



Legislation Text

File #: 14-00296, **Version:** 1

HEARING - Consider recommendations regarding the Northern Branch Jail Project 8600-Update, Approval of Preliminary Staffing Plan and Operating Cost Analysis, Receive Local Vendor and Labor Outreach Plan, Third District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 2 HR.)

- a) Receive a presentation from the Sheriff and General Services on the status of Northern Branch Jail Project 8600;
- b) Approve the Preliminary Staffing Plan and Operating Cost Analysis from the Sheriff's Office for the Northern Branch Jail Project;
- c) Adopt a Resolution authorizing the Sheriff, or his designee, to submit to the Board of State and Community Corrections certain required documents pursuant to Title 15, Section 1750 of the California Code of Regulations, as provided in the Preliminary Staffing Plan, and the Operating Cost Analysis (AB900 - State Project A05-120);
- d) Direct staff to make all necessary future submissions to comply with the requirements of the State and the Board of State and Community Corrections requirements as it relates to the Northern Branch Jail project AB 900 Phase II Conditional Award;
- e) Receive and file the Local Vendor and Labor Outreach Plan, as prepared by Kitchell/CEM. Inc.;
- f) Consider whether to direct General Services staff to return to the Board with a presentation regarding utilizing Local Construction Workforce Agreements; and
- g) After considering the Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (EIR) that the Board of Supervisors certified on March 11, 2008, and the Addendum to that EIR that the Board of Supervisors received and considered on December 6, 2011 and October 8, 2013, determine pursuant to 14 CCR § 15162(a) that no subsequent EIR or Negative Declaration is required for this project because of the following: 1) No substantial changes are proposed in the project which require major revisions of the 2008 Final Subsequent EIR; 2) No substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which require major revisions of the 2008 Final Subsequent EIR; and 3) No new information of substantial importance concerning the project's significant effects or mitigation measures, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time that the Final Subsequent EIR was certified in 2008, has been received.

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY