RVP-00000-00005, 14CUP-00000-00002, and 15GOV-00000-00004, located at 300 and 352 Hot Springs Road in the Montecito area (APNs: 009-640-001 and 009-740-057), First District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 1 HR. 30 MIN.)

Option 1:
a) Determine that the Mitigated Negative Declaration, case no. 15NGD-00000-00003, is inadequate and that an EIR is required and make the finding that there is substantial evidence in the record supporting a fair argument that the project may have a significant effect on the environment;

b) Deny the appeal, case no. 15APL-00000-00023, thereby affirming the Montecito Planning Commission's action; and

c) Direct staff to prepare an EIR focused on issues associated with the historic bridge, and to bring the project back to the Montecito Planning Commission for further consideration upon completion of the EIR; or

Option 2:
a) Determine that the Mitigated Negative Declaration, case no. 15NGD-00000-00003, is adequate and that an EIR is not required because the evidence in the record does not support a fair argument that the project may have a significant effect on the environment;

b) Approve the appeal, case no. 15APL-00000-00023, thereby reversing the Montecito Planning Commission's action; and

c) Direct staff to bring the project back to the Montecito Planning Commission for full consideration of the project.

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY

Sponsors: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Board Letter, 2. Attachment 1 - MPC Action Letter, 3. Attachment 2 - Appeal Letter dated December 18, 2015, 4. Attachment 3 - MPC Staff Report dated October 1, 2015, 5. Attachment 4 - MPC Memorandum dated November 12, 2015, 6. Attachment 5 - Public Comment Letters Related to Historic Bridge, 7. Attachment 6 - Historic Resource Reports Related to Historic Bridge, 8. Attachment 7 FINDINGS FOR OPTION 1 final, 9. Attachment 8 - Caccese letter dated November 19 2015, 10. Public Comment - Pearl Chase Society, 11. Public Comment - Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, 12. Public Comment - Group 1, 13. Public Comment - Group 2, 14. Public Comment - Group 3, 15. Public Comment - Group 4, 16. Planning and Development Memo 2/12/16, 17. Applicant/Appellant Presentation, 18. Presentation

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result

2/16/2016	2	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Acted on as follows:	Pass
2/2/2016	1	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Set for a hearing, as follows:	Pass

HEARING - Consider the applicant appeal (case no. 15APL-00000-00023, filed by Steve Amerikaner, agent for the Montecito Retirement Association) of the Montecito Planning Commission's action to require a Focused Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the Casa Dorinda Master Plan CUP Revision Project, Case Nos. 14RVP-00000-00005, 14CUP-00000-00002, and 15GOV-00000-00004, located at 300 and 352 Hot Springs Road in the Montecito area (APNs: 009-640-001 and 009-740-057), First District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 1 HR. 30 MIN.)

Option 1:
a) Determine that the Mitigated Negative Declaration, case no. 15NGD-00000-00003, is inadequate and that an EIR is required and make the finding that there is substantial evidence in the record supporting a fair argument that the project may have a significant effect on the environment;

b) Deny the appeal, case no. 15APL-00000-00023, thereby affirming the Montecito Planning Commission's action; and

c) Direct staff to prepare an EIR focused on issues associated with the historic bridge, and to bring the project back to the Montecito Planning Commission for further consideration upon completion of the EIR; or

Option 2:
a) Determine that the Mitigated Negative Declaration, case no. 15NGD-00000-00003, is adequate and that an EIR is not required because the evidence in the record does not support a fair argument that the project may have a significant effect on the environment;

b) Approve the appeal, case no. 15APL-00000-00023, thereby reversing the Montecito Planning Commission's action; and

c) Direct staff to bring the project back to the Montecito Planning Commission for full consideration of the project.

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY