



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	14-00317	Version:	3
Type:	Agenda Item	Status:	Passed
File created:		In control:	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
On agenda:	7/1/2014	Final action:	7/1/2014
Title:	<p>HEARING - Consider the appeal of the decision of the Historic Landmarks Advisory Commission of January 13, 2014, to approve changes to County Landmark No. 34, the Juarez-Hosmer Adobe, resulting in a change from the 2010 approved rehabilitation project of all historic structures on the site to a reconstruction project (including demolition) of all historic structures on the site, as follows: (EST. TIME: 1 HR)</p> <p>a) Deny the appeal, Case Number 14APL-00000-00006;</p> <p>b) Modify the action by the Historic Landmarks Advisory Commission from approval of a demolition/reconstruction plan to approval of a rehabilitation plan which updates the plan originally approved by the HLAC on July 12, 2010 by refining the means and methods for accomplishing the rehabilitation as specified by the Roselund Engineering Company (April 2014) and Taylor and Syfan (February 20, 2014); and</p> <p>c) Determine that the modified approval refining the means and methods for rehabilitation of the historic landmark is exempt from the provisions of California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to the State Guidelines for the Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15331, Notice of Exemption.</p> <p>COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:</p>		
Sponsors:	PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT		
Indexes:			
Code sections:			
Attachments:	1. Board Letter, 2. Attachment 1 Landmark Resolutions, 3. Attachment 2 Documentation to HLAC, 4. Attachment 3 HLAC Action Letter, 5. Attachment 4 Appeal by Pearl Chase Society, 6. Attachment 5 Taylor Syfan, 7. Attachment 6 Phase 2 February 2014, 8. Attachment 7 Phase 2 May 2010, 9. Attachment 8 CEQA Exemption, 10. Attachment 9 Land Use Permit, 11. Public Comment - Chytilo, 12. Public Comment - Montecito Assoc., 13. Public Comment - Jody Nigh, 14. Public Comment - Jackman & Imwalle Opinion Letter, 15. Public Comment - Steve Schleder, 16. Staff Memorandum 5/5/14, 17. Memorandum - County Counsel, 18. Presentation, 19. Continuance Memo - 6/3/2014, 20. Board Letter - 7/1/2014, 21. Adobe Peer Review, 22. Notice of Exemption, 23. Public Comment - Chytilo, 24. Presentation, 25. Applicant Response to Chytilo Letter		

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
7/1/2014	3	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Acted on as follows:	Pass
6/3/2014	2	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Continued, as follows:	Pass
5/6/2014	2	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Continued, as follows:	Pass
4/22/2014	1	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Set for a hearing, as follows:	Pass

HEARING - Consider the appeal of the decision of the Historic Landmarks Advisory Commission of January 13, 2014, to approve changes to County Landmark No. 34, the Juarez-Hosmer Adobe, resulting in a change from the 2010 approved rehabilitation project of all historic structures on the site to a reconstruction project (including demolition) of all historic structures on the site, as follows: (EST. TIME: 1 HR)

- a) Deny the appeal, Case Number 14APL-00000-00006;
- b) Modify the action by the Historic Landmarks Advisory Commission from approval of a demolition/reconstruction plan to approval of a rehabilitation plan which updates the plan originally approved by the HLAC on July 12, 2010 by refining the means and methods for accomplishing the rehabilitation as specified by the Roselund Engineering Company (April 2014) and Taylor and Syfan (February 20, 2014); and
- c) Determine that the modified approval refining the means and methods for rehabilitation of the historic landmark is exempt from the provisions of California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to the State Guidelines for the Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15331, Notice of Exemption.

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY