



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 13-00929 **Version:** 1

Type: Agenda Item **Status:** Passed

File created: **In control:** BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On agenda: 12/10/2013 **Final action:**

Title: HEARING - Consider recommendations regarding a Rose Petition for Termination of the Grove Non-Conforming Use on APN 133-220-020, Third District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 45 MIN.)

- a) Receive the petition from Kelly Rose requesting that the Board of Supervisors set a date for and call for a public hearing to determine whether or not a nonconforming use should be ordered terminated pursuant to Land Use Development Code (LUDC) Section 35.101.070.A.1; and
- b) Consider whether or not to set a special public hearing to determine whether or not the nonconforming use should be ordered terminated pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 35.101 of the LUDC and select a date which accommodates both the petitioner and the property owner.

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

Sponsors: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Board Letter, 2. Attachment 1 - Petitioners Submittal Packet, 3. Attachment 2 - Aerial Photograph, 4. Public Comment - Christman Kelley Attorneys, 5. Public Comment - Valley Alliance, 6. Presentation

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
12/10/2013	1	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Acted on as follows:	Pass

HEARING - Consider recommendations regarding a Rose Petition for Termination of the Grove Non-Conforming Use on APN 133-220-020, Third District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 45 MIN.)

- a) Receive the petition from Kelly Rose requesting that the Board of Supervisors set a date for and call for a public hearing to determine whether or not a nonconforming use should be ordered terminated pursuant to Land Use Development Code (LUDC) Section 35.101.070.A.1; and
- b) Consider whether or not to set a special public hearing to determine whether or not the nonconforming use should be ordered terminated pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 35.101 of the LUDC and select a date which accommodates both the petitioner and the property owner.

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY