



## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** 19-01056 **Version:** 2  
**Type:** Administrative Item **Status:** Agenda Ready  
**File created:** 12/6/2019 **In control:** BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
**On agenda:** 1/14/2020 **Final action:** 1/14/2020  
**Title:** Consider recommendations regarding Chapter 50 - Licensing of Commercial Cannabis Operations Ordinance Storefront Retail Amendments and Cannabis Business Licensing Fee Ordinance Amendments, as follows:

a) Consider the adoption (Second Reading) of an Ordinance amending Chapter 50 of the Santa Barbara County Code, Licensing of Commercial Cannabis Operations;

b) Consider the adoption (Second Reading) of an Ordinance amending the Ordinance 5041, "An Ordinance Establishing the Cannabis Business License Fee";

c) Make the required California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) findings and determine for the purposes of CEQA that:

i) These actions are within the scope of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program, and the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) [Case No. 17EIR-00000-00003, State Clearinghouse No. 2017071016] adequately describes this activity for the purposes of CEQA; and

ii) Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15162(a), after considering the PEIR certified by the Board of Supervisors on February 6, 2018, that no subsequent EIR or Negative Declaration is required because: i) no substantial changes are proposed which require major revisions of the PEIR; ii) no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the Ordinance is undertaken which require major revisions of the PEIR; and iii) no new information of substantial importance concerning the Ordinance's significant effects or mitigation measures, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time that the PEIR was certified, has been received.

**Sponsors:** COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICE

**Indexes:**

**Code sections:**

**Attachments:** 1. Board Letter, 2. Attachment 1 - Ordinance Amending Chapter 50 (Non-Redlined Version), 3. Attachment 2 - Ordinance Amending Chapter 50 (Redlined Version), 4. Attachment 3 - Ordinance Amending Ordinance 5041 (Non-Redlined Version), 5. Attachment 4 - Ordinance Amending Ordinance 5041 (Redlined Version), 6. Attachment 5 - CEQA Findings, 7. Attachment 6 - Fee Analysis, 8. Public Comment - SB Coalition for Responsible Cannabis, 9. Public Comment - Carrillo (dated 12-16-2019), 10. Public Comment - Group 1, 11. Public Comment - Bentz, 12. Presentation, 13. Presentation Revised, 14. Public Comment - Franco, 15. Minute Order - 12-17-2019, 16. Public Comment - Carrillo (dated 1-12-2020).pdf, 17. Adopted Ordinance Attach. A, 18. Adopted Ordinance Attach. C, 19. Minute Order 1/14/2020

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
1/14/2020	2	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Acted on as follows:	Pass
12/17/2019	1	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS	Acted on as follows:	Pass

Consider recommendations regarding Chapter 50 - Licensing of Commercial Cannabis Operations Ordinance Storefront Retail Amendments and Cannabis Business Licensing Fee Ordinance Amendments, as follows:

- a) Consider the adoption (Second Reading) of an Ordinance amending Chapter 50 of the Santa Barbara County Code, Licensing of Commercial Cannabis Operations;
- b) Consider the adoption (Second Reading) of an Ordinance amending the Ordinance 5041, “An Ordinance Establishing the Cannabis Business License Fee”;
- c) Make the required California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) findings and determine for the purposes of CEQA that:
  - i) These actions are within the scope of the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program, and the Cannabis Land Use Ordinance and Licensing Program Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) [Case No. 17EIR-00000-00003, State Clearinghouse No. 2017071016] adequately describes this activity for the purposes of CEQA; and
  - ii) Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines section 15162(a), after considering the PEIR certified by the Board of Supervisors on February 6, 2018, that no subsequent EIR or Negative Declaration is required because: i) no substantial changes are proposed which require major revisions of the PEIR; ii) no substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the Ordinance is undertaken which require major revisions of the PEIR; and iii) no new information of substantial importance concerning the Ordinance’s significant effects or mitigation measures, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time that the PEIR was certified, has been received.