



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 11-00129 Version: 3
Type: Agenda Item Status: Passed
File created: 2/3/2011 In control: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
On agenda: 3/15/2011 Final action: 3/15/2011
Title: Consider recommendations regarding Amendments to Chapter 26 of the County Code, as follows:

- a) Consider the adoption (second reading) of an Ordinance amending Chapter 26 of the County Code to clarify various uses at county parks and adopt new uses at Cachuma Lake Recreation Area consistent with the Resource Management Plan recently completed by the Bureau of Reclamation; and
b) Upon adoption, approve a Notice of Exemption pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines, Section 15061(b) (3), finding that the Ordinance is not subject to CEQA because of the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment, and further find that there is no possibility that the enactment of the Ordinance may have a significant effect on the environment.

Sponsors: PARKS

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Board Letter, 2. Strikethrough Ordinance, 3. Final Ordinance, 4. Notice of Exemption, 5. Presentation

Table with 5 columns: Date, Ver., Action By, Action, Result. Rows show dates 3/15/2011, 3/1/2011, and 2/15/2011 with corresponding actions and results.

Consider recommendations regarding Amendments to Chapter 26 of the County Code, as follows:

- a) Consider the adoption (second reading) of an Ordinance amending Chapter 26 of the County Code to clarify various uses at county parks and adopt new uses at Cachuma Lake Recreation Area consistent with the Resource Management Plan recently completed by the Bureau of Reclamation; and
b) Upon adoption, approve a Notice of Exemption pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) guidelines, Section 15061(b) (3), finding that the Ordinance is not subject to CEQA because of the general rule that CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment, and further find that there is no possibility that the enactment of the Ordinance may have a significant effect on the environment.