



## Legislation Text

---

**File #:** 13-00665, **Version:** 1

---

HEARING - Consider recommendations regarding Amendment No. 1 to the Professional Services Agreement with Kitchell/CEM, Inc. to provide Commissioning Services for the Northern Branch Jail Project, Third District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 30 MIN.)

a) Approve and authorize the Chair to execute Amendment No. 1 to the Professional Services Agreement (PSA) for Construction Management and Related Professional Services with Kitchell/CEM, Inc., to provide commissioning services for the Northern Branch Jail Project over a 6-year period, increasing the maximum compensation limit by \$152,660.00 for a new limit of \$2,422,660.00;

b) Approve and authorize the Chair to execute the “Agreement Clarifying the County of Santa Barbara’s Potential Obligation to Refund State Financing for the County of Santa Barbara’s Northern Branch Jail Project,” concerning the Board of State and Community Corrections Jail Construction Agreement that the County re-executed on May 14, 2013. (Once executed by both the County and the Board of State and Community Corrections, this will rule out any obligation for the County to refund State financing for the Northern Branch Jail Project if, through no fault of the County, the State Public Works Board does not issue bonds to finance the Northern Branch Jail Project.); and

c) After considering the Final Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (EIR) that the Board of Supervisors certified on March 11, 2008, and the Addendum to that EIR that the Board of Supervisors received and considered on December 6, 2011, determine pursuant to 14 CCR 15162(a) that no subsequent EIR or Negative Declaration is required for this project because of the following: 1) No substantial changes are proposed in the project which require major revisions of the 2008 Final Subsequent EIR; 2) No substantial changes have occurred, with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, which require major revisions of the 2008 Final Subsequent EIR; and 3) No new information of substantial importance concerning the project’s significant effects or mitigation measures, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time that the Final Subsequent EIR was certified in 2008, has been received.

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER’S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY