

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #:	18-0	1004	Version:	3			
Туре:	Age	nda Item		Status:	Pa	ssed	
File created:				In contro	ol: BC	ARD OF SUPERVISORS	
On agenda:	3/5/2	2019		Final act	ion: 3/5	5/2019	
Title:	HEARING - Consider recommendations regarding Findings Requiring Preparation of a Focused Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the North Fork Ranch Frost Ponds Minor Conditional Use Permit, appeal case number 18APL-00000-00019, filed by Mr. Matt Turrentine of Brodiaea, Inc., the project applicant, of the County Planning Commission's, September 12, 2018, determination that the proposed Final Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND), case number 16NGD-00000-00004 prepared for the North Fork Ranch Frost Ponds project, case number 16CUP-00000-00005, located at 7400 Highway 166, Assessor's Parcel No. 147-010-045, approximately nine miles west of the community of New Cuyama, Fifth District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 15 MIN.)						
	a) Determine that the Mitigated Negative Declaration, case no. 17NGD-000-00004, is inadequate and make the finding that an EIR is required because there is substantial evidence in the record supporting a fair argument that the project may have a significant effect on the environment;						
	b) Deny the appeal, case no. 18APL-00000-00019, thereby affirming the Planning Commission's action; and						
	c) Direct staff to prepare a Focused EIR on issues associated with water use impacts from operation of the frost ponds and associated frost protection system, potential biological resource impacts, and potential flooding impacts to State Route 166.						
	COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY						
Sponsors:	PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT						
Indexes:							
Code sections:							
Attachments:	1. Set Hearing Board Letter, 2. Attachment 1. PC Action Letter, 3. Attachment 2. PC Staff Report, 4. Attachment 3. Public Comment Letters, 5. Attachment 4. appeal letter, 6. Attachment 5. ZA action letter, 7. Attachment 6. Jaffe Gliessman appeal Application, 8. Attachment 7. rainfall data, 9. Public Comment - Chytilo 12-7-2018, 10. Public Comment - Chytilo 2-1-2019, 11. Public Comment – Gliessman Professor UC Santa Cruz, 12. Public Comment – Ryan, 13. Public Comment – d'Elgin, 14. Public Comment – Draucker, 15. Public Comment - Herum Attorney at Law, 16. Staff Presentation, 17. Appellant Presentation, 18. Public Comment - Group 1, 19. Board Letter - 3/5/2019, 20. Groundwater Basis Status Report, 21. Public Comment - Chytilo, 22. Presentation						
Date	Ver.	Action By	,		Action		Result
3/5/2019	3	BOARD	OF SUPER	/ISORS	Acted or	n as follows:	Pass
2/5/2019	2	BOARD	OF SUPER	/ISORS	Acted or	n as follows:	Pass
2/5/2019	2	BOARD	OF SUPER	/ISORS	Continue	ed, as follows:	Pass
12/11/2018	1	BOARD	OF SUPER	/ISORS	Set for a	a hearing, as follows:	Pass

HEARING - Consider recommendations regarding Findings Requiring Preparation of a Focused Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the North Fork Ranch Frost Ponds Minor Conditional Use Permit, appeal case number 18APL-00000-00019, filed by Mr. Matt Turrentine of Brodiaea, Inc., the project applicant, of the County Planning Commission's, September 12, 2018, determination that the proposed Final Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND), case number 16NGD-00000-00004 prepared for the North Fork Ranch Frost Ponds project, case number 16CUP-00000-00005, located at 7400 Highway 166, Assessor's Parcel No. 147-010-045, approximately nine miles west of the community of New Cuyama, Fifth District, as follows: (EST. TIME: 15 MIN.)

a) Determine that the Mitigated Negative Declaration, case no. 17NGD-000-00004, is inadequate and make the finding that an EIR is required because there is substantial evidence in the record supporting a fair argument that the project may have a significant effect on the environment;

b) Deny the appeal, case no. 18APL-00000-00019, thereby affirming the Planning Commission's action; and

c) Direct staff to prepare a Focused EIR on issues associated with water use impacts from operation of the frost ponds and associated frost protection system, potential biological resource impacts, and potential flooding impacts to State Route 166.

COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION: POLICY