



## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** 21-01138      **Version:** 1

**Type:** Departmental Agenda      **Status:** Agenda Ready

**File created:** 12/3/2021      **In control:** BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

**On agenda:** 12/14/2021      **Final action:** 12/14/2021

**Title:** HEARING - Consider recommendations regarding the appeal, Case No. 21APL-00000-00017, by the Environmental Defense Center, Surfrider, and the Gaviota Coast Conservancy, of the Director’s Determination regarding the Santa Barbara Ranch Inland Development Agreement (IDA) Periodic Review, Third District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 1 HR. 30 MIN.)

- a) Deny the appeal, Case No. 21APL-00000-00017;
- b) Make the required findings to affirm the Director’s determination that the Developer is in good faith compliance with the terms of the Santa Barbara Ranch IDA, including California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) findings; and
- c) Determine that the IDA “Periodic Review” determination is exempt from CEQA pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15378(b)(5).

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER’S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY

**Sponsors:** PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

**Indexes:**

**Code sections:**

**Attachments:** 1. Board Letter, 2. Attachment 1 - Findings for Compliance, 3. Attachment 2 - Periodic Review Letter Findings, 4. Attachment 3 - Appeal Application and Letter, 5. Attachment 4 - CC Facilitation Report -- Santa Barbara Ranch (June 2021), 6. Attachment 5 - IDA, 7. Attachment 6 - Cox Castle & Nicolson Letter date March 19, 2015, 8. Attachment 7 - Restoration Plan, 9. Attachment 8 - Letter from Cox, Castle & Nicolson dated January 29, 2021, 10. Attachment 9 - Creek Restoration Funding Agreement, 11. Attachment 10 - Cox Castle & Nicolson 2020 Letter, 12. Attachment 11 - Storrer Peer Review, 13. Public Comment - Letter from Cox, Castle & Nicholson LLP, 14. Public Comment - Joint Letter from Environmental Defense Center & Law Office of Marc Chytilo, 15. Public Comment - Group 1, 16. Public Comment - Group 2, 17. Department Memo & Attachment 1 dated 12-13-2021, 18. Public Comment - Cachuma Resource Conservation District, 19. Public Comment - Group 3, 20. Public Comment - Group 4, 21. Public Comment - Group 5, 22. Applicant Presentation, 23. Presentation, 24. Minute Order

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
12/14/2021	1	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Acted on as follows:	Pass
12/14/2021	1	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Acted on as follows:	Pass

HEARING - Consider recommendations regarding the appeal, Case No. 21APL-00000-00017, by the Environmental Defense Center, Surfrider, and the Gaviota Coast Conservancy, of the Director’s Determination regarding the Santa Barbara Ranch Inland Development Agreement (IDA) Periodic Review, Third District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 1 HR. 30 MIN.)

- a) Deny the appeal, Case No. 21APL-00000-00017;
- b) Make the required findings to affirm the Director’s determination that the Developer is in good faith

compliance with the terms of the Santa Barbara Ranch IDA, including California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) findings; and

c) Determine that the IDA “Periodic Review” determination is exempt from CEQA pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15378(b)(5).

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER’S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY