



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 14-00406 **Version:** 2
Type: Agenda Item **Status:** Passed
File created: **In control:** BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
On agenda: 7/8/2014 **Final action:** 7/8/2014
Title: HEARING - Consider recommendations regarding Policy Direction - Establishment of a Pilot Project Stabilization Agreement (PSA) for the Northern Branch Jail AB 900 Phase II Project, Third District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 1 HR)

a) Re-affirm your Board's direction on April 15, 2014 to develop a framework for a Pilot Project Stabilization Agreement for the Northern Branch Jail AB 900 Phase II Project;

b) If "recommendation a" is re-affirmed, direct staff to proceed with the necessary coordination, negotiation, and preparation of documents needed to establish such an agreement, if possible, and return to your Board in September for further direction; and

c) Find that the proposed action does not constitute a "Project" within the meaning of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), pursuant to 14 CCR 15378 (b)(5), in that it is a government administrative activity that will not result in direct or indirect physical changes in the environment.

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY

Sponsors: GENERAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Set Hearing Board Letter, 2. Board Letter, 3. PSA Attachment 1, 4. PSA Attachment 2, 5. PSA Attachment 3, 6. PSA Attachment 4, 7. PSA Attachment 5, 8. Negotiating Spreadsheet, 9. Presentation

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
7/8/2014	2	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Acted on as follows:	Pass
6/3/2014	1	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Set for a hearing, as follows:	Pass

HEARING - Consider recommendations regarding Policy Direction - Establishment of a Pilot Project Stabilization Agreement (PSA) for the Northern Branch Jail AB 900 Phase II Project, Third District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 1 HR)

a) Re-affirm your Board's direction on April 15, 2014 to develop a framework for a Pilot Project Stabilization Agreement for the Northern Branch Jail AB 900 Phase II Project;

b) If "recommendation a" is re-affirmed, direct staff to proceed with the necessary coordination, negotiation, and preparation of documents needed to establish such an agreement, if possible, and return to your Board in September for further direction; and

c) Find that the proposed action does not constitute a "Project" within the meaning of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), pursuant to 14 CCR 15378 (b)(5), in that it is a government administrative activity that will not result in direct or indirect physical changes in the environment.

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY