



## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** 14-00452      **Version:** 4

**Type:** Agenda Item      **Status:** Passed

**File created:**      **In control:** BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

**On agenda:** 8/19/2014      **Final action:** 8/19/2014

**Title:** HEARING - Consider the Crown Castle appeal of the Montecito Planning Commission's May 21, 2014 denial of the Crown Castle Distributed Antenna System project (Montecito Inland), Case No. 13CUP-00000-00009 located in County public rights-of-way, in the Montecito area, First District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 1 HR.)

- a) Uphold the appeal, Case No. 14APL-00000-00016;
- b) Make the required findings for approval of Case No. 13CUP-00000-00009 as revised by the applicant on August 4, 2014, including California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) findings;
- c) Adopt the Mitigated Negative Declaration 14NGD-00000-00004, and adopt the mitigation monitoring program contained in the updated conditions of approval; and
- d) Grant de novo approval of Case No. 13CUP-00000-00009, as modified by the Applicant on August 4, 2014, thereby reversing the May 21, 2014 decision of the Montecito Planning Commission, subject to the conditions of approval.

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY

**Sponsors:** PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

**Indexes:**

**Code sections:**

**Attachments:** 1. Set Hearing Board Letter, 2. Board Letter, 3. Attachment 1 - MPC Action Ltr (Inland), 4. Attachment 2 - MPC Staff Report (Inland) Pages 1 - 100, 5. Attachment 2 - MPC Staff Report (Inland) Pages 101-200, 6. Attachment 2 - MPC Staff Report (Inland) Pages 201-300, 7. Attachment 2 - MPC Staff Report (Inland) Pages 301-400, 8. Attachment 2 - MPC Staff Report (Inland) Pages 401-500, 9. Attachment 2 - MPC Staff Report (Inland) Pages 501-600, 10. Attachment 2 - MPC Staff Report (Inland) Pages 601-698, 11. Attachment 2 - MPC Staff Report (Inland) Pages 699-END, 12. Attachment 3 - Appeal Application Letter, 13. Attachment 4 - Findings, 14. Attachment 5 - Conditions of Approval, 15. Attachment 6 - Coverage Maps, 16. Public Comment - Newmeyer & Dillion LLP, 17. Public Comment - Derek Westen, 18. Public Comment - Montecito Association, 19. Public Comment - McGinity, 20. Public Comment - Castagnola-Hunter, 21. Public Comment - Roberts, Smith, and Householder, 22. Public Comment - Larkin, 23. Public Comment - Masin, 24. Public Comment Letters Combined.pdf, 25. Memorandum - Planning & Development, 26. Presentation, 27. Memorandum - 7/8/2014, 28. Attachment 1 - Findings for Denial, 29. Memorandum - 8/19/2014, 30. Public Comment - Coleman, 31. Public Comment - Newmeyer & Dillon, 32. Public Comment - Montecito Association, 33. Public Comment - de Forest, 34. Public Comment - de Forest 8-17-2014, 35. Public Comment - Gura, 36. Public Comment - Salman, 37. Public Comment - Wagner, 38. Presentation

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
8/19/2014	4	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Acted on as follows:	Pass
7/8/2014	3	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Acted on as follows:	Pass
7/1/2014	3	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Acted on as follows:	Pass
6/17/2014	1	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Set for a hearing, as follows:	Pass

HEARING - Consider the Crown Castle appeal of the Montecito Planning Commission's May 21, 2014 denial of the Crown Castle Distributed Antenna System project (Montecito Inland), Case No. 13CUP-00000-00009 located in County public rights-of-way, in the Montecito area, First District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 1 HR.)

- a) Uphold the appeal, Case No. 14APL-00000-00016;
- b) Make the required findings for approval of Case No. 13CUP-00000-00009 as revised by the applicant on August 4, 2014, including California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) findings;
- c) Adopt the Mitigated Negative Declaration 14NGD-00000-00004, and adopt the mitigation monitoring program contained in the updated conditions of approval; and
- d) Grant de novo approval of Case No. 13CUP-00000-00009, as modified by the Applicant on August 4, 2014, thereby reversing the May 21, 2014 decision of the Montecito Planning Commission, subject to the conditions of approval.

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY