



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 18-00278 **Version:** 2

Type: Agenda Item **Status:** Passed

File created: **In control:** BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On agenda: 5/8/2018 **Final action:** 5/8/2018

Title: Consider recommendations regarding the Right to Farm Ordinance, as follows:

- a) Make the required findings for approval of amendments to the Right to Farm Ordinance, including California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) findings;
- b) Consider the adoption (Second Reading) of an Ordinance (Case No. 18ORD-00000-00008) of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Barbara amending Section 3-23 of the Santa Barbara County Code, the Right to Farm Ordinance, to address cannabis;
- c) Determine for the purposes of CEQA that:
 - i) Approval of the amendment to Farm Ordinance (Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00019 and 18ORD-00000-00008) is within the scope of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program, and the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) [Case No. 17EIR-00000-00003, State Clearinghouse No. 2017071016] adequately describes this activity for the purposes of CEQA; and
 - ii) Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a), after considering the PEIR certified by the Board of Supervisors on February 6, 2018, that no subsequent EIR or Negative Declaration is required because: i) no substantial changes are proposed which require major revisions of the PEIR; ii) no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the Ordinance is undertaken which require major revisions of the PEIR; and iii) no new information of substantial importance concerning the Ordinance’s significant effects or mitigation measures, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time that the PEIR was certified, has been received.

Sponsors: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Board Letter, 2. Attachment 1 - Findings, 3. Attachment 2 - Resolution, 4. Attachment 2 Exhibit 1 - UR Amendments, 5. Attachment 3 - RTF Amendments, 6. Attachment 4 - Link to Final EIR, 7. Attachment 5 - Right to Farm Act, 8. Attachment 6 - Current Right to Farm Ordinance, 9. Presentation, 10. Public Comment - Citizens Planning Association, 11. Public Comment - McAlexander, 12. Public Comment - O’Neill, 13. Public Comment - Peebles, 14. Board Letter - 5-8-2018, 15. Attachment 1 - Findings, 16. Attachment 2 - RTFO Amendments, 17. Attachment 3 - Link to Final EIR, 18. Public Comment - Clary.pdf, 19. Public Comment - WE Watch, 20. Adopted Resolution

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
5/8/2018	2	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Acted on as follows:	Pass
5/1/2018	1	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Acted on as follows:	Pass
5/1/2018	1	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Acted on as follows:	Pass

Consider recommendations regarding the Right to Farm Ordinance, as follows:

- a) Make the required findings for approval of amendments to the Right to Farm Ordinance, including California

Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) findings;

b) Consider the adoption (Second Reading) of an Ordinance (Case No. 18ORD-00000-00008) of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Barbara amending Section 3-23 of the Santa Barbara County Code, the Right to Farm Ordinance, to address cannabis;

c) Determine for the purposes of CEQA that:

i) Approval of the amendment to Farm Ordinance (Case Nos. 17ORD-00000-00019 and 18ORD-00000-00008) is within the scope of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program, and the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) [Case No. 17EIR-00000-00003, State Clearinghouse No. 2017071016] adequately describes this activity for the purposes of CEQA; and

ii) Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15162(a), after considering the PEIR certified by the Board of Supervisors on February 6, 2018, that no subsequent EIR or Negative Declaration is required because: i) no substantial changes are proposed which require major revisions of the PEIR; ii) no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the Ordinance is undertaken which require major revisions of the PEIR; and iii) no new information of substantial importance concerning the Ordinance's significant effects or mitigation measures, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time that the PEIR was certified, has been received.