



Legislation Text

File #: 16-00514, **Version:** 2

HEARING - Consider recommendation regarding the Arbitrator's March 5, 2016 Opinion and Award (Revised on Remand) as to Awards 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, and 12 in the matter of arbitration between Nomad Village Mobilehome Homeowners and Nomad Village Mobilehome Park pursuant to Rule 23 of the Mobilehome Rent Control Rules for Hearings and Chapter 11A, Section A-4 of the Santa Barbara County Code, Second District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 1 HR.)

a) Make the following determinations as supported by the findings;

i) Find that the Arbitrator did not abuse his discretion; however, remand Award No. 4 in light of other remanded Awards;

ii) Find that the Arbitrator abused his discretion and remand Award No. 5 to the Arbitrator for adequate findings on specific items of incurred costs in the amount of \$62,145.55;

iii) Find that the Arbitrator abused his discretion and remand Award No. 6 to the Arbitrator for adequate findings about the nature of the fees;

iv) Find that the Arbitrator abused his discretion and remand Award No. 7 to the Arbitrator for adequate findings about the nature of the fees;

v) Find that the Arbitrator abused his discretion and remand Award No. 8 to the Arbitrator for adequate findings about the nature of the payment;

vi) Find that the Arbitrator abused his discretion and remand Award No. 11 to the Arbitrator for adequate findings about the nature of the fees; and

vii) Remand Award No. 12 to the Arbitrator for recalculation in light of other remanded items; and

b) Determine that the proposed action is an administrative activity of the County which will not result in direct or indirect physical changes in the environment and is therefore not a "project" as defined for purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under State CEQA Guidelines Section 15378(b)(5).

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY