



## Legislation Text

---

**File #:** 18-00068, **Version:** 2

---

HEARING - Consider recommendations regarding a Cannabis Business License Ordinance and Cannabis Cultivation Cap Options, as follows: (EST. TIME: 2 HR.)

- a) Consider the introduction (First Reading) of an Ordinance adding Chapter 50 to the Santa Barbara County Code, Establishing a Commercial Cannabis Business License;
- b) Read title: “An Ordinance Adding Chapter 50, Licensing Of Commercial Cannabis Operations, To The Santa Barbara County Code,” and waive reading of the Ordinance in full;
- c) Receive and consider options from staff and provide direction, as necessary, on the establishment of cultivation caps or limits;
- d) Receive an update on state temporary medical cannabis cultivation licenses in Santa Barbara County;
- e) Set a hearing on the Administrative Agenda for April 3, 2018 to consider the adoption (Second Reading) of an Ordinance Adding Chapter 50 to the Santa Barbara County Code, Licensing of Commercial Cannabis Operations; and
- f) Make the required California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) findings and determine for the purposes of CEQA that:
  - i) These actions are within the scope of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program, and the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) [Case No. 17EIR-00000-00003, State Clearinghouse No. 2017071016] adequately describes this activity for the purposes of CEQA; and
  - ii) Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15162(a), after considering the PEIR certified by the Board of Supervisors on February 6, 2018, that no subsequent EIR or Negative Declaration is required because: i) no substantial changes are proposed which require major revisions of the PEIR; ii) no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the Ordinance is undertaken which require major revisions of the PEIR; and iii) no new information of substantial importance concerning the Ordinance’s significant effects or mitigation measures, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time that the PEIR was certified, has been received.

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER’S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY